Two-Body Dirac equations of constraint dynamics provide a covariant framework to investigate the problem of highly relativistic quarks in meson bound states. This formalism eliminates automatically the problems of relative time and energy, leading to a covariant three dimensional formalism with the same number of degrees of freedom as appears in the corresponding nonrelativistic problem. It provides bound state wave equations with the simplicity of the nonrelativistic Schrödinger equation. Here we begin important tests of the relativistic sixteen component wave function solutions obtained in a recent work on meson spectroscopy, extending a method developed previously for positronium decay into two photons. Preliminary to this we examine the positronium decay in the $^3P_0$, $^2P_2$ states as well as the $^1S_0$. The two-gamma quarkonium decays that we investigate are for the $\eta_c$, $\eta_c', \chi_{c0}, \chi_{c2}, \pi^0, \pi_2, a_2$, and $f_2'$ mesons. Our results for the four charmonium states compare well with those from other quark models and show the particular importance of including all components of the wave function as well as strong and CM energy dependent potential effects on the norm and amplitude. The results for the $\pi^0$, although off the experimental rate by 15%, is much closer than the usual expectations from a potential model. We conclude that the Two-Body Dirac equations lead to wave functions which provide good descriptions of the two-gamma decay amplitude and can be used with some confidence for other purposes.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Relativistic treatments of the two-body problem arise in many problems in particle and nuclear physics. Relativistic effects are important for composite systems with light quarks, in systems with large coupling strength, and in reactions of these composite objects. In recent years, there is much interest in the dissociation and the recombinations of the $J/\psi$ particle in hadron matter or in the quark-gluon plasma [1]. Reactions of the form

$$J/\psi + \pi \leftrightarrow D + \bar{D}^*,$$

provide useful information on the suppression or the enhancement of $J/\psi$ in high-energy heavy-ion collisions and are relevant to the use of heavy quarkonium as a diagnostic tool for the quark-gluon plasma [2].

Previously, Wong, Barnes, and Swanson studied the above reactions using a non-relativistic model of the reacting composite objects including pions [1, 3]. While the results have been calibrated with the $\pi\pi$ scattering phase shifts for the $I = 2$ S-wave channel, the use of the non-relativistic formalism for pions with light constituents may be subject to question. One should examine the reaction process using a well tested relativistic formalism. The Two-Body Dirac equations (TBDE) of constraint dynamics has had successful applications to relativistic two body bound states in QED [4, 5], QCD [6, 7], and two body nucleon-nucleon scattering [9, 10]. But its relativistic extension [11] of the nonrelativistic four-body scattering formalism of Barnes and Swanson [12, 13] involves untested assumptions beyond the standard constraint formalism. The reaction process is sensitive to the spatial distribution of the reacting objects. It is thus important to have a sensitive test of the wave functions obtained in [7].

We perform this test in this paper by examining the application of the relativistic constraint formalism in the description of decays of mesons into two photons. In the next section we present a brief review of the constraint
formalism as it applies to quark-antiquark bound states. Part of the purpose of this review section is to outline some of the numerous tests made so far on the formalism. We give the Pauli forms of the Two-Body Dirac equations of constraint dynamics that we used in [7] to describe the entire meson spectrum (exceptions being light quark isoscalars such as the $\eta, \omega, \eta'$ and their orbital and radial excitations). We review those aspects of the formalism which give one confidence in the accurate accounts for all bound states from the excited states of bottomonium to the pion. We also list those aspects particularly related to the pion and its Goldstone boson behavior. The constraint formalism, unlike most of the other ones that purport to account for the entire meson spectrum, accounts well in standard perturbative approaches as well as in nonperturbative and numerical approaches for the QED bound state spectrum [5]. We emphasize the importance of this by showing the correlation between that agreement for the singlet and perturbative approaches as well as in nonperturbative and numerical approaches for the QED bound state spectrum.

This connection to QED brings us in Section 3 to our treatment of the 2 $\gamma$ decay of singlet positronium. In constraint dynamics, the Two Body Dirac equations lead to an analytic solution of the singlet states of positronium. For the singlet ground state the wave function is mildly singular. Standard formalisms [14] will fail with wave functions that are singular at the origin,

$$\Gamma(e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\gamma) = \sigma_{\text{tot}} v_{e^+} |\psi(0)|^2.$$  

(1.2)

Independent treatments by Crater [15] and Ackleh and Barnes [16] develop related (but distinctly different) approaches for folding in the effect of the Yukawa fermion exchange mass, giving a smearing of the singularity over the corresponding Compton wave length. We give a brief review of the first of these approaches and how we extend it to include the effects of the full sixteen component Two-Body Dirac wave function. This extension does not have any significant effect on the $S_0^0$ positronium decay rate. However, the effects on the decays of the more relativistic quark-antiquark systems is significant.

We include in Section 3 technical aspects in which we establish in the context of a 4 x 4 matrix wave function, more natural for use in the decay formalism of a particle-antiparticle system than the 16 component form, the relation between the sector of the full wave function used in the Pauli form of the bound state equations and the remaining sectors necessary for a complete description of the decay. We review our $S_0^0$ positronium decay results as well as those of our constraint approach for $P_1$ and $P_2$ positronium decay. Finally we present the results for the decay rates of the $\eta, \eta', \chi_0, \chi_0', \pi^0, \pi^0, \rho_2, \rho_2', f_2'$ mesons. We conclude in Section 4 with a discussion of our results and a comparison with other approaches.

II. CONSTRAINT DYNAMICS AND MESON BOUND STATES

A. Constraint Dynamics for Two Classical Spinless Particles

Here we give a brief review of the highlights of the constraint approach serving also to introduce notations. Although Sazdjian has shown that the bound state equations of constraint dynamics are to be viewed as “quantum mechanical transforms” of the Bethe-Salpeter equation [17]-[19] the constraint approach to the two body problem has its origins in classical relativistic physics [20]-[25]. Our review here is base on [8] and [22]. Two free spinless particles are described by the mass shell constraints

$$\mathcal{H}_1^0 \equiv p_1^2 + m_1^2 \approx 0, \quad \mathcal{H}_2^0 \equiv p_2^2 + m_2^2 \approx 0.$$  

(2.1)

We introduce Poincare' invariant world scalar interactions (to display most simply the basic ideas) by

$$m_1 \rightarrow m_1 + S_1(x, p_1, p_2) \equiv M_1(x, p_1, p_2),$$

$$m_2 \rightarrow m_2 + S_2(x, p_1, p_2) \equiv M_2(x, p_1, p_2),$$

$$x = x_1 - x_2.$$  

(2.2)

Kinematical constraints then become dynamical mass shell constraints:

$$\mathcal{H}_i^0 \equiv p_i^2 + m_i^2 \rightarrow p_i^2 + M_i^2 \equiv \mathcal{H}_i \equiv p_i^2 + m_i^2 + \Phi_i(x, p_1, p_2).$$  

(2.3)

Each constraint must be conserved, implying that the two constraints must be compatible

$$0 \approx \{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2\}$$

$$= -(p_1 + p_2) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\Phi_2 + \Phi_1) - (p_1 - p_2) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\Phi_2 - \Phi_1) + \{\Phi_1, \Phi_2\}.$$  

(2.4)
Its simplest solution is
\[ \Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = \Phi(x_\perp, p_1, p_2) \equiv \Phi_w, \]  
and requires abandoning \( x = x_1 - x_2 \) in favor of
\[ x_{12\perp}^\mu = (\eta_{\mu\nu} + \hat{P}^\mu \hat{P}^\nu)(x_1 - x_2)_\nu \equiv \eta_{\perp\nu}^\nu (x_1 - x_2)_\nu, \]
\[ \hat{P}^\mu = \frac{P^\mu}{\sqrt{-P^2}} ; \quad P^\mu = p_1^\mu + p_2^\mu ; \quad x_{12\perp} \cdot \hat{P} = 0. \]  
Thus we have a “third law” condition (2.5) of action and reaction plus a restriction on how the quasipotential \( \Phi_w \) may depend on relative separation. The invariant \( r \) defined below is the interparticle separation in the CM frame \( \hat{P} = (1, 0) \)
\[ r \equiv \sqrt{x_{\perp}^2} = \sqrt{\mathbf{r}^2} \quad \text{in CM frame } \hat{P} = (1, 0), \]  
since \( t_1 - t_2 = 0 \) in that frame. Relative time is thus controlled in a covariant way. Assume the two invariants \( M_i, \ i = 1, 2 \) are simply functions of \( r \) and the CM energy
\[ w = \sqrt{-P^2}. \]  
The invariant potentials \( M_i \) are not independent. The third law condition implies they are related by
\[ M_1^2 - M_2^2 = m_1^2 - m_2^2. \]  
Hence there is only one independent invariant function controlling the scalar interaction which we designate by
\[ S(r), \]  
the underlying scalar interaction. Alternatively, the third law allows us to recast the mass potentials into the hyperbolic function solutions depending on a single invariant function \( L \),
\[ M_1 = m_1 \cosh L(S(r)) + m_2 \sinh L(S(r)), \]
\[ M_2 = m_2 \cosh L(S(r)) + m_1 \sinh L(S(r)). \]  
Subtracting the constraints gives us a complimentary covariant restriction (to Eq. (2.7)) on the relative energy
\[ \mathcal{H}_1 - \mathcal{H}_2 = p_1^2 + M_1^2 - p_2^2 - M_2^2 = p_1^2 + m_1^2 - p_2^2 - m_2^2 = 2P \cdot p \approx 0, \]  
with relative momentum
\[ p^\mu = \frac{(\varepsilon_2 p_1^\mu - \varepsilon_1 p_2^\mu)}{w} ; \quad \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 = w , \quad \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 = \frac{(m_1^2 - m_2^2)}{w}, \]
\[ \varepsilon_i = \text{CM energy of particle } i. \]  
The relative momentum is canonically conjugate to \( x_\perp \),
\[ \{ x_\perp^\mu, p^\nu \} = \eta_{\perp\nu}^{\mu}. \]  
The other combination of our constraints is the primary dynamical equation
\[ \mathcal{H} = \frac{(\varepsilon_2 \mathcal{H}_1 + \varepsilon_1 \mathcal{H}_2)}{w} = p_\perp^2 + \Phi_w - b^2(w) \approx 0, \]  
and incorporates exact two-body kinematics with
\[ b^2(w) = \frac{(w^4 - 2w^2(m_1^2 + m_2^2) + (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^2)}{4w^2} = \varepsilon_w^2 - m_w^2, \]  
and
\[ m_w = \frac{m_1 m_2}{w} , \quad \varepsilon_w = \frac{(w^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2)}{2w}, \]  
defined as the mass and energy of the fictitious particle of relative motion. Under quantization all of the constraints become equations the wave functions must satisfy.
B. Two-Body Dirac Equations

The constraint formalism embodies spin in a system of two coupled, compatible Dirac equations on a single wavefunction. For particles interacting through world vector and scalar interactions the TBDE take this general minimal-coupling form

\[ S_1 \psi = \gamma_{51} (\gamma_1 \cdot (p_1 - \hat{A}_1) + m_1 + \hat{S}_1) \psi = 0, \]
\[ S_2 \psi = \gamma_{52} (\gamma_2 \cdot (p_2 - \hat{A}_2) + m_2 + \hat{S}_2) \psi = 0. \]  

(2.18)

The wave function has sixteen components

\[ \psi = [\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \psi_4], \]  

(2.19)

in which each \( \psi_i \) is a four component Pauli spinor for two spin-one-half particles. The two equations are compatible:

\[ [S_1, S_2] \psi = 0. \]  

(2.20)

This is a result of the presence of spin supersymmetries \([8],[26]\), in addition to the relativistic third law, and covariant restrictions on the relative time and energy appearing in the spinless case. There is automatic incorporation of correct spin-dependent recoil terms \([27]\),

\[ \tilde{A}_i^\mu = \tilde{A}_i^\mu (A(r), p_\perp, \hat{P}, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2), \quad \tilde{S}_i = \tilde{S}_i (S(r), A(r), p_\perp, \hat{P}, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2). \]  

(2.21)

This two-body formalism has many advantages over the traditional Bethe-Salpeter equation and its numerous three dimensional truncations. One is its simplicity. A Pauli reduction and scale transformation brings our equations to this covariant Schrödinger-like form

\[ \left( p^2 + \Phi_w (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, p_\perp, A(r), S(r)) \right) \psi = b^2 (w) \psi. \]  

(2.22)

1. Schrödinger-Like Form of the Two-Body Dirac Equations

From separate classical \([28]\) or quantum field theories \([19]\) one can show that the quasipotential in the combination \( \Phi_w - b^2 (w) \) depends on the difference of squares of the invariant mass and energy potentials \((M_i \text{ and } E_i \text{ respectively})

\[ M_i^2 = m_i^2 + 2m_w S + S^2; \quad E_i^2 = \epsilon_i^2 - 2\epsilon_w A + A^2, \]  

(2.23)

with \( A \) playing the same role for vector interactions that \( S \) does for scalar ones. “Squaring” the TBDE (2.18) yields a Schrödinger-like equation \([5]\) for the upper-upper \( \psi_1 \) component

\[ \{ p^2 + 2m_w S + S^2 + 2\epsilon_w A - A^2 \]
\[ + \Phi_D \gamma_1 \cdot p + \Phi_D' + \Phi_{SO1} L \cdot \sigma_1 + \Phi_{SO2} L \cdot \sigma_2 + \Phi_{SS} \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + \Phi_T S_T \} \psi_1 \]
\[ + \{ \Phi_{SS} \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + \Phi_T' S_T \} \psi_4 \]
\[ = b^2 (w) \psi_1, \]  

(2.24)

coupled to a Schrödinger-like wave equation for the lower-lower component \( \psi_4 \) \([29]\)

\[ \{ p^2 + 2m_w S + S^2 + 2\epsilon_w A - A^2 \]
\[ + \Phi_{D} \gamma_1 \cdot p + \Phi_{D}' + \Phi_{SO1} L \cdot \sigma_1 + \Phi_{SO2} L \cdot \sigma_2 + \Phi_{SS} \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + \Phi_T S_T \} \psi_4 \]
\[ + \{ \Phi_{SS} \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + \Phi_T' S_T \} \psi_1 \]
\[ = b^2 (w) \psi_4. \]  

(2.25)

These equations can be solved nonperturbatively for QED \((S = 0)\) or quark model calculations since everyone of the quasipotentials terms \( \Phi_i \) (including the Darwin pieces \( \Phi_D \)) is quantum mechanically well defined (less singular than \(-1/4r^2\)).
2. Nonperturbative Solutions of the Two-Body Dirac Equations

For Two-Body Dirac equations of constraint dynamics applied to QED we have

$$A(r) = -\frac{\alpha}{r}. \quad (2.26)$$

For singlet positronium system we can obtain an exact solution [4] for the total CM energy $w$

$$w = m \sqrt{2 + 2/(\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2 / (n + \sqrt{(l + 1/2)^2 - \alpha^2 - l - 1/2})^2} - \alpha^2 - l - 1/2)^2} - 2m - m\alpha^2/4n^2 - m\alpha^4/2n^3(2l + 1) + 11/64m\alpha^4/n^4 + O(\alpha^6), \quad (2.27)$$

that agrees through order $\alpha^4$ with standard spectrum found by perturbative treatment of the Darwin and spin-dependent terms in our Pauli form. Numerical triplet state calculations agree equally well with perturbative QED[5].

Many of the standard approaches to QED bound states have been applied in QCD in nonperturbative numerical calculations of the meson spectra without first testing them nonperturbatively in QED. Sommerer et al. [30] have shown that the Blankenbecler-Sugar equation and the Gross equations fail this test. This indicates danger in applying such three dimensional truncations of the Bethe Salpeter equation to quark models, for if failure occurs in their applications to QED how can similar non-perturbative (i.e. numerical) approaches based on the same truncations (but with QCD kernels) give results that are trustworthy representations of the physics for meson spectroscopy?

C. Two Body Dirac Equations for Meson Spectroscopy - The Adler-Piran Potential

We obtain a constraint version of the naive quark model for mesons by employing a covariant adaptation of a static quark potential due to Adler and Piran [31]. From an effective non-linear field theory derived from QCD they obtain

$$V_{AP}(r) = \Lambda(U(\Lambda r) + U_0) \equiv A + S. \quad (2.28)$$

The original $V_{AP}$ is nonrelativistic, and appears in our equations in that limit as the sum of world vector and scalar potentials with

$$\Lambda U(\Lambda r < 1) \sim \frac{1}{r \ln \Lambda r}, \quad V_{AP}(r) = \Lambda[c_1 \Lambda r + c_2 \log(\Lambda r) + \frac{c_3}{\sqrt{\Lambda r}} + \frac{c_4}{\Lambda r} + c_5], \quad \Lambda r > 2. \quad (2.29)$$

The explicit form for $V_{AP}(r)$ at all distances is given in [31] and [32].

1. Relativistic Naive Quark Model

We reinterpret the static $V_{AP}$ covariantly by replacing the nonrelativistic $r$ by $\sqrt{x_+^2} \equiv r$, and parceling out the static potential $V_{AP}$ into the invariant functions $A(r)$ and $S(r)$ [7] as follows:

$$A = \exp(-\beta r)|V_{AP} - \frac{c_4}{r}| + \frac{c_4}{r} + \frac{e_1 e_2}{r}, \quad S = V_{AP} + \frac{e_1 e_2}{r} - A. \quad (2.30)$$

(The constants $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$ are fixed by the Adler-Piran formalism while $e_1, e_2$ are the quark and anti-quark electric charges.) Thus at short distances the potential is strictly vector while at long distances the vector portion is strictly Coulombic with the confining portion at long distance (including subdominant portions) strictly scalar. Once $A$ and $S$ have been determined, so are all the accompanying spin-dependent interactions

$$\Phi_i = \Phi_i(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, p_\perp, A(r), S(r)); \quad i = D, D', SO1, SO2, SS, T, .. \quad (2.31)$$

Our bound state results are quite accurate, from the heaviest bottomonium states to the pion. They compare quite favorably with the results of Godfrey and Isgur [33], but with only two parametric functions ($A, S$) as opposed to the six or so used in their approach. In the table below we reproduce a portion of the entire spectrum given in [7]. The
quark masses and potential parameters are given by $m_u \sim 55$ MeV, $m_c \sim 1.5$ GeV, $m_d \sim 58$ MeV, $\Lambda = 0.216$ GeV, and $\Lambda U_0 = 1.865$ GeV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MESON</th>
<th>EXP(GeV)</th>
<th>(±MeV)</th>
<th>THEORY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_c$</td>
<td>$\sigma^1 S_0$</td>
<td>2.980</td>
<td>(2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>$\sigma^3 S_1$</td>
<td>3.097</td>
<td>(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi_0$</td>
<td>$\sigma^1 P_1$</td>
<td>3.526</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi_0$</td>
<td>$\sigma^3 P_0$</td>
<td>3.415</td>
<td>(1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi_1$</td>
<td>$\sigma^1 P_1$</td>
<td>3.510</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi_2$</td>
<td>$\sigma^3 P_2$</td>
<td>3.556</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_c$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 S_0$</td>
<td>3.594</td>
<td>(5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 S_1$</td>
<td>3.686</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>$\sigma^3 D_1$</td>
<td>3.777</td>
<td>(2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>$\sigma^3 S_1$</td>
<td>4.040</td>
<td>(10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>$\sigma^3 D_1$</td>
<td>4.159</td>
<td>(20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>$\sigma^3 D_1$</td>
<td>4.415</td>
<td>(6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi$</td>
<td>$u\bar{d}^1 S_0$</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>(0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>$u\bar{d}^3 S_1$</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>(1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_1$</td>
<td>$u\bar{d}^1 P_1$</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>(10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_0$</td>
<td>$u\bar{d}^1 P_0$</td>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>(40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$</td>
<td>$u\bar{d}^3 P_1$</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>(40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_2$</td>
<td>$u\bar{d}^3 P_2$</td>
<td>1.318</td>
<td>(0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi$</td>
<td>$u\bar{d}^1 S_0$</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>(100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>$u\bar{d}^3 S_1$</td>
<td>1.465</td>
<td>(25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi_2$</td>
<td>$u\bar{d}^1 D_2$</td>
<td>1.670</td>
<td>(20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>$u\bar{d}^3 D_1$</td>
<td>1.700</td>
<td>(20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_3$</td>
<td>$u\bar{d}^3 D_3$</td>
<td>1.691</td>
<td>(5.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Positronium and the Pion

Positronium numerical spectral predictions of the constraint approach for hyperfine splittings are inadequate if we ignore coupling to the small (including the lower-lower one $\psi_4$) components of the wave function [5]. In the table below, $N_c$ refers to the number of coupled equations, which for the fully coupled system is two for the singlet and four for the triplet states [5]. Units are in eV. As seen in the table, only the fully coupled system of equations (lower-lower and upper-upper for the singlet, the same in addition to tensor coupling for the triplet) produces accurate results to the require precision.

The corresponding good $\pi - \rho$ splitting obtained in [7] is spoiled if we ignore these couplings, leading to $m_\pi \sim 850$ MeV, $m_\rho \sim 1060$ MeV. The same relativistic structure in the constraint equations responsible for the success of the Sommerfeld-Balmer formula for positronium spin singlet states appears to be important for bringing the pion mass down to its observed value.

3. Goldstone Behavior of the Pion.

As a bonus, we find [32], [6], [7] that the pion is a Goldstone boson in the sense that

$$m_\pi(m_q \to 0) \to 0,$$

(2.32)
while the $\rho$ and excited $\pi$ have finite mass in this limit. However, if the TBDE for the pion is truncated so that the coupling to the lower-lower component is dropped, then the pion loses its Goldstone boson behavior. Its mass no longer decreases toward zero with vanishing quark mass. This and the $\pi - \rho$ result above support our contention that the pion does not need to be treated in a special way insofar as the binding mechanism is concerned. The light pion mass as well as its Goldstone behavior is a natural outgrowth of the covariant Two-Body Dirac formalism. We now see how this model for the pion and other mesons holds up for a different probe, that of $2\gamma$ decays.

III. TWO GAMMA DECAY AMPLITUDES FOR POSITRONIUM AND QUARKONIUM

Our treatment of decays in the sections below are for general angular momentum states but for illustrative purposes we begin by considering a treatment of singlet positronium or quarkonium systems. They can be viewed as bosons given by the state vector

$$\ket{1S_0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int d^3p \bar{\psi}(p)(b^+ p d^2_+ - b^- p d^2_-)\ket{0}. \quad (3.1)$$

Both the electron and positron (or quark and antiquark) are off shell but on energy shell. The amplitudes for the annihilation of a quark-antiquark pair into two photons are given by the Feynman diagrams in Fig. 1.

![Feynman diagrams for the annihilation of a quark-antiquark pair into two photons.](image)

The singlet amplitude for annihilation of a free $e^+e^-$ pair with momenta $p_+$ and $p_-$ into two photons with polarizations $\epsilon^{(a_1)}$, $\epsilon^{(a_2)}$ and momenta $k_1 = (w/2, k)$, $k_2 = (w/2, -k)$ is

$$M_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{e^2}{(2\pi)^3\sqrt{2}} \left[ \bar{\psi}^{(a_+)}(p_+) \gamma \cdot \epsilon^{(a_1)} \frac{m - \gamma \cdot (p_- - k_1)}{(p_- - k_1)^2 + m^2 - i0} \cdot \epsilon^{(a_2)} 
+ \gamma \cdot \epsilon^{(a_2)} \frac{m - \gamma \cdot (p_- - k_2)}{(p_- - k_2)^2 + m^2 - i0} \cdot \epsilon^{(a_1)} \right] u^{(a_-)}(p_-) - (s_+ \leftrightarrow s_-). \quad (3.2)$$

For positronium or quarkonium, we would replace this decay amplitude by

$$M_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \int d^3 p \bar{\psi}^{1S_0}(p) M_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3 w} M_{1S_0 \rightarrow 2\gamma}. \quad (3.3)$$

Unlike free amplitudes, the fermion spinors and momenta in $M_{1S_0 \rightarrow 2\gamma}$ are not on shell.

A. Sixteen Component Two Gamma Decay Formalism

The amplitude in Eq. (3.3) above is of the form (in CM)

$$M_{X \rightarrow 2\gamma} = \int d^3 p \bar{\psi}(p) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{\psi}^{(a_+)}(-p)\Gamma(p, k)u^{(a_-)}(p) - \bar{\psi}^{(a_-)}(-p)\Gamma(p, k)u^{(a_+)}(p)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int d^3 p \bar{\psi}(p) Tr \Gamma(p, k) [u^{(a_-)}(p)\bar{\psi}^{(a_+)}(-p) - u^{(a_+)}(p)\bar{\psi}^{(a_-)}(-p)], \quad (3.4)$$
in which

\[ \Gamma(p, k) = e^2 \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} \frac{m - \gamma \cdot (p - k)}{(p - k)^2 + m^2} \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} + \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} \frac{m - \gamma \cdot (p + k)}{(p + k)^2 + m^2} \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.5) 

We replace this amplitude for general angular momentum states by

\[ \int d^3 p T r \Gamma(p, k) \psi(p), \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.6) 

where \( \psi(p) \) is our bound state wave function in matrix form in an arbitrary angular momentum state. Thus we are expanding our investigation from \( ^1S_0 \) states to general \( ^1L_i \) and \( ^3L_{\pm 1} \) states. In the case of \( ^1S_0 \) states what we are doing amounts to replacing the matrix wave function \( \psi(p)[u(s_-)(p)v(s_+)(-p) - u(s_+)(p)v(s_-)(-p)] \) having a spin structure governed by free Dirac spinors by the matrix wave function \( \psi(p) \) which, unlike the solution constructed from the free spinors, is a solution of the full interacting set of Two-Body Dirac equations. Similar comments apply for the other angular momentum states. In terms of the Fourier transformed matrix wave function \( \psi(r) \) (defined below in Eqs. (3.27) and (3.31))

\[ \int d^3 p T r \Gamma(p, k) \psi(p) = \int d^3 r Tr[\psi(r) \int d^3 p \frac{\exp(-i p \cdot r)}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \Gamma(p, k)]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.7) 

Now

\[ \int d^3 q \frac{\exp(-i q \cdot r)}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \Gamma(p, k) = \exp(-i k \cdot r) \int d^3 q \frac{\exp(-i q \cdot r)}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \Gamma_D(q) + \exp(i k \cdot r) \int d^3 q \frac{\exp(-i q \cdot r)}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \Gamma_C(q), \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.8) 

where

\[ \Gamma_D(q) = e^2 \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} \frac{m - q \gamma}{m^2 + q^2} \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.9) 

\[ \Gamma_C(q) = e^2 \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} \frac{m - q \gamma}{m^2 + q^2} \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}. \]

Performing the Fourier transforms gives

\[ \int d^3 q \frac{\exp(-i q \cdot r)}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \Gamma_D(q) = e^2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}(m - i \gamma \cdot \nabla) \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.10) 

and

\[ \int d^3 q \frac{\exp(-i q \cdot r)}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \Gamma_C(q) = e^2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}(m - i \gamma \cdot \nabla) \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.11) 

This generalizes the configuration space form given in [15] to the amplitude below depending on the full \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix wave function

\[ M_{\beta \gamma} = \int d^3 r \exp(-i k \cdot r) Tr[\psi(r) \int d^3 p \frac{\exp(-i p \cdot r)}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \Gamma(p, k)] \]

\[ = e^2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int d^3 r Tr[\psi(r)[\exp(-i k \cdot r) \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}(m - i \gamma \cdot \nabla) \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}]

+ \exp(i k \cdot r) \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}(m - i \gamma \cdot \nabla) \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}]]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.12)
The wave function will often display mild singularities at the origin typical for relativistic wave functions. For example the ground state solution corresponding to Eq. (2.27) is

$$\psi(r) = \frac{(m\alpha)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{4\pi\Gamma(2 + 1/4 - \alpha^2)}}(rm\alpha)^{(1/2 + \sqrt{1/4 - \alpha^2})}\exp(-\alpha mr/2).$$

(3.13)

The mild singularity at the origin appearing in this equation is rendered harmless by the smearing action of the Yukawa distribution that comes from folding the effects of the decay amplitude with that of the wave function [15].

### 1. 4 × 4 Matrix Form of Solutions of the Two-Body Dirac Equations

To accommodate the structure of the TBDE to the above decay amplitude we explicitly construct the $4 \times 4$ matrix wave function solution $\psi(r)$ of the equation. First we observe that one can write Eqs. (2.18) in terms of mass and energy potentials and their derivatives analogous to what is done in the case of two-spinless particles [5, 6],[34],[9]. In analogy to the solution (2.11) we gave to the third law condition in the spinless case we define

$$M_1 = m_1 \cosh L(S, A) + m_2 \sinh L(S, A),$$

$$M_2 = m_2 \cosh L(S, A) + m_1 \sinh L(S, A),$$

(3.14)

$$E_1 = \varepsilon_1 \cosh G(A) - \varepsilon_2 \sinh G(A),$$

$$E_2 = \varepsilon_2 \cosh G(A) - \varepsilon_1 \sinh G(A).$$

(3.15)

In terms of these functions the coupled Two-Body Dirac equations in an arbitrary frame have the form $S_i \psi = 0$ in which

$$S_1 = \exp(G) \beta_1 \Sigma_1 \cdot P_1 + E_1 \beta_1 \gamma_{51} + M_1 \gamma_{51} - \exp(G) \frac{i}{2} \Sigma_2 \cdot \partial(G \beta_1 + L \beta_2) \gamma_{51} \gamma_{52},$$

$$S_2 = -\exp(G) \beta_2 \Sigma_2 \cdot P_2 + E_2 \beta_2 \gamma_{52} + M_2 \gamma_{52} + \exp(G) \frac{i}{2} \Sigma_1 \cdot \partial(G \beta_2 + L \beta_1) \gamma_{51} \gamma_{52},$$

(3.16)

with

$$P_i = p - \frac{i}{2} \Sigma_1 \cdot \partial G \Sigma_i.$$  

(3.17)

The gamma matrices have block forms given in Appendix A.

If we use the combinations $\phi_\pm = \psi_1 \pm \psi_3$ and $\chi_\pm = \psi_2 \pm \psi_3$, then unlike Eqs. (2.24) and (2.25), the corresponding Schrödinger-like equations decouple [6],[34],[7]. We obtain [7]

$$|p|^2 + 2m_w S + S^2 + 2\varepsilon_w A - A^2$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 G + \frac{3}{4} G^2 - (G' + L')^2 + G'F'$$

$$- L \cdot (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) F' + L \cdot (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) l' + i q' L \cdot (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)$$

$$+ 2F' i \hat{r} \cdot p + i K' (\sigma_1 \cdot \hat{r} \sigma_2 \cdot p + \sigma_2 \cdot \hat{r} \sigma_1 \cdot p)$$

$$+ \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 (\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 G + \frac{1}{2r} L' - \frac{1}{2} G'' - G'F') + \sigma_1 \cdot \hat{r} \sigma_2 \cdot \hat{r}(\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 L - (\frac{3}{2r} + F'))) \phi_+$$

$$= \delta^2(w) \phi_+,$$

(3.18)

where the prime symbol stands for $d/dr$. We have used the abbreviations

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \log D - G,$$

$$D = E_2 M_1 + E_1 M_2,$$

$$K = \frac{(G + L)}{2},$$

$$l'(r) = -\frac{1}{2r} E_2 M_2 - E_1 M_2 (L - G'),$$

$$q'(r) = \frac{1}{2r} E_2 M_1 + E_1 M_2 (L - G').$$

(3.19)
We work in the CM frame in which \( \hat{P} = (1, \mathbf{0}) \) and \( \hat{r} = (0, \mathbf{r}) \). Once we find the four component solutions \( \phi_+ \) to this equation we can obtain the other twelve components \( \phi_-, \chi_- \). In Appendix B we find from Eq. (3.16)

\[
\chi_+ = \frac{\exp(G)}{D} \left\{ M_2[\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_2 \cdot \nabla (-G - L + G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)] \right. \\
- M_1[\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_1 \cdot \nabla (-G - L + G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)] \bigg\} \phi_+, \tag{3.20}
\]

and similarly

\[
\chi_- = -\frac{\exp(G)}{D} \left\{ E_2[\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_2 \cdot \nabla (-G - L + G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)] \right. \\
+ E_1[\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_1 \cdot \nabla (-G - L + G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)] \bigg\} \phi_+, \tag{3.21}
\]

and

\[
\phi_- = \left\{ \frac{(E_2 E_1 + M_2 M_1)}{D} \phi_+ \right. \\
- \frac{1}{2D} \left[ (E_2 D_1^{1+} - E_1 D_2^{-+}) \frac{1}{D} (M_2 D_1^{1+} - M_1 D_2^{+-}) \right] \\
- \left[ (M_2 D_1^{1-} + M_1 D_2^{-+}) \frac{1}{D} (E_2 D_1^{1+} + E_1 D_2^{+-}) \right] \phi_+, \tag{3.22}
\]

in which

\[
D_1^{1+} = \exp(G)[\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_2 \cdot \nabla (-G - L + G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)], \\
D_1^{1-} = \exp(G)[\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \sigma_2 \cdot \nabla (-G - L + G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)], \\
D_1^{-+} = \exp(G)[\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \sigma_2 \cdot \nabla (G + L - G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)], \tag{3.23a}
\]

and

\[
D_2^{++} = \exp(G)[\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \sigma_1 \cdot \nabla (G - L + G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)], \\
D_2^{+-} = \exp(G)[\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \sigma_1 \cdot \nabla (G - L + G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)], \\
D_2^{-+} = \exp(G)[\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \sigma_1 \cdot \nabla (G + L - G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)]. \tag{3.23b}
\]

We then further define four component wave functions \( \psi_{\pm}, \eta_{\pm} \) related to the above by [9]

\[
\phi_{\pm} = \exp(F + K \sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p}) g_{\pm} = \exp F (\cosh K + \sinh K \sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p}) g_{\pm}, \\
\chi_{\pm} = \exp(F + K \sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \eta_{\pm} = \exp F (\cosh K + \sinh K \sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \eta_{\pm}, \tag{3.24}
\]

In this case the decoupled form of the Schrödinger-like equation for \( \psi_{\pm} \) has the convenient property that the coefficients of the first order relative momentum terms \( \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) and \( (\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p}) + \sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) as appear in Eq. (3.18) vanish. We obtain
\[ \{ p^2 + 2m,w S^2 + S^2 + 2\varepsilon,w A - A^2 - \frac{2F'(\cosh 2K - 1)}{r} \} + 2F' + 2K'^2 + \frac{2K'\sinh 2K}{r} \]
\[ - \nabla^2 F - F'' - K'^2 - \frac{2(\cosh 2K - 1)}{r^2} + m(r) \]
\[ + L \cdot (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)[\frac{F'}{r} - \frac{F'(\cosh 2K - 1)}{r^2} - (\cosh 2K - 1) + \frac{K'\sinh 2K}{r}] \]
\[ + L \cdot (\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)(l'\cosh 2K - q'\sinh 2K) \]
\[ + iL \cdot \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2 (q'\cosh 2K + l'\sinh 2K) \]
\[ + \sigma_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{L} \cdot (\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)\left(- \frac{K'(\cosh 2K - 1)}{r} + \sinh 2K - \frac{K'}{r} + \frac{F'\sinh 2K}{r}\right) \]
\[ + \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2[k(r) - \frac{F'\sinh 2K}{2r} - \frac{F'(\cosh 2K - 1)}{r} + \frac{K'\sinh 2K}{r}] \]
\[ + \frac{K'(\cosh 2K - 1)}{r} + \frac{\sinh 2K}{r^2} - (\cosh 2K - 1) \]
\[ + \sigma_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 \cdot \vec{n}(r) + \frac{3F'\sinh 2K}{3r} + \frac{F'(\cosh 2K - 1)}{r} + 2F'K' - \frac{K'\sinh 2K}{r} \]
\[ - \frac{3K'(\cosh 2K - 1)}{r} - \nabla^2 K + \frac{3\sinh 2K}{r^2} + \frac{(\cosh 2K - 1)}{r^2}\} \psi_+ \]
\[ = b^2 \psi_+ , \] (3.25)

in which
\[ k(r) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 G - \frac{1}{2} \frac{G''}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{G'K'}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{G'K'}{r} + \frac{K'}{r} , \]
\[ n(r) = \nabla^2 K - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nabla^2 G}{2} - \frac{2K'F'}{2} + \frac{G'F'}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{G'}{r} , \]
\[ m(r) = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 G + \frac{3}{4} \frac{G'^2}{4} + G'F' - K'^2 , \] (3.26)

For equal mass singlet states, the hyperbolic terms cancel. The spin-orbit difference terms in general produce spin mixing.

2. Matrix Form of the Wave Functions

We now construct the 4 x 4 matrix forms (appropriate for a spin-one-half particle-antiparticle system) from the sixteen component forms (appropriate for system of two spin-one-half particles). We begin by writing the 16 component spinor wave function as
\[ \psi = \psi_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \psi_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \psi_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \psi_4 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \]
\[ = \frac{\phi_+}{2} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \otimes \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] + \frac{\phi_-}{2} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \otimes \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \]
\[ + \frac{\chi_+}{2} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \otimes \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] + \frac{\chi_-}{2} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \otimes \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \] (3.27)

The spinors \( \psi_i \) as well as \( \phi_{\pm} = \psi_1 \pm \psi_4 \), \( \chi_{\pm} = \psi_2 \pm \psi_3 \) are themselves four component Pauli spinors (upon which \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \), operate). The conversion from sixteen component spinor wave functions to four by four matrix wave functions now can be carried out in a two-step process. First, as in [34, 35], the “energy” or q space column vector direct products are converted to 4x4 matrices as follows (recall the factor of \( i\alpha_y \) plus the transpose operation changes particle spinor into antiparticle spinor)
\[ \Psi(1) \otimes \Psi(2) \rightarrow \Psi(1)\Psi^T(2) i\alpha_2 = \Psi(1)\Psi^T(2) q_1 \otimes i\sigma_2 , \] (3.28)
in which \(\sigma_0, \sigma_i, q_0, q_i; i = 1, 2, 3\) are the \(2 \times 2\) unit and three Pauli matrices in commuting spaces (spin and energy space)

\[
\sigma_i \sigma_j = \delta_{ij} \sigma_0 + i \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k,
\]
\[
q_i q_j = \delta_{ij} q_0 + i \varepsilon_{ijk} q_k,
\]

and whose direct products form the Dirac matrices. Second, the \(\phi_{\pm}, \chi_{\pm}\) four component Pauli spinors are converted to \(2 \times 2\) matrices in \(\sigma\) and \(q\) space by

\[
\phi_{\pm} \rightarrow \phi_{\pm} = (\phi_{\pm 0} \sigma_0 + \phi_{\pm} \sigma ) ,
\]
\[
\chi_{\pm} \rightarrow \chi_{\pm} = (\chi_{\pm 0} \sigma_0 + \chi_{\pm} \sigma ) .
\]

Together, the \(4 \times 4\) matrix wave function in \(\sigma, q\) space is

\[
\left( \frac{\phi_+}{2} \otimes q_0 + \frac{\phi_-}{2} \otimes q_3 + \frac{\chi_+}{2} \otimes q_1 + \frac{\chi_-}{2} \otimes i q_2 \right) q_1 \otimes i \sigma_2
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{\phi_+}{2} \otimes q_1 + \frac{\phi_-}{2} \otimes i q_2 + \frac{\chi_+}{2} \otimes q_0 + \frac{\chi_-}{2} \otimes q_3 \right) i \sigma_2 \otimes 1
\]
\[
= \left( \frac{\phi_+ i \sigma_2}{2} \otimes q_1 + \frac{\phi_- i \sigma_2}{2} \otimes i q_2 + \frac{\chi_+ i \sigma_2}{2} \otimes q_0 + \frac{\chi_- i \sigma_2}{2} \otimes q_3 \right)
\]
\[
\rightarrow \left( \frac{\phi_+}{2} \otimes q_1 + \frac{\phi_-}{2} \otimes i q_2 + \frac{\chi_+}{2} \otimes q_0 + \frac{\chi_-}{2} \otimes q_3 \right),
\]

where for convenience we have absorbed the factor \(i \sigma_2\) into the wave functions as the wave function is arbitrary up to a constant multiplicative matrix and we have used the same symbol for each of the transformed wave functions to simplify notation. In our work below we drop the direct product symbol \(\otimes\), it being understood to apply whenever \(\sigma\) and \(q\) space matrices multiply one another.

The four component spinors \(\psi_{\pm}\) and \(\eta_{\pm}\) are similarly transformed into matrices which can be expanded in terms of \(\sigma_0\) and \(\sigma\).

\[
\psi_{\pm} \rightarrow \psi_{\pm} = (\psi_{\pm 0} \sigma_0 + \psi_{\pm} \sigma),
\]
\[
\eta_{\pm} \rightarrow \eta_{\pm} = (\eta_{\pm 0} \sigma_0 + \eta_{\pm} \sigma).
\]

With \(A\) a generic matrix, Eq. (3.28) leads to

\[
\sigma_1 \cdot A \psi_+ \rightarrow A \cdot \sigma (\psi_{+0} \sigma_0 + \psi_{+} \sigma)
\]
\[
= A \cdot \psi_{+0} \sigma_0 + A \cdot \sigma \psi_{+0} + i A \times \psi_{+} \cdot \sigma ,
\]
\[
\sigma_2 \cdot A \psi_+ \rightarrow -A \cdot \left( \psi_{+0} \sigma_0 + \psi_{+} \sigma \right) \sigma
\]
\[
= -A \cdot \psi_{+0} \sigma_0 - A \cdot \sigma \psi_{+0} + i A \times \psi_{+} \cdot \sigma ,
\]
\[
\sigma_1 \cdot A \sigma_2 \cdot A \psi_+ \rightarrow -\sigma \cdot A \left( \psi_{+0} \sigma_0 + \psi_{+} \sigma \right) \sigma \cdot A
\]
\[
= -A^2 \phi_{+0} \sigma_0 + (A^2 \psi_{+} - 2A \cdot \psi_{+} A) \cdot \sigma ,
\]

which are needed to convert Eqs. (3.20), (3.21), and (3.22) into their matrix counterparts. In terms of matrix wave functions \(\phi_{\pm}, \chi_{\pm}, \psi_{\pm}\), and \(\eta_{\pm}\), we find that Eq. (3.24) becomes

\[
\phi_{\pm 0} = \exp(F - K) \psi_{\pm 0}; \quad \phi_{\pm} = \exp(F + K)(1 - (1 - \exp(-2K)) \hat{F} \cdot \psi_{\pm},
\]
\[
\chi_{\pm 0} = \exp(F - K) \eta_{\pm 0}; \quad \chi_{\pm} = \exp(F + K)(1 - (1 - \exp(-2K)) \hat{F} \cdot \eta_{\pm}.
\]

We write the \(4 \times 4\) matrix wave function form of the sixteen component \(\psi\) to be used in our decay amplitude in terms of the matrix form

\[
\Psi(r) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (\psi_+ q_1 + \psi_- i q_2 + \eta_+ q_0 + \eta_- q_3),
\]

where \(\psi_+ = \psi_{+0} \sigma_0 + \psi_{+} \sigma\) is the \(2 \times 2\) matrix form of the solution of the above Schrödinger-like Pauli equation (3.25).
Using these four components, the remaining twelve components $\psi_0^-, \psi_-, \eta_{\pm 0}^\dagger$, and $\eta_{\pm}^\dagger$ are obtained from Eqs. (3.20), (3.21), (3.22), and (3.34). In all of our decays the particle antiparticle pairs have the same mass: $m_1 = m_1 \equiv m$ and so $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 \equiv \varepsilon = w/2$. Using the definition

$$
M_1 = M_2 \equiv M = m \exp(L),
$$
$$
E_1 = E_2 \equiv E = \varepsilon \exp(-G),
$$

we show in Appendix B

$$
\eta_{+0} = \frac{\exp(G + 2K)}{E} \left[ \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L + 2F + 2K) \right] \cdot \left[ 1 + Q_m \mathbf{\hat{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} \right] \psi_+, \tag{3.37}
$$
$$
\eta_+ = \frac{\exp(-L)}{E} \left\{ \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla L \right) + Q_p (\mathbf{\hat{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla L) \right\} \psi_{+0},
$$

and

$$
\eta_{-0} = 0, \quad \eta_- = -\frac{\exp(G)}{M} \left[ 1 + Q_p \mathbf{\hat{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} \right] \cdot \left[ i \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (L - 2G) \right] \times \left[ 1 + Q_m \mathbf{\hat{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} \right] \psi_+. \tag{3.38}
$$

The final four components of the four by four matrix wave function found in Appendix B are

$$
\psi_{-0} = \left( \frac{E^2 + M^2}{2EM} - \frac{\exp(2G)}{2EM} \right) \left[ \mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \nabla L \right] \cdot \left[ \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla L \right] \psi_{+0},
$$
$$
\psi_- = \left( \frac{E^2 + M^2}{2EM} \right) \psi_+ - \frac{\exp(2G)}{2EM} \left[ 1 + Q_p \mathbf{\hat{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} \right]
$$
$$
\cdot \left( \left[ \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L + 6G) \right] \left[ \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (3L - 2G) \right] \times \left[ 1 + Q_m \mathbf{\hat{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} \right] \psi_+ 
$$
$$
+ \left[ \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L + 2G) \right] \times \left\{ \left[ \mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L - 2G) \right] \times \left[ 1 + Q_m \mathbf{\hat{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} \right] \psi_+ \right\} \right), \tag{3.39}
$$

where

$$
Q_p \equiv \exp(2K) - 1,
$$
$$
Q_m \equiv \exp(-2K) - 1. \tag{3.40}
$$

We also show in Appendix B how for both singlet and triplet states these solutions together with Eq. (3.35) are related to the solutions governed by the free Dirac spinors in the absence of interactions (see also Eq. (3.4) and discussion below (3.6)).

3. Covariant Normalization Conditions for the Matrix Wave Function

In this section we discuss how the norm of our matrix wave function will differ from the naive form of

$$
\frac{1}{8} \int d^3x Tr_{\sigma} \Psi^\dagger \Psi = \frac{1}{4} \int d^3x Tr_{\sigma} \left\{ \psi_+^\dagger \psi_+ + \psi_-^\dagger \psi_- + \eta_+^\dagger \eta_+ + \eta_-^\dagger \eta_- \right\} = 1. \tag{3.41}
$$

In a series of papers in the context of constraint dynamics, H. Sazdjian has shown [36] how this norm must be modified so that, like its nonrelativistic counterpart, its constancy is connected to a conserved, in this two-body case, tensor current. The norm he developed was not for the solution of a quasipotential equation like Eq. (3.25) but rather developed from a set of two-body Dirac equations similar to those we use here. It deviated from one like the above by terms that depend on the interaction as well as the way in which the interaction depends on the CM energy. Later work [37] simplified the norm to one that is interaction independent when the interaction is independent of the energy. In terms of the 16 component spinor solutions $\psi$ of the Two-Body Dirac equations given in Eqs. (2.18) we found the norm condition of

$$
\int d^3x |\psi|^2 \left( 1 + 4w^2 \beta \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial w^2} \right) \equiv \int d^3x \psi^\dagger L \psi = 1. \tag{3.42}
$$
If the matrix $\Delta$ is CM energy independent, then the norm is like that of the (one-body) Dirac equation (with no energy dependence of the interactions). We call the norm of Eq. (3.41) the naive norm (NN) and that of Eq. (3.42) the two-body Dirac norm (TBDN). The connection between the matrix interaction function $\Delta$ and the core scalar and vector interactions appearing in Eqs. (2.18) were found in [37]. There we showed that 2.18 has the hyperbolic structure

$$S_1\psi = (\cosh(\Delta)S_1 + \sinh(\Delta)S_2)\psi = 0,$$

$$S_2\psi = (\cosh(\Delta)S_2 + \sinh(\Delta)S_1)\psi = 0,$$

(3.43)

in which

$$S_1\psi \equiv (S_{10}\cosh(\Delta) + S_{20}\sinh(\Delta))\psi = 0,$$

$$S_2\psi \equiv (S_{20}\cosh(\Delta) + S_{10}\sinh(\Delta))\psi = 0,$$

(3.44)

with

$$S_{10}\psi \equiv (-\beta_1\Sigma_1 \cdot p + \epsilon_1\gamma_{51} + m_1\gamma_{51})\psi,$$

$$S_{20}\psi \equiv (\beta_2\Sigma_2 \cdot p + \epsilon_2\beta_2\gamma_{52} + m_2\gamma_{52})\psi$$

(3.45)

and

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{51}\gamma_{52}[L(x_\perp) - \gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 G(x_\perp)].$$

(3.46)

with $L$ and $G$ given in Eq. (3.36) (see also [41]). In matrix form the connection given in Eqs. (3.34), (3.31), and (3.27) between the matrix form of the wave function $\psi$ of (2.18,3.43) and $\Psi$ is

$$\psi = \exp(F)[\cosh K\Psi(r) - \sinh K\Sigma \cdot \hat{r}\Psi(r)\Sigma \cdot \hat{r}$$

$$\equiv K\Psi(r)$$

(3.47)

In Appendix C we show that in terms of the matrix wave function $\Psi$ solution (3.35) to Eq. (3.25) the normalization condition can be written as

$$\int d^3x Tr\psi^\dagger L\psi = \int d^3x Tr (K\Psi(r))^\dagger L K\Psi(r) = 1.$$

(3.48)

There we also give the matrix form of the operator $L$. The deviation of the matrices $K$ and $L$ from the unit matrix will affect the decay rates. The decay amplitude (3.12) in terms of the matrix wave function $\Psi(r)$ is

$$M_{X \rightarrow 2\gamma} = e^2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int d^3r Tr_{\sigma q}(K\Psi(r)$$

$$\times[\exp(-ik \cdot r)q_{\beta\gamma_1}\sigma \cdot e^{(\alpha_1)}(m - iq_{\beta\gamma_1}\sigma \cdot \nabla)\exp(-mr)q_{\beta\gamma_1}\sigma \cdot e^{(\alpha_2)}$$

$$+ \exp(ik \cdot r)q_{\beta\gamma_1}\sigma \cdot e^{(\alpha_2)}(m - iq_{\beta\gamma_1}\sigma \cdot \nabla)\exp(-mr)q_{\beta\gamma_1}\sigma \cdot e^{(\alpha_1)}]).$$

(3.49)

4. Scalar and Vector Wave Functions in Vector Spherical Harmonics

Given the above wave functions we now write down the total 4x4 matrix wave function in terms of $\psi_+$. The spin-zero part of the total wave function is governed by $\psi_{+0}$, the spin-one portion by $\psi_+$. These wave functions appear in the forms

$$\psi_+ = \psi_{+0}\sigma_0 + \Psi_+\sigma,$$

$$\psi_{+0} = \frac{u_{+i}^0}{r}Y_{jm},$$

$$\Psi_+ = \frac{u_{+i+j-j}^0}{r}Y_{jm} + \frac{u_{+i-j-j}^0}{r}Y_{jm} + \frac{u_{+i+j}^0}{r}X_{jm},$$

(3.50)
In Appendix C we show that the TBDN for spin-singlet states is of the radial portions of the solution to Eq. (3.25). It requires the radial form of Eq. (3.25) which is simply

\[ Y_{jm}^+ = (a_+ \hat{r} + r b_+ p) Y_{jm}, \]
\[ Y_{jm}^- = (a_- \hat{r} + r b_- p) Y_{jm}, \]
\[ X_{jm} = \frac{LY_{jm}}{\sqrt{j(j+1)}}, \tag{3.51} \]

are vector spherical harmonic eigenfunctions of \( L^2 \) with eigenvalue \( l(l+1) \) where \( l = j+1, j-1, j \) respectively. The coefficients are

\[ a_+ = -\sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}}, \quad a_- = \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}}, \]
\[ b_+ = \frac{i}{j+1} \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}}, \quad b_- = \frac{i}{j} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}}. \tag{3.52} \]

In our work below, there will be no spin mixing and the unnatural parity solutions \((u^+_1/r)X_{jm}(\Omega)\) will not contribute.

For spin-singlet states \((\psi_+ = 0)\), Eqs. (3.35), (3.37), (3.39) imply the following combination of scalar and vector wave functions

\[ \psi|j=0 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (\psi_0 \sigma_0 q_1 + \psi^{-0} \sigma_0 q_2 + \eta_+ \cdot \sigma q_0). \tag{3.53} \]

In Appendix C we show that the TBDN for spin-singlet states is

\[ 1 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \exp(2F)[\exp(-2K)(\psi^+_0 \psi^+_0 + \psi^{-0} \psi^{-0}) + \exp(2K)\eta^+_0 \cdot \eta^+_0 - 2 \sinh 2K \eta^+_0 \cdot \hat{r} \eta^+_0 \cdot \hat{r}]
+ [2w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial w^2} \exp(-2K)(\psi^+_0 \psi^+_0 - \psi^{-0} \psi^{-0}) - \exp(2K)\eta^+_0 \cdot \eta^+_0 + 2 \sinh 2K \eta^+_0 \cdot \hat{r} \eta^+_0 \cdot \hat{r}]
+ [2w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial w^2} \exp(-2K)(2\psi^+_0 \psi^+_0 + \psi^{-0} \psi^{-0})]] \tag{3.54} \]

The naive norm (NN) is given by the above two-body Dirac norm by \( L, K \rightarrow 1 \) or equivalently by \( \exp(F), \exp(K) \rightarrow 1 \), \( \partial L/\partial w^2 \), and \( \partial G/\partial w^2 \rightarrow 0 \) and is

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \{[\psi^+_0 \psi^+_0 + \eta^+_0 \cdot \eta^+_0 + \psi^{-0} \psi^{-0}] = 1. \tag{3.55} \]

Appendix D gives from Eqs. (3.37) and (3.39) the needed radial forms for the contributing wave functions in terms of the radial portions of the solution to Eq. (3.25). It requires the radial form of Eq. (3.25) which is simply

\[ -\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{j(j+1)}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 L - \frac{1}{4} (\nabla L)^2 \frac{u^+_{0j}}{r} = B^2 \exp(-2G) \frac{u^+_{0j}}{r}, \tag{3.56} \]

where \[ B^2 \equiv E^2 - M^2 \]
\[ -B^2 \exp(-2G) = 2m_w s + s^2 + 2\varepsilon_w a - A^2. \tag{3.57} \]

Appendix D gives us the relations between the contributing wave functions \( \psi_{+0}, \psi_{-0}, \) and \( \eta^+ \). They are

\[ \psi_{-0} \equiv u^+_{0j} \psi_{jm} = \frac{M}{E} \psi_{+0} = \frac{M}{E} u^+_{0j} \psi_{jm}, \tag{3.58} \]

and

\[ \eta^+ \equiv \frac{i}{j} \frac{\psi_{+0}}{r} Y_{jm} - \frac{\psi_{+0}}{r} Y_{jm+}, \]
\[ \frac{u^+_{(j-1)lj}}{r} = \frac{\exp(G - 2K)}{E} \left[ \exp(2K)(-\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{L^2}{r}) - \frac{j(j+1)}{2} \right] \frac{u^+_{0j}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}}, \]
\[ \frac{u^+_{(j+1)lj}}{r} = \frac{\exp(G - 2K)}{E} \left[ \exp(2K)(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{L^2}{r}) + \frac{j}{2} \right] \frac{u^+_{0j}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}}. \tag{3.59} \]
For the norm we also need
\[ \mathbf{\eta}_+ \cdot \hat{r} \equiv i(-\frac{v_{j+1}^{+}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} + \frac{v_{j-1}^{+}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}}) Y_{jm}. \] (3.60)

For spin-triplet states (\( \psi_+ = 0 \)), Eqs. (3.35), (3.37), (3.38), and (3.39) imply the combination
\[ \Psi|_{s=1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_+ \sigma q_1 + \psi_- \sigma q_2 + \eta_+ q_0 + \eta_- \sigma q_3), \] (3.61)
and the contributing wave functions are \( \psi_+, \psi_-, \eta_+, \) and \( \eta_- \). In Appendix C we show that the TBDN for spin-triplet states is
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \exp(2F)((\exp(2K)(\psi_+ \cdot \psi_+ + \psi_- \cdot \psi_- + \mathbf{\eta}_+ \cdot \mathbf{\eta}_-) + \exp(-2K)\eta_{+0}^\dagger q_0) + 2 \sinh 2K(\psi_+ \cdot \psi_+ + \psi_- \cdot \psi_- + \mathbf{\eta}_+ \cdot \mathbf{\eta}_-) - \exp(-2K)\eta_{+0}^\dagger q_0)
+ 2 \sinh 2K([\psi_+ \cdot \psi_+ + \psi_- \cdot \psi_- + \mathbf{\eta}_+ \cdot \mathbf{\eta}_-] + 2 \exp(-2K)\eta_{+0}^\dagger q_0)
= 1, \] (3.62)
while the naive norm (NN) is
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x (\psi_+^\dagger \psi_+ + \psi_-^\dagger \psi_- + \eta_{+0}^\dagger q_0 + \eta_-^\dagger \eta_-) = 1. \] (3.63)

In Appendix D we show that Eq. (3.39) gives \( \psi_- \) from
\[
\psi_- = \frac{(E^2 + M^2)}{2EM} \psi_- - \frac{\exp(2G)}{2EM} \left[ B^2 \exp(-2G) \psi_+ + J \right]
= \frac{M}{E} \psi_- - \frac{\exp(2G)}{2EM} J, \] (3.64)
in which
\[
J = \frac{1}{2j+1} \left( (\Phi_- - 2(j+1)B^2 \exp(-2G) + 2\sqrt{j+1})\Phi_+ - A_{m+} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{+}^+}{r} + B_{m+} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{C_{m+} + F_{m+} \frac{d}{dr} + G_{m+} \frac{d}{dr}}{r} \right) \eta_{jm}^{-}
+ \{\Phi_- + \sqrt{j+1}2B^2 \exp(-2G) + 2\sqrt{j+1}\Phi_+ \} \frac{A_{mp}}{r} + \frac{B_{mp}}{r} + \frac{C_{mp} + F_{mp} \frac{d}{dr} + G_{mp} \frac{d}{dr}}{r} \eta_{jm}^{-}
+ \{\Phi_+ + \sqrt{j+1}2B^2 \exp(-2G) - 2\sqrt{j+1}\Phi_- \} \frac{A_{pm}}{r} + \frac{B_{pm}}{r} + \frac{C_{pm} + F_{pm} \frac{d}{dr} + G_{pm} \frac{d}{dr}}{r} \eta_{jm}^{-}
\right), \] (3.65)
(see Appendix D for explicit forms of the functions \( A_{m+}, G_{m+} \)). This equation requires the coupled radial wave equations for spin triplet states that follow from Eq. (3.25). They have the form [9]
\[
[-\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{j+2(j+1)}{r^2} + \Phi_+] u_{+}^{(j+1)1j} \frac{u_{+}^{(j-1)1j}}{r} = B^2 \exp(-2G) \frac{u_{+}^{(j+1)1j}}{r},

[-\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{j}{r^2} + \Phi_-] u_{-}^{(j-1)1j} \frac{u_{-}^{(j+1)1j}}{r} = B^2 \exp(-2G) \frac{u_{-}^{(j-1)1j}}{r}. \] (3.66)

(See Appendix D for the explicit forms of \( u_{\pm} \)). Thus with Eq. (3.50) we have
\[
\frac{u_{-}^{(j-1)1j}}{r} = \frac{M}{E} \frac{u_{+}^{(j-1)1j}}{r} - \exp(2G) 2EM(2j+1) \left( (\Phi_- - 2(j+1)B^2 \exp(-2G) + 2\sqrt{j+1})\Phi_+ \right)
+ \frac{A_{mm}}{r^2} + \frac{B_{mm}}{r} + \frac{C_{mm} + F_{mm} \frac{d}{dr} + G_{mm} \frac{d}{dr}}{r} \frac{u_{+}^{(j+1)1j}}{r}
+ \{\Phi_+ + \sqrt{j+1}2B^2 \exp(-2G) - 2\sqrt{j+1}\Phi_- \} \frac{A_{mp}}{r} + \frac{B_{mp}}{r} + \frac{C_{mp} + F_{mp} \frac{d}{dr} + G_{mp} \frac{d}{dr}}{r} \frac{u_{+}^{(j+1)1j}}{r}, \] (3.67)
We use these wave functions to compute composite 2 decay amplitude Eq.(3.49) which after performing the q space trace gives

$$\mathcal{M}_{X \rightarrow 2\gamma} = \frac{e^2 \sqrt{\pi}}{2} \int d^3r \exp(F) Tr_\sigma \left\{ i \left[ \cosh K \psi_+ - \sinh K \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \tilde{\psi}_- \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \right] \left( \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{\nabla} \right) \exp(-mr) \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{1L_i \rightarrow 2\gamma} = \frac{e^2 \sqrt{\pi}}{2} \int d^3r \exp(F) Tr_\sigma \left\{ i \exp(-K) \psi_{-0} \left[ \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \left[ \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{\nabla} \right] \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)} + \exp(i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)} \left( \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{\nabla} \right) \mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \right] \right\}$$

The trace eliminates the contribution of the portion \( \psi_+(\mathbf{r}) q_1 \) of the wave function.

5. Decay Amplitude for \( ^1L_i \) Composites

Substituting Eq.(3.53) into (3.73),

$$\mathcal{M}_{1L_i \rightarrow 2\gamma} = \frac{e^2 \sqrt{\pi}}{2} \int d^3r \exp(F) Tr_\sigma \left\{ i \exp(-K) \psi_{-0} \left[ \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \left[ \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{\nabla} \right] \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)} + \exp(i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)} \left( \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{\nabla} \right) \mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \right] \right\}$$

In Appendix D we also show that

$$\eta_+ = i \frac{\psi_{10}^j}{r} Y_{jm},$$

and

$$\eta_- = i \frac{\psi_{11}^{j-1}}{r} X_{jm},$$

in which

$$\frac{\psi_{10}}{r} = \frac{\exp(\mathcal{G} + 2K)}{M} \left\{ \left( \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{j(Q_m + 1)}{r} \right) \left( 3\mathcal{G} + \frac{L}{2} \right) \right\} \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \frac{u_{(j-1)1j}}{r},$$

and

$$\frac{\psi_{11}^{j-1}}{r} = -\frac{\exp(\mathcal{G})}{M} \left\{ \left( \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{j(Q_m + 1) + 1}{r} \right) \left( 3\mathcal{G} + \frac{L}{2} \right) \right\} \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \frac{u_{(j+1)1j}}{r}.$$
performing the remaining trace gives using (3.58,3.59) gives
\[
\mathcal{M}_{1 Li \rightarrow 2\gamma} = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{(\alpha_1)} \times e^{(\alpha_2)} \cdot \left[ d^3 r \exp(F)[\exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) - \exp(+i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})] \times \{ \exp(-K) \frac{v_{jm}^+}{r} Y_{jm} \hat{F} \left( \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \right) \right] \\
+ \{ - \exp(K) (v_{(j-1)1j}^+ \mathbf{Y}_{jm-} + v_{(j+1)1j}^+ \mathbf{Y}_{jm+}) \\
+ 2 \sinh(K) Y_{jm} \hat{F} (-v_{(j+1)1j}^+ \frac{\sqrt{j+1}}{2j+1} + v_{(j-1)1j}^+ \frac{\sqrt{j}}{2j+1}) \} m \exp(-mr) \right],
\]
(3.75)

with unit vectors defined in terms of the photon decay momenta and transverse polarization vectors
\[
\hat{z} = \hat{\mathbf{k}}, \\
\frac{(\hat{x} + i\hat{y})}{\sqrt{2}} = e^{(\pm)}.
\]
(3.76)

The integral forms appearing in Eq. (3.75) are treated in Appendix E in which we show (with \( g(r) \) appropriately defined)
\[
\int d^3 r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \hat{\mathbf{f}} g(r) Y_{jm}(\Omega) \\
= 4\pi \sum_{j'=|j-1|}^{j+1} (-i)^j \frac{(2j+1)}{4\pi} (j;00|j'0) \int_0^\infty dr r^2 j_j'(kr) g(r) \\
\times [\hat{\mathbf{k}}(j;00|j'0) \delta_{m0} - e^-(j;11|j'0) \delta_{m-1} + e^+(j;11|j'0) \delta_{m1}].
\]
(3.77)

We also show (with \( f_{\pm}(r) \) appropriately defined)
\[
\int d^3 r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) f_{\pm}(r) Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \\
= 4\pi \hat{k} \frac{(2j+1)}{4\pi} \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 j_{j}(kr) kr b_{\pm} \hat{f}_{\pm}(r) \\
+ 4\pi \frac{(2j+1)}{4\pi} \sum_{j'=|j-1|}^{j+1} (-i)^j \frac{(j;00|j'0)}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 f_{\pm}(r) [(a_{\pm} - 2ib_{\pm})j_{j'}'(kr) - ib_{j'}'j_{j'}(kr)kr] \\
\times [\hat{\mathbf{k}}(j;00|j'0) \delta_{m0} - e^-(j;11|j'0) \delta_{m-1} + e^+(j;11|j'0) \delta_{m1}].
\]
(3.78)

in which
\[
j_{j'}'(kr) = \frac{j_{j-1}(kr) - (j+1)j_{j+1}(kr)}{2j+1}.
\]
(3.79)

Thus
\[
\mathcal{M}_{1 Li \rightarrow 2\gamma} = -\sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{2}} e^{(\alpha_1)} \times e^{(\alpha_2)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \{ F_{j=1} (1 + (-)^j) \delta_{m0} \}
+ \sum_{j'=|j-1|}^{j+1} G_{j'}(1 + (-)^j' \frac{(1 + (-1)^{j+j'})}{2} (j;00|j'0)(j;00|j'0) \delta_{m0}),
\]
(3.80)
in which

\[ F_{j=l} = -2i\pi e^2(-i)^j \int_0^\infty dr m \exp(-mr)j_j(kr)kr \exp(F + K) \times \left( \frac{1}{j + 1} \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} v^+_j(j+1)_j(r) + \frac{1}{j} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} v^+_j(j-1)_j(r) \right) \]

\[ G^{(j')}_{j=l} = -2\pi e^2(-i)^j \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \exp(F)(j_{j'}(kr)\{ (mr + 1) \exp(-K) \frac{u_{j0j}}{r} - 2mr \sinh(K) \left( \frac{v^+_j(j+1)_j}{r} - \frac{v^+_j(j-1)_j}{r} \right) \} + \left( \frac{1}{j + 1} + \frac{2}{j} \right) j_{j'}(kr) + \frac{1}{j + 1} j'_{j'}(kr) \sqrt{\frac{j + 1 + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{v^+_j(j+1)_j(r)}{r} \exp(K)). \] (3.81)

Notice that this amplitude (3.80) is zero for \( j \) odd consistent with the Landau-Yang Theorem. We call this amplitude the two-body Dirac amplitude (TBD). What we call the naive amplitudes (NA) would correspond to the use of the naive norm (\( K = L = 1 \)) together with \( \exp(F), \exp(K) \rightarrow 1 \) in Eq. (3.81).

6. Decay Amplitude for \( {}^3L_{l=\pm 1} \) Composites

Using Eq. (3.61) in (3.73) leaves us with

\[ \mathcal{M}^{3L_{l=\pm 1} \rightarrow 2\gamma} = -\frac{e^2}{2} \sqrt{\pi} \int d^3r \exp(F) Tr \{ \exp(-i\hat{K} \cdot \hat{r}) \{ i \exp K \hat{p} \cdot \hat{r} \cdot \hat{p} \cdot \hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\sigma} \cdot (\hat{e}^{(\alpha)} \cdot \hat{e}^{(\alpha)}) \hat{\sigma} \cdot \nabla + m \exp(-K) \eta_{+0} \hat{\sigma} \cdot (\hat{e}^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot \hat{e}^{(\alpha_2)}) \hat{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \} + \exp(+i\hat{K} \cdot \hat{r}) \{ i \exp K \hat{p} \cdot \hat{r} \cdot \hat{p} \cdot \hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\sigma} \cdot (\hat{e}^{(\alpha)} \cdot \hat{e}^{(\alpha_2)}) \hat{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \} + m \exp(-K) \eta_{+0} \hat{\sigma} \cdot (\hat{e}^{(\alpha_2)} \cdot \hat{e}^{(\alpha_1)}) \hat{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \} \exp(-mr) \frac{r}{r}. \] (3.82)

Notice that only two of the four portions of the triplet wave function (3.61) survive that trace. Performing the \( \hat{\sigma} \) space trace and using Eqs. (3.64) and (3.69) together with

\[ \hat{r} \cdot \hat{\sigma} = -\sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u_{(j+1)j}}{r} Y_{jm} + \frac{u_{(j-1)j}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} Y_{jm}, \] (3.83)

we obtain

\[ \mathcal{M}^{3L_{l=\pm 1} \rightarrow 2\gamma} = -i\sqrt{\pi} e^2 \int d^3r [\exp(-i\hat{K} \cdot \hat{r}) + \exp(i\hat{K} \cdot \hat{r})] \exp(F) \left( \left( \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \right) \right) \times \exp K \left\{ \frac{u_{(j+1)j}}{r} [Y_{jm} + (\Omega) \cdot (\hat{e}^{(\alpha_1)} \hat{e}^{(\alpha_2)}) Y_{jm} + (\Omega) \cdot (\hat{e}^{(\alpha_2)} \hat{e}^{(\alpha_1)}) - Y_{jm} + (\Omega) \cdot (\hat{e}^{(\alpha_2)} \hat{e}^{(\alpha_1)})] \right. \]

\[ + \frac{u_{(j-1)j}}{r} [Y_{jm} - (\Omega) \cdot (\hat{e}^{(\alpha_1)} \hat{e}^{(\alpha_2)}) Y_{jm} - (\Omega) \cdot (\hat{e}^{(\alpha_2)} \hat{e}^{(\alpha_1)}) + Y_{jm} - (\Omega) \cdot (\hat{e}^{(\alpha_2)} \hat{e}^{(\alpha_1)})] \}

\[ - 4 \sinh K \left( \left( \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \right) \right) \left[ -\sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u_{(j+1)j}}{r} + \frac{u_{(j-1)j}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} Y_{jm} \hat{r} \cdot (\hat{e}^{(\alpha_1)} \hat{e}^{(\alpha_2)}) \right] \]

\[ + m \exp(-K) \frac{u_{j0j}}{r} - 2m(1 + 1/r) \sinh K \left( -\sqrt{\frac{j + 1 + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u_{(j+1)j}}{r} + \frac{u_{(j-1)j}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} Y_{jm} (\Omega) (\hat{e}^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot (\hat{e}^{(\alpha_2)} \exp(-mr) \frac{r}{r}) \right) \] (3.84)
With Eq. (3.76), the simplest terms in the above expression include forms like
\[
\int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) g(r) Y_{jm}(\Omega) = 4\pi (-i)^j Y_{jm}(\Omega) \int_0^\infty dr r^2 j_j(kr) g(r)
\]
\[
\rightarrow \frac{4\pi (2j+1)(-i)^j \delta m_0}{\sqrt{2\pi j_j+k}} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 j_j(kr) g(r).
\]
(3.85)

Stepping up in complexity we have the transverse parts of the dyad form
\[
\int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) G(r) \tilde{\mathbf{f}} \tilde{\mathbf{f}}.
\]
(3.86)

In Appendix E we show that transverse portion is
\[
(1 - \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}) \cdot \int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) G(r) \tilde{\mathbf{f}} \cdot (1 - \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k})
\]
\[
= \langle (\epsilon^+ \epsilon^- + \epsilon^- \epsilon^+) \rangle \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{4\pi(2j+1)} \delta m_0 \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j J_j(kr) G(r)
\]
\[
- \sum_{j' = j - 2}^{j+2} \frac{1}{2} \langle j2; 00 | j'0 \rangle | j2; 00 | j'0 \rangle \delta m_0 \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j J_j'(kr) G_{\pm}(r)
\]
\[
+ \langle (\epsilon^+ \epsilon^+) \rangle \sqrt{\frac{8\pi(2j+1)}{3}} \sum_{j' = j - 2}^{j+2} \frac{1}{2} \langle j2; 00 | j'0 \rangle | j2; 00 | j'0 \rangle \delta m_2 - 2 \delta m_2
\]
\[
+ \langle (\epsilon^- \epsilon^-) \rangle \sqrt{\frac{8\pi(2j+1)}{3}} \sum_{j' = j - 2}^{j+2} \frac{1}{2} \langle j2; 00 | j'0 \rangle | j2; 00 | j'0 \rangle \delta m_2
\]
\[
\times \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j J_j(kr) G(r).
\]
(3.87)

Finally, we need the trace as well as transverse parts of the dyad forms
\[
\int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) F_{\pm}(r) Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \tilde{\mathbf{f}}
\]
\[
= \int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) [a_\pm F_{\pm}(r) Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{f}} + b_\pm r F_{\pm}(r) \mathbf{p} Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{f})}.
\]
(3.88)

In Appendix E we show that with Eq. (3.76) the trace portion of Eq. (3.88) is
\[
(\epsilon^+ \epsilon^- + \epsilon^- \epsilon^+) \int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) F_{\pm}(r) Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{f}}
\]
\[
= \langle (\epsilon^+ \epsilon^- + \epsilon^- \epsilon^+) \rangle \sqrt{\frac{4\pi(2j+1)}{3}} (-i)^j \delta m_0 \int_0^\infty r^2 dr F_{\pm}(r) j_j(kr),
\]
(3.89)
while the transverse part is

\[
(1 - \hat{k}\hat{k}) \int d^3r \exp(-ik \cdot r) F_\pm(r) Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \hat{r} \cdot (1 - \hat{k}\hat{k})
\]

\[
= (e^{(+)e^{(-)} + e^{(-)}e^{(+)}) \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{4\pi}(2j + 1) \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j(kr) [(a_\pm + 3ib_\pm)F_\pm(r) + ib_\pm r F'_\pm(r)]
\]

\[
- \sum_{j'=|j-2|}^{j+2} \frac{(1 + (-1)^{j+j'})}{2} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_{j'}(kr) [(a_\pm + 3ib_\pm)F_\pm(r) + ib_\pm r F'_\pm(r)]
\]

\[
+ \left\{ (e^{(+)e^{(+)})} \sqrt{\frac{8\pi(2j + 1)}{3}} \sum_{j'=|j-2|}^{j+2} \frac{(1 + (-1)^{j+j'})}{2} (j_0j_0)j_0j'_0 \delta_{m2} - \frac{1}{6} |(\epsilon^{(+)e^{(+)})} \cdot (e^{(+)e^{(+)})}, j_{j'}(kr) [a_\pm F_\pm(r) + ib_\pm r F'_\pm(r)]
\]

\[
\times \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_{j'}(kr) [a_\pm F_\pm(r) + ib_\pm r F'_\pm(r)]. \tag{3.90}
\]

After integrations by parts, substitution of values of \(a_\pm, ib_\pm\) and combining with the other portions, we obtain

\[
M_{L_j=\pm 2} = A_{j=\pm 1} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \exp(F) \left\{ -3j_j(kr) \{ mr \exp(-K) \frac{uj_0j}{r} \right\}
\]

\[
2 \sinh K \left\{ - \frac{j + 1}{2j + 1} \frac{u_{j+11j}}{r} + \frac{u_{j-11j}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} \right\}
\]

\[
+ (mr + 1) \exp K \left\{ j_j(kr) + \frac{2}{j + 1} j'_j(kr) \frac{u_{j+11j}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} \right\}
\]

\[
+ (-j_j(kr) + \frac{2}{j} j'_j(kr) \frac{u_{j-11j}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} j_j(kr))
\]

\[
- 4 \sinh K (mr + 1) \left\{ - \frac{j + 1}{2j + 1} \frac{u_{j+11j}}{r} + \frac{u_{j-11j}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} j_j(kr) \right\}
\]

\[
B_{j'_j=\pm 1} = -i \frac{2\pi e^2}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \exp(F)(mr + 1). \tag{3.92}
\]

\[
\times \left\{ \exp K \left\{ \left[ j_j(kr) + \frac{1}{j + 1} j'_j(kr) \frac{u_{j+11j}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} \right] \right\} \right\}
\]

\[
+ \left\{ \left( \frac{2}{j + 1} j_j(kr) + \frac{1}{j} j'_j(kr) \frac{u_{j-11j}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} j_j(kr) \right) \right\}
\]

As in the singlet case we obtain zero amplitude (3.91) for odd \(j\). We also call these amplitudes the two-body Dirac
amplitudes. Again, the corresponding naive amplitudes would correspond the use of the naive norm \((K = L = 1)\) together with \(\exp(F), \exp(K) \to 1\) in Eq. (3.92).

### B. Decay Rates

From the above two sets of amplitudes we construct the decay rates. In our present case, we have

\[
\varepsilon_{\gamma 1} = \varepsilon_{\gamma 2} = \frac{w}{2}, \\
b = |p_\gamma| = \frac{w}{2}.
\]  

Also, we are not interested in the decay of a state with a definite magnetic quantum number. Rather we are interested in which we carry out the initial state \(m\). 

The Lagrangian that leads to the Feynman amplitude for the decay process is Lorentz invariant. Consequently the amplitude and our bound state adaptation conserves total \(j, m\). This implies that we can sum over final states in an unrestricted way that is most convenient, without picking only special helicities that one expects to contribute. The details of the amplitude should do this automatically. Using the general decay rate formula \([38]\) we obtain

\[
\Gamma(\rightarrow ) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int d\Omega_k \int \sum_{m \in \{0\}, e \in \{0\}} |M_{\rightarrow 2\gamma}|^2
\]

in which we carry out the initial state \(m\) average and final state polarization sum independently. For spin singlet states with amplitude (3.80) this becomes

\[
\Gamma^{(1) L \rightarrow 2\gamma} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int d\Omega_k \sum_{m \in \{0\}, e \in \{0\}} |e^{(\alpha_1)} \times e^{(\alpha_2)} \cdot \hat{k}|^2 \\
\times (2j + 1) |F_j = i(1 + (-)^j)\delta_m 0 + \sum_{j' = |j - 1|}^{j + 1} G_j^{(j')}(1 - (-)^{j'})(1 - (-)^{j + j'}) (j_1; 00|j_0 - 00;j_0)|\delta_m 0|^2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^2} |F_j = i(1 + (-)^j)\delta_m 0 + \sum_{j' = |j - 1|}^{j + 1} G_j^{(j')}(1 - (-)^{j'})(1 - (-)^{j + j'}) (j_1; 00|j_0 - 00;j_0)|\delta_m 0|^2.
\]

We have summed over the following four independent polarization combinations

\[
e^{(\alpha_1)} \times e^{(\alpha_2)} = e^{(\pm)} \\
e^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot \hat{k} = e^{(\alpha_2)} \cdot \hat{k} = 0,
\]

with

\[
\sum_{e^{(\alpha_1)}, e^{(\alpha_2)}} |e^{(\alpha_1)} \times e^{(\alpha_2)} \cdot \hat{k}|^2 = \sum_{e^{(\alpha_1)}, e^{(\alpha_2)}} [1 - |e^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot (e^{(\alpha_2)})^*|^2] = 2.
\]

Note that only the zero helicity states (corresponding to both photons being either left or right handed polarized)

\[
e^{(\alpha_1)} \times e^{(\alpha_2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y}), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{x} - i\hat{y}) \equiv e^{(+)} \\
e^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot \hat{k} = e^{(\alpha_2)} \cdot \hat{k} = 0
\]

give non-zero contributions to the rate factor \(1 - |e^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot (e^{(\alpha_2)})^*|^2\). The total helicity \(\pm 2\) states

\[
e^{(\alpha_1)} \times e^{(\alpha_2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y}), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y}) \equiv e^{(+)} \\
e^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot \hat{k} = e^{(\alpha_2)} \cdot \hat{k} = 0
\]

give non-zero contributions to the rate factor \(1 - |e^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot (e^{(\alpha_2)})^*|^2\). The total helicity \(\pm 2\) states

\[
e^{(\alpha_1)} \times e^{(\alpha_2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y}), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y}) \equiv e^{(+)} \\
e^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot \hat{k} = e^{(\alpha_2)} \cdot \hat{k} = 0
\]
give zero contribution. Performing the angular integration gives [42]

\[
\Gamma(1 S_0 \rightarrow 2\gamma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}|F_0 + G_0^{(1)}\rangle\langle 01; 00|10|2\rangle^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}|F_0 + G_0^{(1)}|^2, \tag{3.100}
\]

and

\[
\Gamma(1 D_2 \rightarrow 2\gamma) = \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^4}|2F_2 + \sum_{j'=1,3} 2G_{j'=l}^{(j')} (1 - (-1)^{j'}) \langle j1; 00|j'0\rangle\langle j1; 00|j'0\rangle|^2
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}|F_2 + G_2^{(1)}(21; 00|10)^2 + G_2^{(3)}(21; 00|30)^2|^2
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}|F_2 + \frac{2}{5}G_2^{(1)} + \frac{3}{5}G_2^{(3)}|^2. \tag{3.101}
\]

Using Eq. (3.91) for triplet states $^3L_{l=\pm 1}$ our rate formula is

\[
\Gamma(3 L_{j=\pm 1} \rightarrow 2\gamma) = \frac{1}{8(2\pi)^4} \sum_{m, \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}_m, \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}_m} |A_{j=l\pm 1} \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} (1 + (-1)^j)\delta_{m0}
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j'=|j-2|}^{j+2} \left(1 + (-1)^j\right) \frac{(1 + (-1)^{j+j'})}{2} \langle j2; 00|j'0\rangle
\]
\[
\times \left(B_{j'=l+1}^{(j')} 2|\epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}_m \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}_m \rangle (j2; 00|j'0)\delta_{m0}
\]
\[
- \sqrt{6} |\epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}_m \cdot (\epsilon^{(+)} \epsilon^{(+)}) \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}_m \rangle (j2; -22|j'0)\delta_{m-2}
\]
\[
+ \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}_m \cdot (\epsilon^{(-)} \epsilon^{(-)}) \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}_m \rangle (j2; 2-2|j'0)\delta_{m2}\right)^2. \tag{3.102}
\]

Notice from Eqs. (3.98) and (3.99) that this rate in general includes both helicity zero and helicity two contributions.

In the case of $^3P_0$ decay we have $j = m = 0$ and so performing the polarization sum gives [42]

\[
\Gamma(3 P_0 \rightarrow 2\gamma) = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^4} \sum_{\epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}, \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}} |A_0 + 2B_0^{(2)}(02; 00|20)^2| |\epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}|^2
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}|A_0 + 2B_0^{(2)}|^2. \tag{3.103}
\]

This rate includes only helicity zero contributions.

In the case of $^3P_2$ decay we have [42]

\[
\Gamma(3 P_2 \rightarrow 2\gamma) = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^4} \sum_{m, \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}, \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}} |A_2 \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}\delta_{m0}
\]
\[
+ \sum_{j'=0,2,4} \langle 22; 00|j'0\rangle 2B_2^{(j')} \left(\epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}_m \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}_m \langle 22; 00|j'0\rangle\delta_{m0}
\]
\[
- \sqrt{6} |\epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}_m \cdot (\epsilon^{(+)} \epsilon^{(+)}) \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}_m \rangle (22; -22|j'0)\delta_{m-2}
\]
\[
+ \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)}_m \cdot (\epsilon^{(-)} \epsilon^{(-)}) \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}_m \rangle (22; 2-2|j'0)\delta_{m2}\right)^2
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\left[|A_2 - 2[B_2^{(0)}(22; 00|00)^2 + B_2^{(2)}(22; 00|20)^2 + B_2^{(4)}(22; 00|40)^2]|^2
\]
\[
+ 12\left[|B_2^{(0)}(22; 00|00); 22; -22|00) + B_2^{(2)}(22; 00|20); 22; -22|20) + B_2^{(4)}(22; 00|40); 22; -22|40)\right|^2
\]
\[
+ 12\left[|B_2^{(0)}(22; 00|00); 22; 2-2|00) + B_2^{(2)}(22; 00|20); 22; 2-2|20) + B_2^{(4)}(22; 00|40); 22; 2-2|40)\right|^2\right]
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4}\left[|A_2 + \frac{2B_2^{(0)}}{5} + \frac{2B_2^{(2)}}{7} + \frac{36B_2^{(4)}}{35}|^2 + 24|\frac{B_2^{(0)}}{5} - \frac{2B_2^{(2)}}{7} + \frac{3B_2^{(4)}}{35}|^2\right]. \tag{3.104}
\]
1. Positronium Decays

For these decays we ignore the effects of the potentials on the norms and amplitudes since they are relatively weak (\(K = L = 1\) or \(\exp(F), \exp(K) \rightarrow 1\)).

a. \(1S_0\) Decay  The amplitude for \(1S_0\) positronium decay is from Eq. (3.80)

\[ F_{1S_0} = (F_0 + G_{1S}^{(1)}), \]  

(3.105)

where for the weak potentials we expect in QED (with \(\exp(F), \exp(K) \rightarrow 1\))

\[ F_0 = -2i\pi e^2 \int_0^\infty drmr \exp(-mr)j_0(kr)\frac{v_{110}^+(r)}{r} \]  

(3.106)

\[ G_{0}^{(1)} = i2\pi e^2 \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)\left((mr + 1)j_1(kr)\frac{u_{000}^+(r)}{r} + mr[j_1(kr) - j'_0(kr)kr]\frac{v_{110}^+(r)}{r}\right), \]

where we use

\[ \frac{u_{000}^+}{r} = \frac{M}{E} \frac{u_{000}^+}{r} = \frac{m}{E} \frac{u_{000}^+}{r} = \frac{2m}{w\sqrt{1 + 2\alpha/(wr)}} \frac{u_{000}^+}{r}, \]

(3.107)

and

\[ \frac{v_{110}^+}{r} = \frac{\exp(\mathcal{G})}{E} \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{L'}{2} \frac{u_{000}^+}{r} = \frac{\exp(\mathcal{G})}{E} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{000}^+}{r} = \frac{2}{w(1 + 2\alpha/(wr))} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{000}^+}{r}. \]

(3.108)

This wave function is one of the small component ones. For positronium, \(w = 2m + O(\alpha^2)\) and so

\[ \frac{M}{E} = \sqrt{\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}(1 + O(\alpha^2))} \]

and (with \(k = m(1 + O(\alpha^2))\))

\[ F_0 = -2i\pi e^2 \int_0^\infty dr^2 m\exp(-mr)j_1(mr)mr\left(\frac{r}{mr + \alpha}\right) \frac{d}{dr} \psi_{000} \]

\[ G_{0}^{(1)} = -2\pi e^2 \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)((mr + 1)j_1(mr)\sqrt{\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}} \psi_{000} \]

\[ + mr[j_1(kr) + j'_0(kr)kr] \frac{1}{m} \frac{d}{dr} \psi_{000} \]

(3.109)

with the nonrelativistic wave function given by

\[ \psi_{000} = \frac{(m\alpha)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \exp(-\alpha mr) = \frac{R(r)}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \]

(3.110)

replacing the relativistic one \(u_{000}^+\). The NN (3.41) becomes

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dr^2 \left[ \left(\frac{u_{000}^+}{r}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{u_{000}^+}{r}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{v_{110}^+}{r}\right)^2 \right] = 1. \]

(3.111)

In Appendix F we obtain the well known form for the decay rate:

\[ \Gamma = \frac{|G_{0}^{(1)}|^2}{(2\pi)^4} = |R(0)|^2 \frac{\alpha^2}{m^2} = \frac{m\alpha^5}{2}. \]

(3.112)

and show that the small component portion \(F_0\) does not contribute to the singlet decay rate at this order.
b. \(^3P_{0,2}\) Decay  The branching ratio for these decay have not been measured since the decays of those states is so largely dominated by the dipole transition to the \(^3S_1\) state. Nevertheless, it will be of value to determine if our covariant formalism yields the standard results given in [39] and [40]. The relevant amplitudes given in Eq. (3.92) for weak potentials \((E, K = 1\) or \(\exp(F), \exp(K) \to 1)\) are

\[
A_{j=\pm 1} = \frac{2 \pi e^2}{3} (-i)^j \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \left( (mr + 1) \right.
\]

\[
\times \left\{ [j_j(kr) + \frac{2}{(j + 1)} j'_j(kr)kr] \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u^-(j+1)_{1j}(r)}{r} + [-j_j(kr) + \frac{2}{j} j'_j(kr)kr] \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} \frac{u^-(j-1)_{1j}(r)}{r} \right\}
\]

\[-3j_j(kr)mr \frac{v_j^{\pm 0}(r)}{r} \} ,
\]

\[
B_j^{(j')} = \frac{2 \pi e^2}{3} (-i)^j \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)(mr + 1)
\]

\[
\times \left\{ [(-1 + \frac{3}{j + 1}) j'_j(kr) + \frac{1}{j + 1} j''_j(kr)kr] \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u^-(j+1)_{1j}(r)}{r} \right\}
\]

\[+ [(1 + \frac{3}{j}) j_j(kr) + \frac{1}{j} j''_j(kr)kr] \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} \frac{u^-(j-1)_{1j}(r)}{r} \} . \quad (3.113)
\]

The connection between the wave functions \(u^-(j_{\pm 1})_{1j}\) and \(u^+(j_{\pm 1})_{1j}\) (see Eqs. (3.64) and (3.65) appears complicated, but specializing as in the singlet case, we find that the terms beyond the first include higher order \(\alpha\) terms from the various potential.

For the nonrelativistic wave functions we have

\[
\frac{u^\pm_{(j_{\pm 1})_{1j}}}{r} = R_{(j_{\pm 1})_{1j}}(r) = r^{j_{\pm 1}} \chi_{(j_{\pm 1})_{1j}}(r).
\]

We also need the small component wave function

\[
\frac{v_j0_j}{r} = \frac{\exp(3G)}{m} \left\{ \left( \frac{j - 1}{r} - 2Qm \right) \left( Qm + 1 \right) \frac{d}{dr} \right\} \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u^+_{(j-1)_{1j}}}{r}
\]

\[+ \left\{ \left( j + 2 \right) + 2Qm \right\} \left( Qm + 1 \right) \frac{d}{dr} \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u^+_{(j-1)_{1j}}}{r} \} , \quad (3.114)
\]

For the \(^3P_0\) state we have

\[
A_0 = \frac{2 \pi e^2}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \left\{ -3mrj_0(kr) \frac{v_{0,00}^+}{r} + (mr + 1)(j_0(kr) + 2j'_0(kr)) \frac{u_{110}^-}{r} \right\} ,
\]

\[
B_{0}^{(2)} = \frac{2 \pi e^2}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)(mr + 1)(2j_2(kr) + j'_2(kr)kr) \frac{u_{110}^-}{r} , \quad (3.115)
\]

and the decay rate (3.103) involves the amplitude combination

\[
\mathcal{F}_{3P_0} = (A_0 + 2B_{0}^{(2)})
\]

\[= \frac{2 \pi i}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \left\{ (mr + 1)
\]

\[\times \left\{ j_0(kr) + 2j'_0(kr)kr + 4j_2(kr) + 2j'_2(kr)kr \right\} \frac{u_{110}^-}{r} - 3j_0(kr)mr \frac{v_{0,00}^+}{r} \} , \quad (3.116)
\]

in which (from Appendix D we find for this state that \(\Phi_{++}\) cancels with the remaining portions of \(\mathcal{F}\))

\[
\frac{u_{110}^-}{r} = \frac{M u_{110}^+}{E} . \quad (3.117)
\]
We also have
\[ \frac{v_{100}^+}{r} = \exp(G) \frac{2}{r} + \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{110}^+}{r}, \]
and
\[ \frac{d}{dr} R_{110}(r)|_{r=0} = \frac{d}{dr} \chi_{110}(r)|_{r=0} = \chi_{110}(0). \]

The NN condition (3.41) is
\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \left[ \left( \frac{u_{110}^+}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{u_{110}^-}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{v_{100}^+}{r} \right)^2 \right] = 1. \]

Our multicomponent results uses these relations in Eq. (3.116). In Appendix F we present the details that allows us to obtain the result of
\[ \Gamma(3P_0 \to 2\gamma) = \frac{3ma^7}{256}, \]

We point out there that in the limit in which the variation of the positronium wave function is neglected (the nonrelativistic approximation and single component result) we obtain vanishing amplitude in the $3P_0$ case. As stressed in [40] the inclusion of the small components of the wave functions is essential for this decay.

For the $3P_2$ amplitude $j = 2, l = j - 1 = 1, j' = 0, 2, 4$. The relevant amplitudes are (ignoring angular momentum coupling)
\[ A_2 = -i \frac{2\pi e^2}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)((mr + 1)(-j_2(kr) + j_2'(kr)kr)\sqrt{\frac{2}{5} u_{112}(r)} + 3j_2(kr)mr \frac{v_{202}(r)}{r}), \]
and
\[ B_j^{(2)} = -i \frac{2\pi e^2}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)(mr + 1) \times \{( \frac{5}{2} j_0(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j_0'(kr)kr)\sqrt{\frac{2}{5} u_{112}(r)} \}, \]
\[ B_j^{(4)} = -i \frac{2\pi e^2}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)(mr + 1) \times \{( \frac{5}{2} j_0(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j_0'(kr)kr)\sqrt{\frac{2}{5} u_{112}(r)} \}, \]

with the neglect of orbital mixing where
\[ \frac{u_{112}^-}{r} = \sqrt{\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}} \frac{u_{112}^+}{r} - \frac{12}{5m^2} \sqrt{\left( \frac{mr}{mr + \alpha} \right)^3} \times \{ (-\frac{1}{r^2}(\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha} - 2), \frac{1}{r}(\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha} - \sqrt{\frac{mr + \alpha}{mr}}) \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{112}^+}{r} \}, \]
\[ \frac{v_{202}}{r} = \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\left( \frac{mr}{mr + \alpha} \right)^3} \left( 3 - 2\sqrt{\frac{mr + \alpha}{mr}} \right) - \sqrt{\frac{mr + \alpha}{mr}} \frac{d}{dr} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} u_{112}^+}. \]

Using the above expressions for $v_{202}^+$ and $u_{112}^-$ with
\[ \frac{u_{112}^+}{r} = R_{112}(r) = r\chi_{112}(r), \]
and Eq. (3.104) leads to (see Appendix F)

$$\Gamma(3P_2 \rightarrow 2\gamma) = \frac{ma^7}{320},$$

(3.126)

and the ratio $\Gamma(3P_0 \rightarrow 2\gamma)/\Gamma(3P_2 \rightarrow 2\gamma) = \frac{15}{4}$.

Even though our approach leads to the earlier results it is of interest to see how our constraint formalism based
approach differs from other approaches. We first note that in the constraint approach, the general frame form of the
CM amplitude of Eqs. (3.6) and (3.5) is

$$\int d^4p Tr \Gamma(p-, p_+; k_1, k_2) \delta(p \cdot \hat{P}) \Psi(p),$$

(3.127)

in which

$$\Gamma(p-, p_+; k_1, k_2) = e^2 [\gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} m - \gamma \cdot (p_0 - k_1) (p_0 - k_1)^2 + m^2 \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} + \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} (p_0 - k_2)^2 + m^2 \gamma \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}].$$

(3.128)

In the constraint approach, from Eqs. (2.6) and (2.13)

$$p_- = \frac{\hat{P}}{2} + p,$$

$$p_+ = \frac{\hat{P}}{2} - p.$$  

(3.129)

The CM form is seen to follow directly from this since there we have $p = (0, p)$ and

$$p_- = (\frac{w}{2}, p) : p_+ = (\frac{w}{2}, -p),$$

$$k_1 = (\frac{w}{2}, k) : k_2 = (\frac{w}{2}, -k).$$

(3.130)

This interpretation of the amplitude follows directly from the constraint formalism and is distinct from that used in
other approaches which assume an on shell form for the amplitude (see e.g. [16] which uses $p_i^0 = \sqrt{m^2 + p_i^2}$). The
amplitude we use incorporates an off-mass-shell assumption which is true for constituent particles of the bound state.
The constraint modification of the off-mass-shell amplitude in addition places it on energy shell. This gives us the
Yukawa modification seen in Eq. (3.12) not appearing in other approaches.

2. Meson Decays

a. $\eta_c, \eta_c'$ Decays For the $\eta_c$ the state vector is

$$|\eta_c\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sum_{r,g,b} |c\bar{c}\rangle,$$

(3.131)

with the charge of the charmed quark equal to $2e/3$. Since the interaction is color independent the resultant amplitude
is

$$\mathcal{F}_{\eta_c} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{9} (F_0 + G_0^{(1)})$$

$$= \frac{4\sqrt{3}e^2}{9} 2\pi i \int_0^{\infty} dr \exp(-mr) (j_1(kr) \exp(F - K) \frac{u_{000}}{r})(1 + mr)$$

$$+ mr \frac{u^+_{110}}{r} (j_1(kr) \exp(F) \exp(K) + 2 \sinh(K))$$

$$+ kr \exp(F + K) [j'_1(kr) - j_0(kr)]).$$

(3.132)

In (3.132) we take numerical wave functions from the work of [7]. The remaining parts of our multicomponent wave
functions are

$$\frac{u_{000}}{r} = \frac{M u_{000}}{E}$$

(3.133)
\( \frac{v_{110}^+}{r} = \exp(G) \left( \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{L'}{2} \right) \frac{u_{000}^+}{r}, \tag{3.134} \)

which appear in that equation satisfy the TBDN condition Eq. (3.54). In the spin singlet state this is (see Appendix C)

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \exp(2F)(\exp(-2K)[(\frac{u_{000}^+}{r})^2 + (\frac{u_{000}^-}{r})^2 + (\frac{v_{110}^+}{r})^2] \\
+ 2u_2^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial u} \exp(-2K)[(\frac{u_{000}^+}{r})^2 - (\frac{u_{000}^-}{r})^2 - (\frac{v_{110}^+}{r})^2] \\
+ 4u_2^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial u} \exp(-2K)[2(\frac{u_{000}^+}{r})^2 + (\frac{u_{000}^-}{r})^2]) = 1. \tag{3.135} \]

The NN norm condition is

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 [(\frac{u_{000}^+}{r})^2 + (\frac{u_{000}^-}{r})^2 + (\frac{v_{110}^+}{r})^2] = 1, \tag{3.136} \]

In that same limit our NA (3.132) becomes

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\eta_c} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}c^2}{9} 2\pi i \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) (j_1(kr) \frac{u_{000}^+}{r}(1 + mr) + mr \frac{v_{110}^+}{r}(j_1(kr) + krj'_1(kr) - j_0(kr))). \tag{3.137} \]

The multicomponent forms given by the TBDA and TBDN in (3.132) and (3.135) respectively give a decay rate of 9.18 keV, while that obtained from the corresponding NA and NN forms (3.137), and (3.136) is 9.15 keV. If we further ignore the small components in these latter forms by taking \( u_{000}^- = u_{000}^+ \) and \( v_{110}^+ = 0 \), then the decay rate is 9.09 keV. These are to be compared with the observed rate of 7.44 ± 1.0 keV. Including first order QCD radiative corrections \([43]\) damps these decay rates by a factor of \( 1 + \alpha_s/\pi(\pi^2/3 - 19/3) \) giving us 6.20 and 6.18 keV (and 6.14 keV when ignoring small components). For the \( \eta_c \) our results are 4.81 and 2.79 keV (and 2.68 keV) respectively compared with the observed rate of 1.3±0.6 keV. The QCD radiative corrections reduce these to 3.36 and 1.95 keV (and 1.87 keV). The overall additional effects of using the TBDA and TBDN above that of the NN and NA appear to be very small for the \( \eta_c \) but for the \( \eta_c' \) they are substantial (but in the wrong direction!). It is of interest to trace the origin of these contrasting behaviors. The square root of the norm (starting with a normed \( u_{000}^+/r \) for the \( \eta_c \)) in the TBDN and TBDA case is 1.64, compared with 1.03 in the NN and NA case. The respective raw decay amplitudes (with the norm effects taken out) are 0.252 and 0.160. These are both substantial differences. However, including the norm effect in the amplitude cancels out these differences giving us about a 0.155 amplitude in both cases. This cancellation hides the substantial effects of both the TBDA and TBDN. Things are different in the case of the \( \eta_c' \). There the square root of the norm in the TBDN and TBDA case is 1.22, compared with 1.008 in the NN and NA case. The respective raw decay amplitudes (with the norm effects taken out) are -0.138 and -0.087. Unlike the case of the \( \eta_c \) the effect of including the norm in the amplitude does not cancel out these differences giving us about a 0.113 amplitude in the first case and a -0.086 amplitude in the second. The ratio of the TBDA to the NA is 1.58 in both cases. However, the square root norm ratios are quite different, being 1.59 in the case of the \( \eta_c \) but only 1.21 in the case of the \( \eta_c' \). This may point to a limitation of the linear confining model used in working out the wave functions near threshold for the \( \eta_c \) decay.

b. \( \chi_0 \) Decay

The \( ^3P_0 \) decay amplitudes are from Eqs. (3.92) and in the combination from (3.103)

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\chi_0} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}c^2}{9} (A_0 + 2B_0^{(2)}) \]

\[
= \frac{4\sqrt{3}c^2}{9} 2\pi i \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \exp(F)(mr + 1) \]

\[
\times \{ \exp(K)[j_0(kr) + 2j_0'(kr)kr + 4j_2(kr) + 2j_2'(kr)kr + 2j_0(kr) \sinh K] \frac{u_{110}^-}{r} \\
- 3 \exp(-K)j_0(kr)mr \frac{u_{110}^-}{r} \}, \tag{3.138} \]

\]
with the same color and flavor factors as before, in which (see Appendix D)

\[ \frac{u_{110}^-}{r} = \frac{M}{E} \frac{u_{110}^+}{r}. \]  

(3.139)

We also have

\[ \frac{u_{000}^+}{r} = \exp(G) \frac{2}{r} \left[ -\frac{5}{2} L' + \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{110}^+}{r} \right]. \]  

(3.140)

Our multicomponent TBDA results uses these relations in Eq. (3.138). The TBDN condition (3.62) becomes (see Appendix C)

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int dr r^2 \exp(2F) \exp(-2K) \left\{ \left( \frac{u_{110}^+}{r} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{u_{110}^-}{r} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{v_{000}^+}{r} \right)^2 \right\} \\
+ 4w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial w^2} \left[ \left( \frac{u_{110}^-}{r} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{u_{110}^+}{r} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{v_{000}^+}{r} \right)^2 \right] \\
+ 8w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial w^2} \sinh 2K \left( \frac{u_{110}^+}{r} \right)^2 = 1 \]  

(3.141)

while the NN condition of Eq. (3.41) is

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int dr r^2 \left\{ \left( \frac{u_{110}^+}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{u_{110}^-}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{v_{000}^+}{r} \right)^2 \right\} = 1. \]  

(3.142)

In that same limit our decay amplitude (3.138) becomes the NA

\[ \mathcal{F}_{10} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}e^2}{9} \frac{2\pi i}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \left\{ (mr + 1)j_0(kr) + 2j'_0(kr)kr + 4j_2(kr) + 2j'_2(kr)kr \right\} \frac{u_{110}^-(r)}{r} \\
- 3 \exp(-K)j_0(kr)mr \frac{v_{000}^+(r)}{r}. \]  

(3.143)

Our multicomponent TBDA and TBDN result from (3.138) and (3.141) is 3.90 keV, while that obtained from the corresponding multicomponent NA and NN result from (3.143) and (3.142) is 3.28 keV. If we further ignore the small components in these latter forms by taking \( u_{110}^- = u_{110}^+ \) and \( v_{000}^+ = 0 \), then the decay rate is 0.646 keV. These are to be compared with the observed rate of 2.6 \( \pm 0.65 \) keV. The QCD radiative corrections [43] modify these by a factor of \( 1 + \alpha_s/\pi(\pi^2/3 - 28/9) \) to 3.96 and 3.34 keV (and 0.656 keV). The multicomponent effects are substantial even if we do not include the effects of the TBDA and TBDN. Those additional effects are small compared with the effects of including the multicomponents by themselves. This parallels that which occurs in the \(^3\)P\(_0\) positronium decay where the amplitude vanishes without the multicomponent (small) parts of the wave function.
c. $\chi_2$ Decay The $^3P_2$ decay amplitudes (3.92) appear from Eq. (3.104) in the separate combination

$$
F(K)_{\chi_2} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{9} [A_2 + \frac{2B_2^{(0)}}{5} + \frac{2B_2^{(2)}}{7} + \frac{36B_2^{(4)}}{35}]
$$

$$
= \frac{4\sqrt{3}\alpha^2}{9} \frac{2\pi i}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \exp(F) \left[ -(mr + 1) \right]
$$

$$
\times \exp K \left\{ j_2(kr) + \frac{2}{3} j_2(kr) \right\} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} [-2j_2(kr) + j_2(kr)kr]
$$

$$
+ j_2(kr) \{ 3mr \exp(-K) \frac{e^{202r}}{r} - 2(mr + 1) \sinh K \left[ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \right] \}
$$

$$
- \frac{2}{5}(mr + 1) \left( \exp K \left\{ j_2(kr) \frac{3}{5} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \frac{5}{2} j_0(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j_0(kr)kr \right\} \frac{2}{5} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \}
$$

$$
- 2 \sinh K(mr + 1) \left[ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \right] j_2(kr)
$$

$$
+ \frac{2}{7}(mr + 1) \left( \exp K \left\{ j_2(kr) \frac{3}{5} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \frac{5}{2} j_2(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j_2(kr)kr \right\} \frac{2}{5} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \}
$$

$$
- 2 \sinh K(mr + 1) \left[ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \right] j_2(kr)
$$

$$
- \frac{36}{35} \left( \exp K \left\{ j_2(kr) \frac{3}{5} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \frac{5}{2} j_2(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j_2(kr)kr \right\} \frac{2}{5} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \}
$$

$$
- 2 \sinh K(mr + 1) \left[ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \right] j_2(kr) \right],
$$

(3.144)

and

$$
G(K)_{\chi_2} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{9} [B_2^{(0)} + \frac{2B_2^{(2)}}{7} + \frac{36B_2^{(4)}}{35}]
$$

$$
= - \frac{4\sqrt{3}\alpha^2}{9} \frac{2\pi i}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \exp(F)(mr + 1)
$$

$$
\times \left[ \frac{1}{5} \left( \exp K \left\{ j_2(kr) \frac{3}{5} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \frac{5}{2} j_0(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j_0(kr)kr \right\} \frac{2}{5} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \}
$$

$$
- 2 \sinh K \left[ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \right] j_2(kr)
$$

$$
+ \frac{2}{7} \left( \exp K \left\{ j_2(kr) \frac{3}{5} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \frac{5}{2} j_2(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j_2(kr)kr \right\} \frac{2}{5} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \}
$$

$$
- 2 \sinh K \left[ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \right] j_2(kr)
$$

$$
+ \frac{3}{35} \left( \exp K \left\{ j_2(kr) \frac{3}{5} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \frac{5}{2} j_2(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j_2(kr)kr \right\} \frac{2}{5} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \}
$$

$$
- 2 \sinh K \left[ -\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \frac{u_{312}(r)}{r} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r} \right] j_2(kr) \right],
$$

(3.145)

in which

$$
\frac{u_{112}}{r} = \frac{E u_{112}^+}{M} - \frac{\exp(2G)}{10EM} \left\{ \Phi_- + 4 \exp(2G)(E^2 - M^2) - 2\sqrt{6} \Phi_+ + \frac{A_{mm}}{r^2} + \frac{B_{mm}}{r} + C_{mm} + \frac{E_{mm}}{r^2} + G_{mm} \frac{d}{dr} \right\} \frac{u_{312}}{r}
$$

$$
+ \sqrt{6} \frac{\Phi_-}{\sqrt{6}} + 2 \Phi_+ + 2 \exp(2G)(E^2 - M^2) + \frac{A_{mp}}{r^2} + \frac{B_{mp}}{r} + C_{mp} + \left\{ \frac{F_{mm}}{r} + G_{mp} \frac{d}{dr} \right\} \frac{u_{312}}{r},
$$

(3.146)
with $\Phi_{-\epsilon}, \Phi_{+\epsilon}, A_{mm}, \ldots, G_{mp}$ given in Appendix D and

\[
\frac{u_{312}}{r} = \frac{M r^2}{E} \frac{\exp(2G)}{10EM} \left\{ \left[ -\Phi_{++} - 4 \exp(2G) (E^2 - M^2) + 2 \sqrt{6} \Phi_{-\epsilon} + \frac{A_{pp}}{r^2} + \frac{B_{pp}}{r} + C_{pp} + \left( \frac{F_{pp}}{r} + G_{pp} \right) \frac{d}{dr} \right] \frac{u_{312}}{r} + \sqrt{6} \left[ -\Phi_{++} + 2 \Phi_{-\epsilon} - 2 \exp(2G) (E^2 - M^2) + \frac{A_{pm}}{r^2} + \frac{B_{pm}}{r} + C_{pm} + \left( \frac{F_{pm}}{r} + G_{pm} \right) \frac{d}{dr} \right] \frac{u_{112}}{r} \right\}
\]

(3.147)

with the expression for $\Phi_{++}, \Phi_{-\epsilon}, A_{pp}, \ldots, G_{pm}$ also in the Appendix D. The other radial wave functions are

\[
\frac{v_{202}}{r} = \frac{\exp(G + 2K)}{E} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2Q_m}{r} \right] - \left( Q_m + 1 \right) \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{5L'}{2} (Q_m + 1) \right\} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{5} \frac{u_{112}}{r} + \left( \frac{4}{5} + \frac{2Q_m}{r} + \left( Q_m + 1 \right) \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{5L'}{2} (Q_m + 1) \right) \frac{\sqrt{3} u_{112}}{5}
\]

(3.148)

and

\[
\frac{v_{212}}{r} = -\frac{\exp(G)}{M} \left\{ \left[ \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{2Q_m}{r} \right] + \left( \frac{L + 6G}{2} \right) \right\} \frac{\sqrt{3} u_{112}}{5} + \left[ \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{4}{5} + \frac{3Q_m}{r} + \left( \frac{L + 6G}{2} \right) \right] \frac{\sqrt{2} u_{312}}{5}
\]

(3.149)

Only the first of these latter two wave functions contributes to the decay amplitude. All wave functions contribute to the TDBN condition (3.62) which has the form (see Appendix C)

\[
1 = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau r^2 \exp(2F) \left\{ \left( \frac{u_{112}}{r} \right)^2 \left[ \exp(2K) - \frac{4}{5} \sinh 2K \right] \right\} + \left( \frac{u_{112}}{r} \right)^2 \left[ \exp(2K) - \frac{4}{5} \sinh 2K \right] \left[ 1 - 2w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial w^2} \right] + \left( \frac{u_{312}}{r} \right)^2 \left[ \exp(2K) - \frac{4}{5} \sinh 2K \right] \left[ 1 - 2w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial w^2} - 4w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial w^2} \right]
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{u^{+}_{312}}{r} \right)^2 \left[ 4 \sqrt{6} \sinh 2K \right] \left[ 1 + 2w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial w^2} - 4w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial w^2} \right]
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{v^{+}_{202}}{r} \right)^2 \exp(-2K) \left[ 1 - 2w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial w^2} + 8w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial w^2} \right]
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{v^{+}_{212}}{r} \right)^2 \exp(2K) \left[ 1 + 2w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial w^2} + 4w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial w^2} \right]
\]

(3.150)

Our multicomponent results uses these relations in Eq.(3.144,3.145). The NN is

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int d\tau r^2 \left\{ \left( \frac{u_{112}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{u_{112}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{u^{+}_{312}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{u^{+}_{312}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{v^{+}_{202}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{v^{+}_{212}}{r} \right)^2 \right\} = 1.
\]

(3.151)
In that limit our decay amplitudes \((3.144, 3.145)\) become the NAs

\[
\mathcal{F}(K)_{\chi_2} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}e^2 \pi i}{9} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \left[ -(mr + 1) \right. \\
x \left. \times \left( j_2(kr) + \frac{2}{3} j'_2(kr) kr \right) \sqrt{\frac{3}{5} u_{312}(r)} + \frac{5}{2} j_0(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j'_0(kr) \right] \\
- \frac{2}{7} (mr + 1) \left( \frac{1}{3} j'_0(kr) kr \sqrt{\frac{3}{5} u_{312}(r)} + \frac{5}{2} j_0(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j'_0(kr) \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} u_{112}(r)} \right) \\
+ \frac{2}{7} (mr + 1) \left( \frac{1}{3} j'_2(kr) kr \sqrt{\frac{3}{5} u_{312}(r)} + \frac{5}{2} j_2(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j'_2(kr) \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} u_{112}(r)} \right) \\
- \frac{36}{35} (mr + 1) \left( \frac{1}{3} j'_4(kr) kr \sqrt{\frac{3}{5} u_{312}(r)} + \frac{5}{2} j_4(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j'_4(kr) \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} u_{112}(r)} \right) \right],
\]

\(3.152\)

and

\[
\mathcal{G}(K)_{\chi_2} = -\frac{4\sqrt{3}e^2 \pi i}{9} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)(mr + 1) \\
\times \left[ \frac{1}{5} \left( \frac{1}{3} j'_0(kr) kr \sqrt{\frac{3}{5} u_{312}(r)} + \frac{5}{2} j_0(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j'_0(kr) \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} u_{112}(r)} \right) \right] \\
+ \frac{2}{7} \left( \frac{1}{3} j'_2(kr) kr \sqrt{\frac{3}{5} u_{312}(r)} + \frac{5}{2} j_2(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j'_2(kr) \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} u_{112}(r)} \right) \\
+ \frac{3}{35} \left( \frac{1}{3} j'_4(kr) kr \sqrt{\frac{3}{5} u_{312}(r)} + \frac{5}{2} j_4(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j'_4(kr) \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} u_{112}(r)} \right). \]

\(3.153\)

Our strong potential, multicomponent result from \((3.144), (3.145), \)and \((3.150)\) is 1.43 keV, while that obtained from the corresponding weak potential forms of \((3.152)\) and \((3.153)\) is 0.836 keV. Our multicomponent TBDA and TBDN result from \((3.144), (3.145), \)and \((3.150)\) is 1.43 keV, while that obtained from the corresponding multicomponent NA and NN result of \((3.152), (3.153), \)and \((3.151)\) is 0.836 keV. If we further ignore the small and tensor coupled components in these latter forms by taking \(u_{312} = u_{112} = 0\) then the decay rate is 0.033 keV. These are to be compared with the observed rate of 0.528 ± 0.09 keV. The QCD radiative corrections [43] modify these by a factor of \((1 - 16\alpha_s/\pi)\) to 0.743 and 0.435 keV (0.017 keV). Full tensor couplings are included in the first two results. As with the \(3\)\(\Phi\) decay the NA and NN multicomponent effects are substantial even if we do not include those of the TBDA and TBDN. Those effects are themselves significantly larger than the effects of the NA and NN.

\(d. \ \pi^0 \text{ Decay}\) The \(\pi^0\) state vector is

\[
|\pi^0\rangle = \sum_{c=r,g,b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\bar{u}u\rangle - |d\bar{d}\rangle) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}.
\]

\(3.154\)

where the charge of the \(u\) is \(+2e/3\) that of the \(d\) is \(-e/3\). Thus, the amplitude for its annihilation is modified by a factor of \(\sqrt{3}(2/3)^2 - (-1/3)^2)/\sqrt{2}\). Otherwise the wave function discussion is the same as in the section on \(\eta_c\) decay. So we obtain

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\pi^0} = \sqrt{\frac{3e^2}{2\pi}} \frac{i}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \left( j_1(kr) \exp(F - K) \frac{u_{100}^0}{r} \right)(1 + mr) \\
+ mr \frac{u_{110}^+}{r} (j_1(kr) \exp(F) \exp(K) + 2 \sinh(K)) \\
+ kr \exp(F + K) [j_1(kr) - j_0(kr)] \right). \]

\(3.155\)

Otherwise the norm and amplitude discussion is the same as in the section on \(\eta_c\) decay. Our multicomponent TBDA and TBDN result from this is 24.7 eV, while that obtained from the multicomponent NA and NN result is 94.4 eV. If we further ignore the small components in the weak potential form by taking \(u_{100}^0 = v_{100}^0 = v_{110}^0 = 0\), then the decay rate is 89.5 eV. These are to be compared with the observed rate of 7.72±0.04 eV. QCD radiative corrections modify these to 8.73 eV and 33.5 eV (31.5 eV). The influence of including the TBDA and TBDN multicomponent effects in the norm and the amplitude are substantial when compared to that of including just the NA and NN effects and bring our pion decay rate reasonably close to the observed rate.
\[ F_{\pi_2} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \left( F_2 + \frac{2}{5} G_2^{(1)} + \frac{3}{5} G_2^{(3)} \right) \]
\[ = i \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{e^2}{2} 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} drmr \exp(-mr) \times \left[ \exp(F + K)mrj_2(kr)\left(\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} v_{312}^{+}\left(\frac{r}{r}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} v_{112}^{+}\left(\frac{r}{r}\right) \right) + \frac{2}{5} \left( (mr + 1)j_1(kr) \exp(F - K) \frac{u_{202}^{+}(r)}{r} + \exp(F + K)mr\{ -\frac{j_1(kr)}{3} + \frac{1}{3} j'_1(kr)kr \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} v_{312}^{+}\left(\frac{r}{r}\right) \right) \right. \]
\[ + \left. \left[ 2j_1(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j'_1(kr)kr \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} v_{112}^{+}\left(\frac{r}{r}\right) \right] - 2nr \exp(F) \exp(K)j_1(kr)\left( -\frac{v_{312}^{+}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} + \frac{v_{112}^{+}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \right) \right) \]
\[ - \frac{3}{5} \left( (mr + 1)j_3(kr) \exp(F - K) \frac{u_{202}^{+}(r)}{r} + \exp(F + K)mr\{ -\frac{j_3(kr)}{3} + \frac{1}{3} j'_3(kr)kr \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} v_{312}^{+}\left(\frac{r}{r}\right) \right) \right) \]
\[ + \left. \left[ 2j_3(kr) + \frac{1}{2} j'_3(kr)kr \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} v_{112}^{+}\left(\frac{r}{r}\right) \right] - 2nr \exp(F) \exp(K)j_3(kr)\left( -\frac{v_{312}^{+}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} + \frac{v_{112}^{+}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \right) \right], \quad (3.156) \]

where
\[ \frac{u_{202}^{+}}{r} = \frac{M u_{202}}{E r}, \quad (3.157) \]
and
\[ \frac{v_{112}^{+}}{r} = \frac{\exp(G - 2K)}{E} \exp(2K)\left[ -\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{L'}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{u_{202}^{+}}{r^2} \right] \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{5}} \]
\[ \frac{v_{312}^{+}}{r} = \frac{\exp(G - 2K)}{E} \exp(2K)\left[ \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{L'}{2} - \frac{2}{2} \frac{u_{202}^{+}}{r^2} \right] \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{5}}, \quad (3.158) \]

together with the normalization condition (3.54) (see Appendix C)
\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} dr \exp(2F) \left[ \exp(-2K)\left( \frac{u_{202}^{+}}{r^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{u_{202}^{+}}{r^2} \right)^2 \right] + \exp(2K)\left( \frac{v_{112}^{+}}{r^2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{v_{312}^{+}}{r^2} \right)^2 \right] \]
\[ - 2 \sinh 2K\left( \frac{v_{312}^{+}}{r} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{5}} + \frac{v_{112}^{+}}{r} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \]
\[ + 2w^2 \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial u}\right)\exp(-2K)\left[ \left( \frac{u_{202}^{+}}{r^2} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{u_{202}^{+}}{r^2} \right)^2 \right] = 1. \quad (3.159) \]

Our multicomponent TBDA and TBDB result from this is results is 180 eV while the NA and NN result is 142 eV. If we further ignore the small components in the weak potential form by taking \( u_{202}^{+} = u_{202}^{+} = 0, \) then the decay rate is 4.66 eV. The experimental situation is unclear. Earlier results had very large widths on the order of 1 keV. In the latest compilation, one result is listed as <70 eV and one at <190 eV both at the 90% confidence level. In any event, the multicomponent effects here are substantial which entirely we use. The difference between the results are small compared with the effects of including the multicomponents by themselves.

f. $D_2(3872)$ Decay The quark-content of this state is unsure. If we assume this is a $D_2$ spin singlet, then the relevant decay amplitude has the same wave function structures as the with the $\pi_2$ except for the flavor factor. Our multicomponent TBDA and TBDB result from this is results is 65.7 eV while the NA and NN result is 73.2 eV. If we further ignore the small components in the latter form by taking \( u_{202}^{+} = u_{202}^{+} = 0, \) then the decay rate is 168. keV. The experimental situation is unclear. Using ratios given in the latest table (for the $(c\bar{c}, l = 2, j = 2$ state) we take the observed value to be 435 eV. As with the $\pi_2$ decay, both multicomponent effects here are substantial. The difference between them are small compared with the effects of including the multicomponents by themselves. However, unlike the $\pi_2$ the effects are to reduce rather than enhance the rate.
g. $a_2$ Decay  Except for the quark content (same as with $\pi_0, \pi_2$) this particle has a $3P_2$ decay amplitude and wave functions given as with the $\chi_2$. Our multicomponent TBDA and TBBDN decay rate result is 31.5 keV reducing to 9.02 keV when QCD radiative effects are included. The corresponding NA and NN rate result is 10.9 keV reducing to 3.12 keV when QCD radiative effects are included. The observed rate of 1.00 ±0.06 keV. Including TBDDN and TBDA effects in the norm and the amplitude are substantial and produce too large a decay rate.

h. $f'_2$ Decay  With an $s\bar{s}$ quark content, this particle has a $3P_2$ decay amplitude otherwise similar to that of the above $a_2$. Our strong potential, multicomponent decay rate is 2.36 keV reducing to 760 eV when QCD effects are included. The observed rate is 81 ±9.6 eV. As with the $a_2$, including TBDDN and TBDA effects in the norm and the amplitude are substantial and produce too large a decay rate.

IV. DISCUSSION AND EARLIER RESULTS

A. Charmonium

The table below (units are in keV) compares our results (both the ones that come from TBDA and NA multicomponent results) with a variety of other quark models (ones that have not yet been subjected to the tests imposed on the TBDE and which for the most part do not include the light mesons in their spectroscopic calculations).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decay</th>
<th>Expt</th>
<th>TBDE-TBDA</th>
<th>TBDE-NA</th>
<th>[16]</th>
<th>[44]</th>
<th>[45]</th>
<th>[48]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_c(1S_0 - 2976)$</td>
<td>7.4±1.0</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>10.94</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_c(2S_0 - 3263)$</td>
<td>1.3±0.6</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi_0(1P_0 - 3415)$</td>
<td>2.6±0.5</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi_2(1P_2 - 3556)$</td>
<td>0.53±0.9</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ackleh and Barnes [16] independently developed a similar approach to the one we developed for positronium decay [15] and then applied it to spin singlet quarkonium decay into two gammas. As in our approach, they include the effects of the bound state wave function on the initial decaying particle. Gupta, Johnson, and Repko [44] follow a similar approach. The two numbers displayed in their column correspond to two distinct approaches used in incorporating off shell effects. The first is similar to that used in [16] where the energy factors which arise from the Feynman amplitude are treated on mass shell ($E = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$; energy conservation, which would have $E = \mathbf{w}/2$, is not used) while the second set of numbers come from treating the particle on energy shell ($E = \mathbf{w}/2$ but with $m^2 = E^2 - \mathbf{p}^2$).

Our approach is different from both of these in that it is on energy shell, $E = \mathbf{w}/2$, but with $m^2 \neq E^2 - \mathbf{p}^2$ it is off-mass-shell. The energy factors that appear in our equations are those required from the way in which the constraint formalism eliminates the CM relative energy- see Eq. (2.12, 2.13). (See also our discussion in our section on $3P_0$ positronium.) To be more explicit, the portion of the Feynman propagator $(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k})^2 + m^2 - i\delta$ in the approaches of [16] and the first of [44] is treated as $2\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k} + w\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$, in the second of [44] as $2\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{k} + w^2/2$ and in the constraint approach as $(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k})^2 + m^2$. The treatment of the spin-dependent aspects of the wave function in [16], [44], and [45] is similar to that appearing in our earlier paper on positronium decay in [15]. We point out, however, that in our paper here, the spin dependent aspects of the wave function do not arise from the free spinor factors in the Feynman decay amplitude, but rather from the multicomponent structure of the interacting TBDE. The treatment appearing in [45] uses a quasipotential wave equation that gives a Schrödinger-like equation for the bound states. Their amplitude treatment is otherwise similar to that of [16] except that they include (as we do here) QCD radiative corrections. Another treatment is that of [46]. They list decay rates of 5.5 and 2.1 keV for the $\eta_c$ and $\eta'_c$ respectively, similar to the results of [45] (see also recent result of [? ]). Their treatment of the spin-dependent aspects of the wave function appearing is more like ours except that they use the Salpeter truncation of the Bethe-Salpeter equation but with energy factors in the amplitude treated on shell as in [16]. The treatment in [48] is similar to that of [46] except that it involves the four-dimensional Bethe-Salpeter amplitude constructed from the Salpeter solution. As with [46] they use a combination of scalar and time-like confining potentials. Unlike [46] and [45], and like the treatment of [16] and the present one, [48] then goes on to treat the light quark pseudoscalar decays. For the $^3D_2(3872)$ particle, Ackleh and Barnes obtain a result of 20 eV close to ours of 27 eV. None of the other authors include this particle.

B. Light Quark Mesons

Our formalism at this stage does not include the effects of flavor mixing and consequently we do not compute the rates for $\eta, \eta' \rightarrow 2\gamma$. This leaves us with the $2\gamma$ decays of $\pi^0, \pi_2, a_2, f'_2$. We present the results of the decay width in the table below, including those approaches above that give predictions for some of these decays. The units are in...
Our pion rate is comparable to the others. However, the assumptions of [16] are quite different from ours. First they use a non-relativistic potential model for the wave function. As pointed out by [48], [49], and [50] standard approaches to the pion decay fail miserably for such models, typically too large by three orders of magnitude (by comparison our result is only off by 20%). Ackleh and Barnes, however, included, as did Hayne and Isgur [51] in an earlier paper, a phenomenological resonance mass factor motivated by an effective field theory Lagrangian ($\sim \frac{1}{2} g_{\phi F_{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}}$) which greatly suppresses the “bare” rate. The approach we have taken above did not include such a factor. Of course, as they point out, the factor implied by that effective field theory is not contained within the positronium-like model that they and we use. (The range of values in their column correspond to a range of assumed constituent masses). The approach taken by Münz [48] is much closer in spirit to the one we employ. He uses the framework of the Salpeter equation for the formulation of two photon decays and finds that including relativistic effects, and the negative energy components of the wave function, is important even for heavy quarkonia. In addition, unlike our approach, which in the CM frame would have momentum space wave function dependence only on the relative three momentum, he works out a decay matrix element which includes relative four-momentum dependence (including relative energy dependence in the CM). It is his claim that in this way, not only does the amplitude depend on off-mass-shell annihilating quark pairs (through the wave function) but also the exchanged quark within the diagram that are both off mass shell and off energy shell. In contrast to our Eq. (3.6) his amplitude involves an additional integral over the relative energy. However, he finds it necessary to introduce a cutoff factor in his spectral analysis for the one-gluon exchange. In addition he finds that he must assume not only a different confinement mechanism for the light and heavy quarks, but different confinement strengths. The spectral results we obtain do not treat the heavy and light quark bound states differently. Further, in the two models that he considers, he finds that it is not possible to formulate the one gluon exchange gauge invariantly and so uses the Feynman gauge in one parameter set for a semirelativistic model and the Coulomb gauge in the other. By contrast, the constraint approach displays gauge invariance, and, for simplicity uses the Feynman gauge.

There are other approaches that develop formalisms with natural suppression of the $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$ width in the quark model. Guisasu and Koniuk [50], using a multi-pair structure in the context of the Bethe-Salpeter formalism, show how the extremely bound highly relativistic nature of the pion suppress the decay rate. The authors of [49] also show how the assumption of a completely diagonalized QCD Hamiltonian (with meson eigenstates predominantly $q\bar{q}$), implies bound state effects can greatly suppress the width.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The Two-Body Dirac equations are based on Dirac’s constraint formalism and a minimal interaction structure for the effective particle of relative motion (first used by Todorov) confirmed by both classical [28] and quantum field theory [19]. This formalism displays spectral results with flavor independent interactions in very good experimental agreement for most of the meson spectra. At the same time, and we have stressed the importance of this in a recent publication [7], the formalism when treated in a nonperturbative manner naturally accounts for the perturbative results of QED bound states. So far this has not been fully replicated in any other approach. In a natural way it leads not only to good singlet-triplet ground state splittings for the light meson, but also a Goldstone behavior for the pion. This we showed is tied to the same relativistic structures that account for the nonperturbative positronium and muonium results. Based on this and the successful off shell treatment of positronium two photon decay we had reason to anticipate that the quarkonium decays to two photons would be reasonable. We have found this to be particularly true for the $\pi_0$ and $\eta_c$. There we found relativistic effects, including most importantly the full multicomponent wave function and the influence of the TBDN and TBDA, to be of crucial importance. The results compare favorably with models based on two-body formalisms not tested as extensively as that of the Two-Body Dirac equations. Our pion results are unlike some of the competing approaches in that no additional effective field theory assumptions were made that go beyond the relativistic potential model approach, and spectral results for all mesons are obtained in a flavor independent way. In light of this our results are not too unreasonable. Still one may speculate on assumptions made in the constraint approach which may be relaxed. For example, it may very well be that even though spectral results are independent of the method by which the relative time is controlled in the constraint formalism [26], the decay and other amplitudes may depend on this effect. The work in [26] (see Appendix A in that paper), allows one
to show how the relative energy restriction Eq. (2.12) which in quantum form is \( P \cdot p \psi = 0 \) or \( \psi(p) = \delta(p \cdot \hat{P}) \psi(p_\perp) \) and this could be replaced in the amplitude Eq. (3.127) by a more general form, say

\[
\psi(p) = \delta(p \cdot \hat{P}) \psi(p_\perp) \to \Delta(p \cdot \hat{P}) \psi(p_\perp)
\]

in which \( \Delta \) is a distribution with non zero support \([53]\). In future work, having shown that the meson wave functions of \([7]\) used in this paper give in most circumstances reasonable results, one will now consider applications of them to the meson-meson scattering process such as discussed in the beginning of this paper.
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**APPENDIX A: DIRAC MATRICES FOR THE TWO-BODY DIRAC EQUATIONS**

\[
\beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma_{51} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_1 \gamma_{51} \equiv \rho_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\beta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\gamma_{52} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_5 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma_5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\beta_2 \gamma_{52} \equiv \rho_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \rho & 0 \\ 0 & \rho \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\gamma_{51} \gamma_{52} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \gamma_5 \\ \gamma_5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \rho_1 \rho_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \rho \\ -\rho & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\beta_1 \gamma_{51} \gamma_{52} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \gamma_5 \\ -\gamma_5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_2 \gamma_{52} \gamma_{51} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \rho \\ \rho & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\beta_i = -\gamma_i \cdot \hat{P},
\]

\[
\Sigma_i = \gamma_5 \beta_i \gamma_{\perp i}.
\]

**APPENDIX B: RELATIONS BETWEEN FOUR COMPONENT DIRAC SUBSPINORS**

We rewrite the TBDE Eqs. (3.16) in terms of the subspinors

\[
\phi_\pm = \psi_1 \pm \psi_4,
\]

\[
\chi_\pm = \psi_2 \pm \psi_3.
\]

(B1)

With Appendix A these equations then lead to

\[
D_1^{++} \phi_+ = E_1 \chi_+ - M_1 \chi_-,
\]

\[
-D_2^{++} \phi_+ = E_2 \chi_+ + M_2 \chi_-.
\]

(B2)
and so
\[
\chi_+ = \frac{1}{D}(M_2D_1^{++} - M_1D_2^{++})\phi_+,
\]
\[
\chi_- = -\frac{1}{D}(E_2D_1^{++} + E_1D_2^{++})\phi_+,
\]  
(B3)

and
\[
D_1^{-} \chi_+ = E_1\phi_+ - M_1\phi_-,
\]
\[
D_1^{-} \chi_- = M_1\phi_+ - E_1\phi_-,
\]  
\[
-D_2^{-} \chi_+ = E_2\phi_+ - M_2\phi_-,
\]
\[
-D_2^{-} \chi_- = -M_2\phi_+ + E_2\phi_-,
\]  
(B4)

with the \(D_1^{\pm}\) given in Eqs. (3.23a) and (3.23b). These lead directly to Eqs. (3.20) and (3.21). From Eq. (B4) we find using Eqs. (3.20) and (3.21) leads to Eq. (3.22).

Using Eqs. (3.20), (3.21) and (3.30), (3.33) we obtain
\[
\chi_+ = \frac{\exp(G)}{D}\{M_2[\sigma_1 \cdot p - \frac{i}{2}\sigma_2 \cdot \nabla(J - L + G\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma)] \\
- M_1[\sigma_2 \cdot p - \frac{i}{2}\sigma_1 \cdot \nabla(J - L + G\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma)]\} \phi_+ \\
\rightarrow -\frac{\exp(G)}{D}\{(M_2 + M_1)[(\mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2}\nabla(J - L + G)) \cdot \phi_+] \\
+ (\mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2}\nabla(J - L - 3G)) \cdot \phi_+ \cdot \sigma + (M_2 - M_1)[i\mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla(J - L + G)] \times \phi_+ \cdot \sigma \\
\equiv \chi_{o+} + \chi_+ \cdot \sigma.
\]  
(B5)

Similarly
\[
\chi_- = -\frac{\exp(G)}{D}\{E_2[\sigma_1 \cdot p - \frac{i}{2}\sigma_2 \cdot \nabla(J - L + G\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma)] \\
+ E_1[\sigma_2 \cdot p - \frac{i}{2}\sigma_1 \cdot \nabla(J - L + G\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma)]\} \phi_+ \\
\rightarrow -\frac{\exp(G)}{D}\{(E_2 - E_1)[(\mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2}\nabla(J - L + G)) \cdot \phi_+] \\
+ (\mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2}\nabla(J - L - 3G)) \cdot \phi_+ \cdot \sigma + (E_2 + E_1)[i\mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla(J - L + G)] \times \phi_+ \cdot \sigma \\
\equiv \chi_{o-} + \chi_- \cdot \sigma.
\]  
(B6)

For equal mass and electromagnetic-like interactions \((J = -G)\) we find
\[
3G' - L' = -2F',
\]
\[
-(G + L)' = -2K',
\]  
(B7)

and
\[
\chi_+ \rightarrow \frac{\exp(G)}{E}\{(\mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2}\nabla L) \cdot \phi_+ + \{\mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2}\nabla(L + 4G) \cdot \sigma_{\phi_+}\};
\]  
(B8)

so that with the use of Eq. (3.32)
\[
\chi_{0+} = \exp(F - K)\eta_{o+} = \frac{\exp(G)}{E}\{(\mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2}\nabla L) \cdot \phi_+ \\
= \frac{\exp(G + F + K)}{E}[(\mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2}\nabla(L + 2F + 2K)) \cdot [1 + Q_{m\hat{r}\hat{r}}] \psi_+ \\
\chi_+ = \exp F(\exp K + [\exp(-K) - \exp(K)]\hat{r}\hat{r})\eta_+ \\
= \frac{\exp(G)}{E} \{(\mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2}\nabla(L + 4G)) \phi_+ \\
= \frac{\exp(F - K + G)}{E} \{(\mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2}\nabla(L + 4G + 2F - 2K)) \psi_{o+}\},
\]  
(B9)
and so inverting the exponentials and dyads we find Eq. (3.37). Similarly, in this case,

$$\chi_- \rightarrow -\frac{\exp(G)}{M} (i\mathbf{p} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla L) \times \phi_+ \cdot \sigma,$$

(B10)

so that

$$\chi_0- = 0$$

$$\chi_- = \exp(F + K)(1 - (1 - \exp(-2K))\hat{r}\hat{r}) \cdot \eta_-$$

$$= -\frac{\exp(G + F + K)}{M} [i\mathbf{p} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla (L - 2F - 2K)] \times (1 + Q_m \hat{r}\hat{r}) \cdot \psi_+,$$

(B11)

giving us Eq. (3.38) again by inverting the exponentials and dyads.

Next we work with Eq. (3.22). We transform this equation involving relations between spinors into one involving relations between the scalar and vector wave functions $\psi_{-0}, \psi_-$ and $\psi_{+0}, \psi_+$. We begin by transforming the portion

$$(E_2 D_1^{-+} - E_1 D_2^{-+}) \chi_+$$

$$= \exp(G) [E_2 (\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \sigma_2 \cdot \nabla (-J - L - G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)]$$

$$- E_1 [\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \sigma_1 \cdot \nabla (-J - L - G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)] \chi_+$$

$$\rightarrow \exp(G) [(E_2 + E_1) [(\mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \nabla (J + L + G)] \cdot \chi_+ + (\mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \nabla (J + L - 3G)) \chi_{+0} \sigma] + (E_2 - E_1) [i\mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (J + L + G)] \chi_+ \cdot \sigma,$$

(B12)

and then next

$$(M_2 D_1^{-+} + M_1 D_2^{-+}) \chi_-$$

$$= \exp(G) [M_2 (\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \sigma_2 \cdot \nabla (J - L - G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)]$$

$$+ M_1 [\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \sigma_1 \cdot \nabla (J - L - G \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2)] \chi_-$$

$$\rightarrow \exp(G) [(M_2 - M_1) [(\mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \nabla (-J + L + G)] \cdot \chi_+ - (\mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \nabla (-J + L - 3G)) \chi_{+0} \sigma] + (M_2 + M_1) [i\mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (-J + L + G)] \chi_- \cdot \sigma].$$

(B13)

Thus Eq. (3.22) becomes

$$\phi_- = \frac{(E_2 E_1 + M_2 M_1)}{D} \phi_+$$

$$- \frac{\exp(G)}{2D} [(E_2 + E_1) [(\mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \nabla (J + L + G)] \cdot \chi_+ \sigma_0$$

$$+ (\mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \nabla (J + L - 3G)) \chi_{+0} \sigma] + (E_2 - E_1) [i\mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (J + L + G)] \chi_+ \cdot \sigma$$

$$- (M_2 - M_1) [(\mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \nabla (-J + L + G)] \cdot \chi_- \sigma_0$$

$$+ (\mathbf{p} + \frac{i}{2} \nabla (-J + L - 3G)) \chi_{+0} \sigma] - (M_2 + M_1) [i\mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (-J + L + G)] \chi_- \cdot \sigma].$$

(B14)
For $\mathcal{G} = -J$ and equal mass the matrix wave function $\phi_-$ becomes, with the use of Eq. (3.34),

$$
\phi_- = \frac{(E^2 + M^2)}{2EM} \{ \exp(F - K)\psi_0 \sigma_0 + \exp(F + K) [ (1 - Q_m \hat{r} \hat{r}) \cdot \psi_+ ] \cdot \sigma \}
- \frac{\exp(2G + F - K)}{2ME} [ p + i 2 \nabla (L - 4G - 2F + 2K) ] \cdot [ p - i 2 \nabla (L + 4G + 2F - 2K) \psi_+ \sigma_0 ]
- \frac{\exp(F + K + 2G)}{2ME} \{ [ p + i 2 \nabla (L - 2F - 2K) ] \psi_+ \sigma_0 \}
\cdot [ 1 + Q_m \hat{r} \hat{r} ] \cdot \sigma
+ \frac{\exp(2G + F + K)}{2EM} [ ip + \frac{1}{2} \nabla (-L + 4G + 2F + 2K) ] \times [ [ ip - \frac{1}{2} \nabla (L - 2F - 2K) ] \psi_+ ] \cdot \sigma
= \exp(F - K) \psi_- \sigma_0 + \exp(F + K) [ (1 + Q_m \hat{r} \hat{r}) \cdot \psi_- ] \cdot \sigma,
$$

(B15)

and so

$$
\psi_- = \frac{(E^2 + M^2)}{2EM} \psi_+
+ \frac{\exp(2G)}{2EM} [ 1 + Q_m \hat{r} \hat{r} ] \cdot \left( -[ p + \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L - 8G - 2F - 2K) ] [ p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L + 2F + 2K) ] \right)
\times [ 1 + Q_m \hat{r} \hat{r} ] \cdot \psi_+.
$$

(B16)

Using

$$
2K - 2F = 4G,
2K + 2F = 2L - 2G,
$$

(B17)

then gives us Eq. (3.39).

As a check on our formalism consider the case of no potential, so that for the singlet we have

$$
\Psi|_{s=0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} ( \psi_+ \sigma_0 q_1 + \psi_- \sigma_0 q_2 + \eta_+ \cdot \sigma q_0 ),
$$

(B18)

Using the results in Eqs. (3.37), (3.38), and (3.39) we obtain

$$
\psi_- = \frac{m}{\varepsilon} \psi_+,
\eta_+ = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} p \psi_+,
$$

The total matrix wave function is

$$
\Psi|_{s=0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \psi_+ ( \sigma_0 q_1 + \frac{m}{\varepsilon} \sigma_0 q_2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} p \cdot \sigma q_0 ).
$$

(B19)

We compare that with the free wave function

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [ u^{(s_-)}(p) \bar{v}^{(s_+)}(-p) - u^{(s_+)}(p) \bar{v}^{(s_-)}(-p) ],
$$

(B20)
in which

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{(-)}^*(p) &= \frac{(\varepsilon + m + \alpha \cdot p)}{\sqrt{2m(\varepsilon + m)}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\psi_{(+)}^*(p) &= \frac{(\varepsilon + m + \alpha \cdot p)}{\sqrt{2m(\varepsilon + m)}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\bar{\psi}_{(-)}(p) &= \frac{(\varepsilon - m - \alpha \cdot p)}{\sqrt{2m(\varepsilon + m)}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\bar{\psi}_{(+)}(p) &= \frac{(\varepsilon - m - \alpha \cdot p)}{\sqrt{2m(\varepsilon + m)}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, we find

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\psi_{(-)}^*(p)\bar{\psi}_{(+)}(-p) - \psi_{(+)}^*(p)\bar{\psi}_{(-)}(-p)]
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon + m + q1\sigma \cdot p \\ \varepsilon + m + q1\sigma \cdot p \end{bmatrix}
= \frac{-\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} q1 + m i q2 \\ \ell - \sigma \cdot p \end{bmatrix},
\]

which has the same form as in Eq. (B19).

For the triplet case things are a bit more complex. For the triplet we have

\[
\Psi|_{s=1} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (\psi^+ \sigma q1 + \psi^- \sigma q2 + \eta^0 q0 + \eta^- \sigma q3),
\]

in which from (3.39)

\[
\psi^- = \frac{(\varepsilon^2 + m^2)}{2\varepsilon m} \psi^+ - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon m} (pp \cdot \psi^+ + p \times (p \times \psi^+)),
\]

or

\[
\psi^- = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon m} [(\varepsilon^2 + m^2) + p^2 - 2pp \cdot \psi^+]
= \frac{\varepsilon}{m} \psi^+ - \frac{1}{\varepsilon m} pp \cdot \psi^+,
\]

and from (3.38)

\[
\eta_- = \frac{1}{m} ip \times \psi^+,
\]

and (3.37)

\[
\eta^0 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} p \cdot \psi^+,
\]

and thus

\[
\Psi|_{s=1} = \frac{\psi^+}{2\sqrt{2}} [\sigma q1 + (\frac{\varepsilon}{m} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon m} pp \cdot \sigma)q2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon p q0} + \frac{1}{m} ip \times \sigma q3].
\]
Compare this with the free spinor solutions as in the singlet case.

\[ u^{(s_1)}(p)\bar{\psi}^{(s_2)}(-p) = (\varepsilon + m + \alpha \cdot p)u^{(s_2)}(0)u^{(s_2)}(0)^T i\sigma_y (\varepsilon + m + \alpha \cdot p) \]
\[ = (\varepsilon + m + q_1 \sigma \cdot p)u^{(s_1)}(0)u^{(s_2)}(0)^T iq_1 \sigma_2 (\varepsilon + m + q_1 \sigma \cdot p), \]  
where
\[ u^{(s)}(0)^T i\sigma_y = \begin{bmatrix} \chi^{(s)} & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma_y \end{bmatrix}. \]

Thus
\[ u^{(s_1)}(p)\bar{\psi}^{(s_2)}(-p) = (\varepsilon + m + q_1 \sigma \cdot p) \begin{bmatrix} \chi^{(s_1)} & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^{(s_2)} i\sigma_y \end{bmatrix} (\varepsilon + m + q_1 \sigma \cdot p). \]

Thus,
\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [u^{(s-)}(p)\bar{\psi}^{(s+))(-p)} + u^{(s+)}(p)\bar{\psi}^{(s-)}(-p)] \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}m(\varepsilon + m)} (\varepsilon + m + q_1 \sigma \cdot p)(q_1 + iq_2)(\sigma_1 - i\sigma_2)(\varepsilon + m + q_1 \sigma \cdot p), \]
and
\[ u^{(s-)}(p)\bar{\psi}^{(s-)}(-p) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4m(\varepsilon + m)} (\varepsilon + m + q_1 \sigma \cdot p)(q_1 + iq_2)(\sigma_1 - i\sigma_2)(\varepsilon + m + q_1 \sigma \cdot p), \]
and
\[ u^{(s+))(p)\bar{\psi}^{(s+))(-p)} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4m(\varepsilon + m)} (\varepsilon + m + q_1 \sigma \cdot p)(q_1 + iq_2)(\sigma_1 - i\sigma_2)(\varepsilon + m + q_1 \sigma \cdot p), \]
or in general
\[ \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}m(\varepsilon + m)} (\varepsilon + m + q_1 \sigma \cdot p)(q_1 + iq_2)(\sigma_1 - i\sigma_2)(\varepsilon + m + q_1 \sigma \cdot p) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \{ q_1 \phi_+ \cdot \sigma + \frac{\varepsilon}{m} iq_2 \phi_+ \cdot \sigma + \frac{1}{m} \phi_+ \cdot |p - iq_3 \sigma \times p| + (q_1 - iq_2) m(\varepsilon + m) \phi_+ \cdot \sigma p \cdot \sigma \}. \]

Consider the special case of particle and antiparticle at rest. In that case the above reduces to
\[ \frac{(q_1 + iq_2)\phi_+ \cdot \sigma}{2\sqrt{2}}. \]

This agrees in essential terms with
\[ \Psi|_{s=1} = \frac{\psi_+ \cdot \sigma}{2\sqrt{2}}(q_1 + iq_2), \]
provided that in that limit
\[ \phi_+ = \psi_+. \]

But what about the general case? One can show that letting
\[ \psi_+ = \frac{\varepsilon m}{(\varepsilon + m)m} (b \mathbf{1} - c \mathbf{pp} \cdot \cdot \cdot + c \mathbf{p} \times \cdot \cdot \cdot) \phi_+, \]

with
\[ c = \frac{i(q_1 - q_3)(q_1 m + iq_2 \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon + m \varepsilon}, \]
\[ b = 2 - \frac{(\varepsilon - m)}{\varepsilon} q_3, \]
\[ a = -\frac{\varepsilon q_0 + mq_3}{m \varepsilon (\varepsilon + m)}, \]

the expressions (B34) and (B27) are equivalent.
APPENDIX C: INTERACTION DEPENDENT MODIFICATIONS OF THE NORM

In order to obtain the interaction dependent modification

\[
\int d^3x \text{Tr}[\psi^\dagger (1 + 4w^2\beta_1\beta_2 \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial w^2}) \psi] = 1
\] (C1)

of Eq. (3.42) we first need the matrix connection

\[
\psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} (\beta_1 + \gamma_{51}\gamma_{52}) \begin{bmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \chi_+ \\ \chi_- \\ \phi_- \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} (\beta_1 + \gamma_{51}\gamma_{52}) \exp F(\cosh K + \sinh K \Sigma_1 \cdot \hat{r} \Sigma_2 \cdot \hat{r}) \begin{bmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \\ \psi_- \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\equiv L_0 \begin{bmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \\ \psi_- \end{bmatrix},
\] (C2)

between the Dirac spinor solutions of Eqs. (2.18) and those of (3.25).

The transformation between the 16 component column vector form of the wave function that satisfies our quasipotential equation (3.25) and the one which satisfies the Two-Body Dirac equation in hyperbolic form is given in Eq. (C2). The corresponding 4x4 matrix form is

\[
\psi = \frac{\exp(F)}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_+ & q_1 & \eta_+ & \eta_- \\ \psi_- & q_2 & \eta_+ & \eta_- \\ \psi_+ & q_3 & \eta_+ & \eta_- \\ \psi_- & q_4 & \eta_+ & \eta_- \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} (\beta_1 + \gamma_{51}\gamma_{52}) (\psi_1 q_1 + \psi_2 q_2 + \eta_1 q_0 + \eta_2 q_3)
\]

\[
\equiv K \Psi(r)
\] (C3)

where

\[
\Psi(r) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} (\psi_1 q_1 + \psi_2 q_2 + \eta_1 q_0 + \eta_2 q_3).
\] (C4)

Whereas the normalization condition (3.42) in 16 component form is

\[
\int d^3x [\psi^\dagger (1 + 4w^2\beta_1\beta_2 \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial w^2}) \psi]
\]

\[
= \int d^3x \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \psi_+^\dagger & \eta_+^\dagger & \eta_-^\dagger & \psi_-^\dagger \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} (\beta_1 + \gamma_{51}\gamma_{52}) (A + B \Sigma_1 \cdot \hat{r} \Sigma_2 \cdot \hat{r}) \right]
\]

\[
\times \left( A + B \Sigma_1 \cdot \hat{r} \Sigma_2 \cdot \hat{r} \right) \frac{1}{2} (\beta_1 + \gamma_{51}\gamma_{52}) \begin{bmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \\ \psi_- \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
+ 4w^2 \int d^3x \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \psi_+^\dagger & \eta_+^\dagger & \eta_-^\dagger & \psi_-^\dagger \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} (\beta_1 + \gamma_{51}\gamma_{52}) (A + B \Sigma_1 \cdot \hat{r} \Sigma_2 \cdot \hat{r}) \right]
\]

\[
\times \left( A + B \Sigma_1 \cdot \hat{r} \Sigma_2 \cdot \hat{r} \right) \frac{1}{2} (\beta_1 + \gamma_{51}\gamma_{52}) \begin{bmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \\ \psi_- \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\times \left( A + B \Sigma_1 \cdot \hat{r} \Sigma_2 \cdot \hat{r} \right) \frac{1}{2} (\beta_1 + \gamma_{51}\gamma_{52}) \begin{bmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \\ \psi_- \end{bmatrix}
\] (C5)

\[
\times \left( A + B \Sigma_1 \cdot \hat{r} \Sigma_2 \cdot \hat{r} \right) \frac{1}{2} (\beta_1 + \gamma_{51}\gamma_{52}) \begin{bmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \eta_+ \\ \eta_- \\ \psi_- \end{bmatrix}
\]
since the matrix form of 
\[
(1 + 4w^2\beta_1\beta_2 \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \omega^2})\psi = [1 + 2w^2(\rho_1\rho_2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega} + (\gamma_{51}\gamma_{52} - \Sigma_1: \Sigma_2) \frac{\partial G}{\partial \omega^2})]\psi
\]

\[
K\Psi(r) + [-2w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega^2} \rho K\Psi(r) \rho + 2w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial \omega^2} (\gamma_{33}K\Psi(r) \gamma_{53} + \Sigma K\Psi(r) \Sigma)]
\]

\[
K\Psi(r) + [2w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega^2} i_2 K\Psi(r) i_2 q + 2w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial \omega^2} (q_1 K\Psi(r) q_1 + \Sigma K\Psi(r) \Sigma)]
\]

(C6)
in terms of matrix wave functions the norm condition (3.42) is

\[
1 = \int d^3 x Tr\Psi^\dagger L \Psi = \int d^3 x Tr\{ (K\Psi(r)) ^\dagger L K\Psi(r) + (K\Psi(r)) ^\dagger [2w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega^2} i_2 K\Psi(r) i_2 q + 2w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial \omega^2} (q_1 K\Psi(r) q_1 + \Sigma K\Psi(r) \Sigma)] \}.
\]

(C7)

Substituting Eq.(C3) and its conjugate this norm condition becomes

\[
1 = \frac{1}{8} \int d^3 x \exp(2F) Tr_{\gamma q}\{[\cosh K(\psi_+^\dagger q_1 - \psi_-^\dagger q_2 + \eta_+^\dagger q_0 + \eta_-^\dagger q_3)
\]

\[
- \sinh K\Sigma \cdot \hat{f}(\psi_+^\dagger q_1 - \psi_-^\dagger q_2 + \eta_+^\dagger q_0 + \eta_-^\dagger q_3) \Sigma \cdot \hat{f}]
\]

\[
\times [\cosh K(\psi_+ q_1 + \psi_- i q_2 + \eta_+ q_0 + \eta_- q_3) \Sigma \cdot \hat{f}]
\]

\[
- \sinh K\Sigma \cdot \hat{f}(\psi_+ q_1 + \psi_- i q_2 + \eta_+ q_0 + \eta_- q_3) \Sigma \cdot \hat{f}]
\]

\[
+ [\cosh K(\psi_+ q_1 - \psi_- i q_2 + \eta_+ q_0 + \eta_- q_3) \Sigma \cdot \hat{f}]
\]

\[
- \sinh K\Sigma \cdot \hat{f}(\psi_+ q_1 - \psi_- i q_2 + \eta_+ q_0 + \eta_- q_3) \Sigma \cdot \hat{f}]
\]

\[
\times \{ [2w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega^2} i_2 [\cosh K(\psi_+ q_1 + \psi_- i q_2 + \eta_+ q_0 + \eta_- q_3) \Sigma \cdot \hat{f}]
\]

\[
- \sinh K\Sigma \cdot \hat{f}(\psi_+ q_1 + \psi_- i q_2 + \eta_+ q_0 + \eta_- q_3) \Sigma \cdot \hat{f}] q_2
\]

\[
+ 2w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial \omega^2} (q_1 [\cosh K(\psi_+ q_1 + \psi_- i q_2 + \eta_+ q_0 + \eta_- q_3) \Sigma \cdot \hat{f}]
\]

\[
- \sinh K\Sigma \cdot \hat{f}(\psi_+ q_1 + \psi_- i q_2 + \eta_+ q_0 + \eta_- q_3) \Sigma \cdot \hat{f}]
\]

\[
+ \Sigma [\cosh K(\psi_+ q_1 + \psi_- i q_2 + \eta_+ q_0 + \eta_- q_3) \Sigma \cdot \hat{f}]
\]

\[
- \sinh K\Sigma \cdot \hat{f}(\psi_+ q_1 + \psi_- i q_2 + \eta_+ q_0 + \eta_- q_3) \Sigma \cdot \hat{f}] \Sigma}\} \}
\]

(C8)

\[
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4} \int d^3 x \exp(2F) Tr_{\sigma q}\{ \cosh 2K(\psi_+^\dagger \psi_+ + \psi_-^\dagger \psi_- + \eta_+^\dagger \eta_+ + \eta_-^\dagger \eta_-)
\]

\[
- \sinh 2K(\psi_+^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \psi_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} + \psi_-^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \psi_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} + \eta_+^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} + \eta_-^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f})
\]

\[
+ \{ [2w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial \omega^2} \cosh 2K(\psi_+^\dagger \psi_+ - \psi_-^\dagger \psi_- - \eta_+^\dagger \eta_+ + \eta_-^\dagger \eta_-)
\]

\[
- \sinh 2K(\psi_+^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \psi_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} - \psi_-^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \psi_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} - \eta_+^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} - \eta_-^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f})]
\]

\[
+ 2w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial \omega^2} \{ \cosh 2K(\psi_+^\dagger \psi_+ - \psi_-^\dagger \psi_- + \eta_+^\dagger \eta_+ - \eta_-^\dagger \eta_-)
\]

\[
- \sinh 2K(\psi_+^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \psi_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} - \psi_-^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \psi_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} - \eta_+^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} - \eta_-^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f})
\]

\[
+ \cosh^2 K(\psi_+^\dagger \psi_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \psi_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} + \psi_-^\dagger \psi_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \psi_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} + \eta_+^\dagger \eta_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} - \eta_-^\dagger \eta_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f})
\]

\[
+ \sinh^2 K(\sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \psi_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} + \psi_-^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \psi_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} + \eta_+^\dagger \eta_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} + \eta_-^\dagger \eta_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f})
\]

\[
+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_+^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} + \eta_-^\dagger \eta_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f})
\]

\[
- \cosh K \sinh K[\psi_+^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \psi_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} + \psi_-^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \psi_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} + \eta_+^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} + \eta_-^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_- \sigma \cdot \tilde{f})
\]

\[
+ \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_+^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_+ + \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_-^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_- + \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_+^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_+ + \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_-^\dagger \sigma \cdot \tilde{f} \eta_-] \}
\]

(C9)
For the spin singlet case (3.53)
\[
\psi_+ = \psi_+ \sigma_0; \quad \psi_- = \psi_- \sigma_0; \quad \eta_+ = \eta_+ \cdot \sigma; \quad \eta_- = 0.
\]
Substitution and performing the remaining trace using matrix identities such as
\[
\sigma (\sigma \cdot \hat{r} \eta_+ \cdot \sigma \cdot \hat{r}) \sigma = \sigma (2 \eta_+ \cdot \hat{r} \sigma \cdot \hat{r} \eta_+ \cdot \sigma)
\]
\[
- \eta_+^{\dagger} \cdot \sigma (2 \eta_+ \cdot \hat{r} \sigma \cdot \hat{r} \eta_+ \cdot \sigma) = - 2 \eta_+^{\dagger} \cdot \hat{r} \eta_+ \cdot \hat{r} + \eta_+^{\dagger} \cdot \eta_+
\]
leads to Eq. (3.54) in the text. From that equation and Eqs. (3.50), (3.58), and (3.59) we obtain the general radial form of
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \exp(2F) \left( \exp(-2K) \left[ \left( \frac{u_0^{+}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{u_0^{-}}{r} \right)^2 \right] + \exp(2K) \left[ \left( \frac{v_{(j-1)l}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{v_{(j+1)l}}{r} \right)^2 \right] \right)
\]
\[
- 2 \sinh 2K \left( \frac{v_{(j+1)l}}{r} \right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \right) + \frac{v_{(j-1)lj}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \right)^2
\]
\[
+ 2 \sinh 2K \left( \frac{v_{(j+1)lj}}{r} \right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \right) + \frac{v_{(j-1)lj}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \right)^2\}
\]
\[
+ 4w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial u^2} \exp(-2K) \left[ 2 \left( \frac{v_0^{+}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{v_0^{-}}{r} \right)^2 \right] \right) = 1\]

For the spin triplet case (3.61)
\[
\psi_+ = \psi_+ \sigma; \quad \psi_- = \psi_- \sigma; \quad \eta_+ = \eta_0 \sigma_0; \quad \eta_- = \eta_- \sigma.
\]
and performing the trace gives Eq. (3.62) in the text. From that equation and Eqs. (3.50), (3.69), and (3.70) and
\[
\psi_- = \frac{u_{(j+1)lj}}{r} Y_{jm} + \frac{u_{(j-1)lj}}{r} Y_{jm}\]
we obtain the general radial form of
\[
1 = \frac{1}{2} \int dr r^2 \exp(2F) \left( \exp(2K) \left[ \left( \frac{u_0^{+}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{u_0^{-}}{r} \right)^2 \right] + \exp(2K) \left[ \left( \frac{v_{(j-1)lj}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{v_{(j+1)lj}}{r} \right)^2 \right] \right)
\]
\[
+ \exp(-2K) \left( \frac{v_0^{+}}{r} \right)^2 \right) \left( \frac{j+1}{2j+1} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{v_{(j-1)lj}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \right)^2\}
\]
\[
+ \left( 2w^2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial u^2} \exp(2K) \left[ \left( \frac{v_{(j+1)lj}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{v_{(j-1)lj}}{r} \right)^2 \right] - \left( \frac{u_{(j+1)lj}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{u_{(j-1)lj}}{r} \right)^2 \right) \right)
\]
\[
- \exp(-2K) \left( \frac{v_0^{+}}{r} \right)^2 \right) \right) \left( \frac{j+1}{2j+1} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{v_{(j-1)lj}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \right)^2\}
\]
\[
+ 4w^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial u^2} \left( \exp(-2K) \left[ \left( \frac{v_0^{+}}{r} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{v_0^{-}}{r} \right)^2 \right] + 2 \exp(-2K) \left( \frac{v_0^{+}}{r} \right)^2 \right)
\]
\[
+ 2 \sinh 2K \left( \frac{v_0^{+}}{r} \right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \right) + \frac{v_{(j-1)lj}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \right)^2\}] \right) \right)
APPENDIX D: RELATIONS BETWEEN RADIAL PARTS OF SCALAR AND VECTOR WAVE FUNCTIONS

1. The Singlet Wave Function

We start with scalar part of Eq. (3.39)

\[ \psi_{-0} = \left\{ \frac{(E^2 + M^2)}{2EM} - \frac{\exp(2G)}{2ME} [p + \frac{i}{2} \nabla L] \cdot [p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla L] \right\} \psi_{+0}. \]  

(D1)

Use

\[ [p + \frac{i}{2} \nabla L] \cdot [p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla L] = p^2 - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 L + \frac{1}{4} (\nabla L)^2, \]  

(D2)

and so

\[ \psi_{-0} = \left\{ \frac{(E^2 + M^2)}{2EM} - \frac{\exp(2G)}{2ME} [p^2 - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 L + \frac{1}{4} (\nabla L)^2] \right\} \psi_{+0}. \]  

(D3)

By converting Eq. (3.25) to matrix form and taking the scalar part one can show (see [9]) for an alternative approach

\[ -p^2 \psi = \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \nabla^2 L - B^2 \exp(-2G) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 L \right\}. \]  

(D4)

and so

\[ \psi_{-0} = \left\{ \frac{(E^2 + M^2)}{2EM} - \frac{\exp(2G)}{2ME} [p^2 - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 L + \frac{1}{4} (\nabla L)^2] \right\} \psi_{+0}. \]  

(D5)

The other wave function contributing is from Eq. (3.37).

\[ \eta_+ = \frac{\exp(G-2K)}{E} \{ (p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla L) + [\exp(2K) - 1] (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla L) \} \psi_{+0} \]

\[ = \frac{\exp(G-2K)}{E} \{ (p - \frac{iL'}{2} \hat{\mathbf{r}}) + [\exp(2K) - 1] (\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{iL'}{2} \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \} \frac{u_{+0j}^*}{r} Y_{jm} \]

\[ = \frac{i \exp(G-2K)}{E} \{ [\exp(2K)] (-\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{L'}{2}) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{u_{+0j}^*}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1} Y_-} \}

\[ + [\exp(2K)] (-\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{L'}{2}) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{u_{+0j}^*}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1} Y_+} \}, \]  

(D6)

in which we have used Eq. (3.51) in the form

\[ \hat{\mathbf{r}} Y_{jm} = \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1} Y_-} - \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1} Y_+}, \]

\[ p Y_{jm} = -\frac{i}{r} [(j+1) \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1} Y_-} + j \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1} Y_+}] \].  

(D7)

2. The Triplet Wave Functions

In this appendix we evaluate the details of the wave functions below contributing to the triplet state. Beginning with Eqs. (3.39), (3.37), and (3.38) we find the relations between the radial parts of the wave functions for \( \psi_-, \eta_{+0}, \eta_- \).
and those of $\psi_+$. For simplicity of notation we define

$$A = \frac{(L + 2G)}{2},$$

$$B = \frac{(L - 2G)}{2},$$

$$C = \frac{(L + 6G)}{2},$$

$$D = \frac{(3L - 2G)}{2},$$

and so Eqs. (3.39), (3.37), and (3.38) become

$$\psi_+ = \frac{(E^2 + M^2)}{2EM} \psi_+ - \frac{\exp(2G)}{2EM} [1 + Q_p \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}]$$

$$\cdot \left[ [p - i\nabla A] \times \{ [p - i\nabla B] \times [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \} \right. + \left. [p - i\nabla C] [p - i\nabla D] \cdot [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \right]$$

$$\eta_{+0} = \frac{\exp(G + 2K)}{E} [p - i\nabla D] \cdot [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi_+$$

$$\eta_- = - \frac{\exp(G)}{M} [1 + Q_p \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \cdot [p \times \nabla B] \times [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \psi_+. \tag{D9}$$

a. The Wave Function $\psi_-$

In this section we consider $\psi_-$ and in the subsequent sections $\eta_{+0}$ and $\eta_-$. The first portion of the first term of $\psi_-$ involves

$$[p - i\nabla A] \times \{ [p - i\nabla B] \times [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \}$$

$$= [p - iA' \mathbf{r}] \times \{ [p - iB' \mathbf{r}] \times [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \}. \tag{D10}$$

The inner portion is

$$[p - iB' \mathbf{r}] \times [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi_+$$

$$= p \times [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi_+ - iB' \mathbf{r} \times [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi_+. \tag{D11}$$

The first part of this is

$$p \times [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi_+$$

$$= p \times \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r} \mathbf{L} \cdot \psi_+, \tag{D12}$$

and the second part is

$$-iB' \mathbf{r} \times [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi_+$$

$$= -iB' \mathbf{r} \times \psi_+. \tag{D13}$$

Thus the inner portion is

$$[p - iB' \mathbf{r}] \times [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi_+$$

$$= p \times \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r} \mathbf{L} \cdot \psi_+ - iB' \mathbf{r} \times \psi_. \tag{D14}$$

Hence, the first term involves

$$(p - iA' \mathbf{r}) \times (p \times \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r} \mathbf{L} \cdot \psi_+ - iB' \mathbf{r} \times \psi_+)$$

$$= p \times (p \times \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r} \mathbf{L} \cdot \psi_+ - iB' \mathbf{r} \times \psi_+)$$

$$-iA' \mathbf{r} \times (p \times \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r} \mathbf{L} \cdot \psi_+ - iB' \mathbf{r} \times \psi_+). \tag{D15}$$
The first part is
\[ p \times (p \times \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r} L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - iB' \hat{r} \times \psi_+) \]
\[ = pp \cdot \psi_+ - p^2 \psi_+ - i\left(\frac{Q_m}{r} \frac{2K'}{r} \exp(-2K) + \frac{Q_m}{r} p \times L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r} p \times L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ \right) \]
\[ - B'' \hat{r} \times (\hat{r} \times \psi_+) - iB' p \times (\hat{r} \times \psi_+). \]  

The second is
\[ -iA' \hat{r} \times (p \times \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r} L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - iB' \hat{r} \times \psi_+) \]
\[ = -iA' \hat{r} \times (p \times \psi_+) + \frac{Q_m}{r} A' (\hat{r} \times L) \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - A' B' \hat{r} \times (\hat{r} \times \psi_+). \]  

Combining, the first portion of the first term is
\[ (p - iA' \hat{r}) \times (p \times \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r} L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - iB' \hat{r} \times \psi_+) \]
\[ = pp \cdot \psi_+ - p^2 \psi_+ - i\left(\frac{Q_m}{r} \frac{2K'}{r} \exp(-2K) + \frac{Q_m}{r} p \times L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ \right) \]
\[ - \frac{Q_m}{r} p \times L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - (B'' + A' B') \hat{r} \times (\hat{r} \times \psi_+) - iB' p \times (\hat{r} \times \psi_+) - iA' \hat{r} \times (p \times \psi_+). \]  

The second portion of the first term involves
\[ Q_p \hat{r} \cdot \left( [p - i\nabla A] \times \{ [p - i\nabla B] \times [1 + Q_m \hat{r} \hat{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \} \right) \]
\[ = Q_p \{ \hat{r} \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - \hat{r} \hat{r} \cdot pp \cdot \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r^2} \hat{L} \cdot L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ \}
\[ - \frac{B'}{r} \hat{r} \hat{L} \times \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+. \]  

Thus, the entire first term involves
\[ [1 + Q_p \hat{r} \hat{r}] \cdot \left( [p - i\nabla A] \times \{ [p - i\nabla B] \times [1 + Q_m \hat{r} \hat{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \} \right) \]
\[ = pp \cdot \psi_+ - p^2 \psi_+ - i\left(\frac{Q_m}{r} \frac{2K'}{r} \exp(-2K) + \frac{Q_m}{r} p \times L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ \right) \]
\[ - \frac{Q_m}{r} p \times L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - (B'' + A' B') \hat{r} \times (\hat{r} \times \psi_+) - iB' p \times (\hat{r} \times \psi_+) - iA' \hat{r} \times (p \times \psi_+ \} \]
\[ + Q_p \{ +\hat{r} \hat{r} \cdot pp \cdot \psi_+ - \hat{r} \hat{r} \cdot p^2 \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r^2} \hat{L} \cdot L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - \frac{B'}{r} \hat{r} \hat{L} \times \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ \}. \]  

The first portion of the second term involves
\[ [p - i\nabla C] \cdot [p - i\nabla D] \cdot [1 + Q_m \hat{r} \hat{r}] \cdot \psi_+. \]

The inner portion is
\[ [p - iD' \hat{r}] \cdot [1 + Q_m \hat{r} \hat{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \]
\[ = p \cdot [1 + Q_m \hat{r} \hat{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \]
\[ - iD' \hat{r} \cdot [1 + Q_m \hat{r} \hat{r}] \cdot \psi. \]  

The first part is
\[ p \cdot [1 + Q_m \hat{r} \hat{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \]
\[ = p \cdot \psi_+ + i2K' \exp(-2K) \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ + Q_m p \cdot \hat{r} \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+. \]  

(D16)
while the second part is

\[-iD'\mathbf{r} \cdot [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi = -i \exp(-2K)D'\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi.\]

The inner portion is thus

\[
[p - iD'\mathbf{r}] \cdot [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi
= p \cdot \psi + i(2K - D)' \exp(-2K)\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi. \tag{D22}
\]

The first portion of the second term thus involves

\[
[p - iC'\mathbf{r}] [p \cdot \psi + i(2K - D)' \exp(-2K)\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi]
= p[p \cdot \psi + i(2K - D)' \exp(-2K)\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi] + C' \cdot \exp(-2K)\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m p[p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi]. \tag{D23}
\]

The first part of this is

\[
p[p \cdot \psi + i(2K - D)' \exp(-2K)\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi]
= p[p \cdot \psi + i(2K - D)' \exp(-2K)\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi] + C' \cdot \exp(-2K)\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi. \tag{D24}
\]

while the second part is simply

\[-iC'\mathbf{r} [p \cdot \psi + i(2K - D)' \exp(-2K)\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi]
= -C' i p[p \cdot \psi + i(2K - D)' \exp(-2K)\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi]. \tag{D25}
\]

This combines with the first part to give

\[
[p - iC'\mathbf{r}] [p \cdot \psi + i(2K - D)' \exp(-2K)\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi]
= p[p \cdot \psi + i(2K - D)' \exp(-2K)\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi] + C' \cdot \exp(-2K)\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m p[p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi] + (2K - D)'(Q_m + 1)\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + C' \cdot i[p \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi]. \tag{D26}
\]

The second portion of the second term is

\[
+Q_p \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \psi = Q_p \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_m \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + [(2K - D)'(2K - C)'(2K - D)'](Q_m + 1)\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi
+ (2K - D)'(Q_m + 1)\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + C' \cdot i[p \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi]. \tag{D27}
\]

Combining the two portions gives

\[
[1 + Q_p \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}][p - i\nabla \mathbf{r}] [p - i\nabla D] \cdot [1 + Q_m \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}] \cdot \psi
= pp \cdot \psi + Q_p pp \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_p \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot pp \cdot \psi + Q_p Q_m \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot pp \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi
+ [(2K - D)'(2K - C)'(2K - D)']\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi
+ (2K - D)'(Q_m + 1)i\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + Q_p \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot pp \cdot \psi
+ 2K'\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \psi + (Q_p + 1)C' \cdot i[p \cdot \psi + Q_m p \cdot \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r} \cdot \psi]. \tag{D28}
\]
Now we combine the first and second terms to give

\[
[1 + Q_p \hat{r}\hat{r}] \cdot \left( [p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L + 2G)] \times \{ [p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L - 2G)] \times [1 + Q_m \hat{r}\hat{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \right) \\
+ [p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L + 6G)][p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (3L - 2G)] \cdot [1 + Q_m \hat{r}\hat{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \\
= pp \cdot \psi_+ + p^2 \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r^2} + \left( \frac{2K - A'}{r} \exp(-2K) + A' \right) \hat{r} \times L\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ \\
- \frac{Q_m}{r} p \times L\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - (B'' + A'B') \hat{r} \times (\hat{r} \times \psi_+) - iB' p \times (\hat{r} \times \psi_+) - iA' \hat{r} \times (p \times \psi_+) \\
+ Q_p \{ \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot pp \cdot \psi_+ - \hat{r} \cdot p^2 \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r^2} L^2 \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - \frac{B'}{r} \hat{r} \times L \cdot \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ \} \\
+ pp \cdot \psi_+ + Q_m pp \cdot \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ + Q_p \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot pp \cdot \psi_+ + Q_p Q_m \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot pp \cdot \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ \\
+ [(2K - D)'' - (2K - C)'(2K - D)'] \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ \\
+ (2K - D)'(Q_m + 1)i(p\hat{r}\cdot \psi_+ + Q_p \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot p\hat{r}\cdot \psi_+) \\
+ 2K' i\hat{r} \cdot (p \cdot \hat{r}) \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - (Q_p + 1)C' i\hat{r} \cdot (p \cdot \psi_+ + Q_m (p \cdot \hat{r}) \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+). \tag{D29}
\]

We make the following abbreviations

\[
G \equiv \frac{Q_m}{r^2} + \left( \frac{2K - A'}{r} \right)(Q_m + 1) + A' \\
H \equiv (B'' + A'B'), \\
I \equiv [(2K - D)'' - (2K - C)'(2K - D)'] \\
N \equiv (2K - D)'(Q_m + 1) \tag{D30}
\]

and so

\[
[1 + Q_p \hat{r}\hat{r}] \cdot \left( [p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L + 2G)] \times \{ [p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L - 2G)] \times [1 + Q_m \hat{r}\hat{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \right) \\
+ [p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L + 6G)][p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (3L - 2G)] \cdot [1 + Q_m \hat{r}\hat{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \\
= + 2pp \cdot \psi_+ + p^2 \psi_+ + 2Q_p \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot pp \cdot \psi_+ + Q_m pp \cdot \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ + Q_p Q_m \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot pp \cdot \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - Q_p \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot p^2 \psi_+ \\
- G \hat{r} \times L\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r} p \times L\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - H \hat{r} \times (\hat{r} \times \psi_+) - iB' p \times (\hat{r} \times \psi_+) - iA' \hat{r} \times (p \times \psi_+) \\
+ Q_p \{ \frac{Q_m}{r^2} L^2 \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - \frac{B'}{r} \hat{r} \times L \cdot \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ \} \\
+ N i(p\hat{r}\cdot \psi_+ + Q_p \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot p\hat{r}\cdot \psi_+) + 2K' i\hat{r} \cdot p \cdot \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - (Q_p + 1)C' i\hat{r} \cdot (p \cdot \psi_+ + Q_m (p \cdot \hat{r}) \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+). \tag{D31}
\]

To facilitate possible cancellations expand out the triple cross products and use \( p \cdot \hat{r} = \hat{r} \cdot p = \frac{2L}{r} \). We need

\[
i\hat{r} \times L\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = i\hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot p\hat{r}\cdot \psi_+ - i [r] p\hat{r}\cdot \psi_+, \\
or
\]

\[
i p\hat{r}\cdot \psi_+ = i\hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot p\hat{r}\cdot \psi_+ + \frac{1}{r} i\hat{r} \times L\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+, \tag{D32}
\]

and

\[
L \times \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = (-\hat{r}r \cdot p + [r] p + 2i\hat{r}) \cdot \psi_+, \tag{D33}
\]

and

\[
i\hat{r} p \cdot \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = \hat{r}(i\hat{r} \cdot p + \frac{2}{r})\hat{r}\cdot \psi_+, \\
pp \cdot \hat{r}\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = p(\hat{r} \cdot p - \frac{2i}{r})\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+, \\
i\hat{r} (p \cdot \hat{r}) \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = \hat{r} \left( i\hat{r} \cdot p + \frac{2}{r} \right) \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+. \tag{D34}
\]
\[ \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \left( \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{2}{r^2} - \frac{2}{r} i \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p} \right) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_. \]  

(D35)

Use these to simplify

\[
+ N i (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ + Q_p \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+) + 2 K' \hat{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ - (Q_p + 1) C' \hat{\mathbf{r}} (\mathbf{p} \cdot \psi_+ + Q_m \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+) \\
+ Q_m \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ + Q_p Q_m \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ \\
\equiv \left( \frac{4 K' - 2 Q_m (Q_p + 1) C'}{r} + \frac{2 Q_p Q_m + 2 Q_m}{r^2} \right) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ \\
+(N(1 + Q_p) + 2 K' - (Q_p + 1) Q_m C' - \frac{2 Q_p Q_m - Q_m}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ \\
- \frac{N i}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} \cdot \psi_- -(Q_p + 1) C' \hat{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{p} \cdot \psi_+ + Q_m (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \mathbf{p} - \frac{3 i}{r} p^2) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ \\
+ Q_p Q_m \hat{\mathbf{r}} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ \\
\equiv \frac{M}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ + O \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ - \frac{N i}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} \cdot \psi_- -(Q_p + 1) C' \hat{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{p} \cdot \psi_+ \\
+ Q_m (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \mathbf{p} - \frac{3 i}{r} p^2) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ + Q_p Q_m \hat{\mathbf{r}} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+, \]

(D36)

where we have used

\[ \mathbf{p} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{r} \mathbf{p} + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p}. \]  

(D37)

and defined

\[ M \equiv \left( \frac{4 K' - 2 Q_m (Q_p + 1) C'}{r} + \frac{2 Q_p Q_m + 2 Q_m}{r^2} \right) \\
= \left( \frac{4 K' + 2 Q_p C'}{r} - \frac{2 Q_p}{r^2} \right), \]

\[ O \equiv N(1 + Q_p) + 2 K' - (Q_p + 1) Q_m C' - \frac{2 Q_p Q_m - Q_m}{r} \\
= N(1 + Q_p) + 2 K' + Q_p C' + \frac{2 Q_p + 3 Q_m}{r}. \]  

(D38)

Furthermore using

\[ \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \psi_-) = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_- - \psi_+, \]  

(D39)

we obtain

\[
[1 + Q_p \hat{\mathbf{r}}] \cdot \left( [\mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L + 2 \mathbf{G})] \times [\mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L - 2 \mathbf{G})] \times [1 + Q_m \hat{\mathbf{r}}] \cdot \psi_+ \right) \\
+ [\mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L + 2 \mathbf{G})] [\mathbf{p} - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (3L - 2 \mathbf{G})] \cdot [1 + Q_m \hat{\mathbf{r}}] \cdot \psi_+ \\
= (H - p^2) \psi_+ + 2 \mathbf{p} \cdot \psi_+ + Q_m (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \mathbf{p} - \frac{3 i}{r} p^2) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ + Q_p Q_m \hat{\mathbf{r}} (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ \\
-(G + \frac{N}{r} i \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} \cdot \psi_- - \frac{Q_m}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{L} \cdot \psi_+ + \left( \frac{M}{r} - H + I \right) \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ + O \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \mathbf{p} \cdot \psi_+ - i B' \mathbf{p} \times (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \psi_+) \\
- \frac{3 i}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times (\mathbf{p} \times \psi_+) + Q_p \{ 2 \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \psi_+ - \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \cdot \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{L}^2 \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ - \frac{B'}{r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{L} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ \} \\
-(Q_p + 1) C' \hat{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{p} \cdot \psi_+. 
\]

(D40)

Of further use are

\[ i \mathbf{p} \times (\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \psi_-) = -\frac{1}{r} \psi_+ - \frac{1}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ - i \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \psi_+ + i \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \psi_+, \]

\[ i \hat{\mathbf{r}} \times (\mathbf{p} \times \psi_-) = -\frac{1}{r} \psi_+ + \frac{1}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \psi_+ - i \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \psi_+ + i \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{p} \psi_+, \]  

(D41)
and

\[ i \hat{r} \times \hat{L} \cdot \psi_+ = i r \hat{r} \cdot \hat{p} \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - i |r| p \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+, \]
\[ i L \times \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = (-i \hat{r} r + i |r| p - 2 \hat{r}) \cdot \psi_. \] (D42)

So using these we have

\[
[1 + Q_p \hat{r}] \cdot \left( [p - i \frac{1}{2} \nabla (L + 2G)] \times \{ [p - i \frac{1}{2} \nabla (L - 2G)] \times [1 + Q_m \hat{r}] \cdot \psi_+ \} \right)
+ [p - i \frac{1}{2} \nabla (L + 6G)] \times [p - i \frac{1}{2} \nabla (3L - 2G)] \cdot [1 + Q_m \hat{r}] \cdot \psi_+
= (H - p^2) \psi_+ + 2 pp \cdot \psi_+ + Q_m (\hat{r} \cdot pp - \frac{3i}{r} p) \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ + Q_p Q_m (\hat{r} \cdot p \hat{r} \cdot p) \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+
-(rG + N)(i \hat{r} \cdot \hat{p} \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - ip \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+) - \frac{Q_m}{r} p \times \hat{L} \cdot \psi_+ + \left( \frac{M}{r} - H + I \right) \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+
-B'(\frac{1}{r} \psi_+ - \frac{1}{r} \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - i \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ + \hat{r} \cdot p \psi_+ + \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+) - A'(\frac{1}{r} \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ + \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ + \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+)
+ Q_p \left( 2 \hat{r} \cdot pp \cdot \psi_+ - \hat{r} \cdot p^2 \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r^2} \hat{L}^2 \cdot \psi_+ \right)
- B'(\frac{1}{r} \hat{r} \cdot (p + i |r| p - 2 \hat{r}) \cdot \psi_+) + Q_p Q_m (\hat{r} \cdot p \hat{r} \cdot p) \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+
- (Q_p + 1) C' \hat{r} \cdot p \cdot \psi_+
= (R - p^2) \psi_+ + 2 pp \cdot \psi_+ + Q_m \hat{r} \cdot pp \cdot \psi_+ + Q_p Q_m (\hat{r} \cdot p \hat{r} \cdot p) \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r} p \times \hat{L} \cdot \psi_+
+ Q_p [2 \hat{r} \cdot pp \cdot \psi_+ - \hat{r} \cdot p^2 \psi_+ - \frac{Q_m}{r^2} \hat{L}^2 \cdot \psi_+ ]
+ S \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ + (A + B') i \hat{r} \cdot p \psi_+ + T i \hat{r} \cdot p \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ + U i \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ + V i \hat{r} \cdot p \cdot \psi_+, \] (D43)

where we use the abbreviations

\[
R = H + \frac{(A + B')}{r},
S = \frac{M}{r} - H + I + \frac{(3B - A')}{r},
T = (O - N + B' - Gr),
U = -3Q_m + rG + N - A',
V = -(Q_p + 1) C' - 2B'.
\] (D44)

Taking the above expression term by term we need (using the abbreviations \( Y_\pm = Y_{jm \pm} \))

\[
L^2 Y_+ = [(j + 1)(j + 2)] Y_+, \quad L^2 Y_- = (j - 1)j Y_-,
\] (D45)

and so

\[
p^2 \psi_+ = - \left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{(j + 1)(j + 2)}{r} \right) \frac{u_+}{r} Y_+ - \left( \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{(j - 1)j}{r} \right) \frac{u_-}{r} Y_-.
\] (D46)
Also needed are

\[
\hat{r} \cdot \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = \frac{1}{2j + 1} \left[ \left( \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_- + \left( (j+1) \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_+ \right],
\]

\[
i \hat{r} \cdot p \psi_+ = \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_+}{r} Y_+ + \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_+}{r} Y_+,
\]

\[
i \hat{r} \cdot p \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = \frac{1}{2j + 1} \left[ \left( \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_- + \left( (j+1) \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_+ \right],
\]

\[
\hat{r} L L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = \frac{1}{2j + 1} \left[ \left( \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_- + \left( (j+1) \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_+ \right],
\]

and

\[
p \times L \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = \frac{j + 1}{2j + 1} \left[ \left( \frac{j(j+1)}{r} + j \frac{d}{dr} \right) \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} + \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_+}{r} \right] Y_-,
\]

\[
+ \frac{j + 1}{2j + 1} \left[ \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} + \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right] Y_+,
\]

\[
\hat{r} p \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = \frac{1}{2j + 1} \left[ \left( \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_- + \left( (j+1) \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_+ \right],
\]

\[
\hat{r} \cdot p \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = \frac{1}{2j + 1} \left[ \left( \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_- + \left( (j+1) \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_+ \right],
\]

and

\[
\hat{r} \cdot p \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = \frac{1}{2j + 1} \left[ \left( \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_- + \left( (j+1) \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_+ \right],
\]

\[
f \cdot f^2 \psi = \frac{1}{2j + 1} \left[ \left( \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_- + \left( (j+1) \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_+ \right],
\]

\[
\hat{r} \cdot p \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = \frac{1}{2j + 1} \left[ \left( \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_- + \left( (j+1) \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_+ \right],
\]

\[
p \cdot p \psi_+ = \frac{1}{2j + 1} \left[ \left( \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_- + \left( (j+1) \frac{u_+}{r} - \sqrt{j(j+1)} \frac{u_+}{r} \right) Y_+ \right],
\]
\[
\mathbf{\Phi}_+ = \left[ \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \left( \frac{j-1}{r} \frac{d u_-}{dr} \right) - \frac{j-1}{r^2} u_- \right] + \left[ \frac{j+1}{2j+1} \left( \frac{j+2}{r} \frac{d u_+}{dr} \right) - \frac{j+2}{r^2} u_+ \right] \right) \left( \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1} Y} - \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1} Y} \right),
\]

Using the simplification

\[
Q_p + Q_m + Q_p Q_m = 0,
\]

and the above identities in Eq. (D43) gives

\[
[1 + Q_p \mathbf{\Phi}] \cdot \left( [p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L + 2\mathcal{G})] \times [p - \frac{i}{2} \nabla (L - 2\mathcal{G})] \times [1 + Q_m \mathbf{\Phi}] \cdot \psi_+ \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2j+1} \left( \Phi_+ - B^2 \exp(-2\mathcal{G}) + 2\sqrt{j(j+1)} \Phi_+ + \frac{A_{mm}}{r} + \frac{B_{mm}}{r} + C_{mm} + \frac{F_{mm}}{r} + G_{mm} \frac{d}{dr} \right) u_+ Y_-
\]

\[
+ \left\{ \Phi_+ + \sqrt{j(j+1)} [2\Phi_+ - 2B^2 \exp(-2\mathcal{G}) + \frac{A_{pp}}{r} + \frac{B_{pp}}{r} + C_{pp} + \frac{F_{pp}}{r} + G_{pp} \frac{d}{dr} \right) u_+ Y_-
\]

\[
+ \left\{ -\Phi_+ + 2B^2 \exp(-2\mathcal{G}) + 2\sqrt{j(j+1)} \Phi_- + \frac{A_{pm}}{r} + \frac{B_{pm}}{r} + C_{pm} + \frac{F_{pm}}{r} + G_{pm} \frac{d}{dr} \right) u_+ Y_+ \}
\]

The quasipotentials \(\Phi_{\pm} \) come from [9] or [34] or alternatively from taking the vector part of the matrix form of Eq. (3.25)
\[
\Phi_{-+} = \sqrt{j(j+1)} \left[ \frac{1}{2j+1} \left( \frac{3}{r} g' + \frac{3(q+L)'}{r} - \nabla^2 g - 2g' \left( \frac{3g'}{2} - \frac{L'}{2} \right) \right) + \frac{(2L - 2)}{r^2} \right] - \frac{3g' - L'}{r} \left( \frac{E + M}{2(2j+1)} - \frac{E - M}{2} \right) - \frac{(g+L)'}{2r} \left( \frac{E + M}{E} + \frac{E - M}{(2j+1)} \right).
\] (D61)

The other functions are

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{mm} &= -2j(j+1)Q_m, \\
B_{mm} &= j(j+1)(Q_p + Q_m)(\frac{L'}{2} + 3g') + (2j^2 + j - 1)L' + g'(4j^2 - 2j + 2), \\
C_{mm} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 L + \partial^2 G(j - 1) + \frac{1}{4}(2j + 1)L^2 - 2jL'G' + (3j - 1)G'^2, \\
F_{mm} &= 2j(j+1)(Q_p - Q_m), \\
G_{mm} &= (j + 1)L',
\end{align*}
\] (D62)

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{mp} &= 2[(2j + 1)(j + 1) + (j + 1)Q_m], \\
B_{mp} &= \left[ \frac{1}{2}j(Q_p - Q_m) + j - \frac{1}{2}(5 + Q_m) \right] L' + [-3(j + 1)Q_m + 3jQ_p - 2j + 7]G', \\
C_{mp} &= \partial^2 L - 3\partial^2 G - 5G'^2 + 2L'G', \\
F_{mp} &= 2(2j + 1) + 2(Q_m + Q_p)(j + 1) - 2Q_p, \\
G_{mp} &= L',
\end{align*}
\] (D63)

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{pp} &= 2j(j+1)Q_m, \\
B_{pp} &= -(j + 1)(J_p + Q_m)(\frac{L}{2} + 3g') + (-2j^2 - 2j + 1)L' - (4j^2 + 6j)G', \\
C_{pp} &= -\frac{1}{4} \partial^2 L + (2 + j)\partial^2 G + \frac{1}{4}(2j + 1)L^2 - 2(j + 1)L'G' + (3j + 4)G'^2, \\
F_{pp} &= 2j(j + 1)(Q_m - Q_p), \\
G_{pp} &= jL',
\end{align*}
\] (D64)

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{pm} &= 2(j - 1)(2j - 1) - 3jQ_m, \\
B_{pm} &= \left[ -\frac{1}{2}j(Q_p + Q_m) + \frac{1}{2}jQ_m - j - \frac{7}{2} \right] L' + [3jQ_m - 3(j + 1)Q_p + 9 + 2j]G', \\
C_{pm} &= \partial^2 L - 3\partial^2 G - 5G'^2 + 2L'G', \\
F_{pm} &= [-2(1 + 2j) - (2j + 1)Q_p - 2jQ_m], \\
G_{pm} &= L',
\end{align*}
\] (D65)

For the $^3P_0$ states we need

\[
\Phi_{++} = 4G'^2 - 2G'L' + \frac{L'^2}{4} + 2\nabla^2 G - \frac{\nabla^2 L}{2} + \frac{(2L - 8G')'}{r},
\] (D66)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{pp} &= 0, \\
B_{pp} &= 2L' - 8G', \\
C_{pp} &= -\frac{1}{4} \partial^2 L + 2\partial^2 G + \frac{1}{4}(L' - 4G')^2, \\
F_{pp} &= 0, \\
G_{pp} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\] (D67)

Note that these coefficients are such as to cancel the effects of $\Phi_{++}$ in Eq. (D56) exactly!
For the $^3P_2$ states we require

$$\Phi_{--} = \frac{11}{5} \Phi^2 - \frac{7}{5} \Phi\Phi' + \frac{L'^2}{4} + \frac{L}{5r} + \frac{7}{5} \nabla^2 \Phi - \frac{\nabla^2 L}{2} + \frac{1}{r}(3 \Phi' - L - \frac{(G+L)'}{5})$$

$$+ \frac{12}{5r}[(\cosh 2K - 1)(2\Phi' - (L - G)' - \frac{2}{r}) + (G + L)' \sinh 2K],$$

and

$$\Phi_{+-} = \sqrt{6} \left[ \frac{1}{5} \Phi^2 + \frac{3(G+L)'}{r} - \nabla^2 \Phi - 2\Phi' \left( \frac{3G'}{2} - \frac{L'}{2} \right) \right] + \frac{(\exp(2K) - 2)}{r^2}$$

$$- \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{3G'}{2} - \frac{L'}{2} \right) \left( \cosh 2K - 1 - \sinh 2K \right) - \left( \frac{G+L)'}{r} \right)(\cosh 2K - \frac{\sinh 2K}{5}).$$

$$A_{mm} = -6Q_m,$$

$$B_{mm} = (Q_p + Q_m)(3L' + 18\Phi') + 9L' + 14\Phi',$$

$$C_{mm} = \frac{1}{2} \theta^2L + \theta^2 \Phi + \frac{5}{4} L'^2 - 4L' \Phi' + 5G'^2,$$

$$F_{mm} = 12Q_p - 12Q_m,$$

$$G_{mm} = 3L',$$

$$A_{mp} = 2[20 + 3Q_m],$$

$$B_{mp} = [(Q_p - \frac{3}{2}Q_m) - \frac{1}{2}]L' + [-9Q_m + 6Q_p + 3\Phi'],$$

$$C_{mp} = \theta^2L - 3\theta^2 \Phi - 5G'^2 + 2L' \Phi',$$

$$F_{mp} = 10 + 6Q_m + 4Q_p,$$

$$G_{mp} = L',$$

and

$$\Phi_{++} = \frac{14}{5} \Phi^2 - \frac{8}{5} \Phi\Phi' + \frac{L'^2}{4} + \frac{4L'}{5r}$$

$$+ \frac{8}{5} \nabla^2 \Phi - \frac{\nabla^2 L}{2} + \frac{4}{r}(-3\Phi' + L' - \frac{(G+L)'}{5})$$

$$- \frac{12}{5r}[(\cosh 2K - 1)(3\Phi' - L' - \frac{2}{r}) + (G + L)' \sinh 2K],$$

and

$$\Phi_{+-} = \sqrt{6} \left[ \frac{1}{5} \Phi^2 + \frac{3(G+L)'}{r} - \nabla^2 \Phi - 2\Phi' \left( \frac{3G'}{2} - \frac{L'}{2} \right) \right] + \frac{(\exp(2K) - 2)}{r^2}$$

$$- \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{3G'}{2} - \frac{L'}{2} \right) \left( \cosh 2K - 1 - \sinh 2K \right) + \left( \frac{G+L)'}{r} \right)(\cosh 2K - \frac{\sinh 2K}{5}).$$

$$A_{pp} = 12Q_m,$$

$$B_{pp} = -(Q_p + Q_m)(3L + 18\Phi') - 11L' - 28\Phi',$$

$$C_{pp} = -\frac{1}{2} \theta^2L + 4\theta^2 \Phi + \frac{5}{4} L'^2 - 6L' \Phi' + 10G'^2,$$

$$F_{pp} = 12(Q_m - Q_p),$$

$$G_{pp} = 2L',$$

$$A_{pm} = 6 - 6Q_m,$$

$$B_{pm} = [-\frac{3}{2}Q_p + Q_m - \frac{11}{2}]L' + [6Q_m - 9Q_p + 13]\Phi',$$

$$C_{pm} = \theta^2L - 3\theta^2 \Phi - 5G'^2 + 2L' \Phi',$$

$$F_{pm} = [-10 - 5Q_p - 4Q_m],$$

$$G_{pm} = L'.$$
b. The Wave Function $\eta_{+0}$

For this wave function (see Eq. (3.37)) we need the single term already evaluated in Eq. (D22)

$$[p - iD'] \cdot [1 + Q_m \hat{F}] \cdot \psi_+ = p \cdot \psi_+ + i(2K - D)'(Q_m + 1) \hat{p} \cdot \psi_+ + Q_m p \cdot \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+. \quad (D75)$$

Use

$$p \cdot \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = (\hat{r} \cdot p - \frac{2i}{r} \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+, \quad (D76)$$

and we obtain

$$[p - iD'] \cdot [1 + Q_m \hat{F}] \cdot \psi_+ = p \cdot \psi_+ + i[2Q_m - \frac{d}{dr} \hat{r} \cdot \psi_+, \quad (D77)$$

with

$$p \cdot \psi_+ = i \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} (j - 1) \frac{u_+}{r} dr + \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} (j + 2) \frac{u_+}{r} Y_{jm},$$

$$\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = - \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u_+}{r} + \sqrt{\frac{j - 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u_-}{r} Y_{jm}, \quad (D78)$$

Thus,

$$\eta_{+0} = \frac{i \exp(G + 2K)}{E} \left[ (\frac{j - 1}{r} - (Q_m + 1) \frac{d}{dr} + (2K - D)'(Q_m + 1)) \frac{j}{2j + 1} \frac{u_-}{r} \right.$$

$$+ (\frac{j + 1}{r} + 2Q_m + (Q_m + 1) \frac{d}{dr} - (2K - D)'(Q_m + 1)) \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1} \frac{u_+}{r}} \right] Y_{jm}. \quad (D79)$$

c. The Wave Function $\eta_{-}$

This wave function involves the term

$$[1 + Q_p \hat{r} \hat{r}] \cdot [i p + C' \hat{r}] \times [1 + Q_m \hat{F}] \cdot \psi_+. \quad (D80)$$

Eq. (D14) gives the inner portion of this term as

$$[i p + C' \hat{r}] \times [1 + Q_m \hat{F}] \cdot \psi_+ = i p \times \psi_+ - i \frac{Q_m}{r} \hat{L} \cdot \psi_+ + C' \hat{r} \times \psi_+. \quad (D81)$$

Multiplying by $[1 + Q_p \hat{r} \hat{r}]$ gives

$$[1 + Q_p \hat{F}] \cdot [i p + C' \hat{r}] \times [1 + Q_m \hat{F}] \cdot \psi_+ = i p \times \psi_+ + \frac{i}{r} \hat{L} \cdot \psi_+ - i \frac{Q_m}{r} \hat{L} \cdot \psi_+ + C' \hat{r} \times \psi_+. \quad (D82)$$

With the definition

$$X_{jm} = \frac{LY_{jm}}{\sqrt{j(j + 1)}}, \quad (D83)$$
we have
\[ p \times \psi_+ = (\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{j-1}{r}) \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \frac{u_-(r)}{r} + (\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{j+2}{r}) \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \frac{u_+(r)}{r} |x_{jm}, \]
\[ L \cdot \psi_+ = 0, \]
\[ L\hat{r} \cdot \psi_+ = \sqrt{j(j+1)} - \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \frac{u_-(r)}{r} + \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \frac{u_+(r)}{r} |x_{jm}, \]
\[ \hat{r} \times \psi_+ = i \left[ \frac{u_-(r)}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} + \frac{u_+(r)}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \right] |x_{jm}, \]
(D84)
and so
\[ [1 + Q_j \hat{r} \hat{F}] [i p + C'] \times [1 + Q_m \hat{r} \hat{F}] |\psi_+ \]
\[ = i \left[ \left( \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{j-1}{r} - \frac{jQ_m}{r} + C' \right) \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \frac{u_-(r)}{r} \right] + \left( \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{j+2}{r} + \frac{(j+1)Q_m}{r} + C' \right) \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \frac{u_+(r)}{r} \right] |x_{jm} . \]
(D85)

APPENDIX E: PLANE WAVE INTEGRALS

1. States with \( j = l \)

For general \( j = l \) states we consider separately integrals from Eq. (3.75) of the form
\[ \int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \hat{r} g(r) Y_{jm}(\Omega), \]
\[ \int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) f_{\pm}(r) Y_{jm}(\Omega). \]
(E1)
Consider first
\[ \int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \hat{r} g(r) Y_{jm}(\Omega). \]
(E2)
We have
\[ \hat{r} = \sin \theta (\cos \phi \hat{x} + \sin \theta \hat{y}) + \cos \theta \hat{z} \]
\[ = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} Y_{10}(\Omega) \hat{z} - \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} Y_{11}(\Omega)(\hat{x} - i\hat{y}) + \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} Y_{-1}(\Omega)(\hat{x} + i\hat{y}). \]
(E3)
Here \( \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z} \) are arbitrary unit vectors fixed in space. And so
\[ \int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \hat{r} g(r) Y_{jm}(\Omega) \]
\[ = \hat{z} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} \int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) g(r) Y_{10}(\Omega) Y_{jm}(\Omega) \]
\[-(\hat{x} - i\hat{y}) \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \hat{r} g(r) Y_{11}(\Omega) Y_{jm}(\Omega) \]
\[+(\hat{x} + i\hat{y}) \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3}} \int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \hat{r} g(r) Y_{-1}(\Omega) Y_{jm}(\Omega). \]
(E4)
Now with
\[ \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} y) = 4\pi \sum_{j'=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m'=-j'}^{j'} (-i)^j j'(kr) Y_{j'm'}^*(\Omega) Y_{j'm'}(\Omega), \]
(E5)
in which we define the angles \( \Omega_k(\theta_k, \phi_k) \) relative to the fixed unit vectors \( \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z} \), we use

\[
\int d\Omega_j^* \cdot Y_{1m'} Y_{jm} = \sqrt{\frac{(2j+1)3}{4\pi(2j'+1)}} \langle j1; 00 | j1; mm' | j' m + m' \rangle; \quad j' + j + 1 \text{ even} = 0; \quad j' + j + 1 \text{ odd},
\]

and find

\[
\int d^3r \exp(-ik \cdot r) \hat{r} \hat{g}(r) Y_{jm}(\Omega)
\]

\[
= 4\pi \sum_{j'=|j-1|}^{j+1} (-i)^{j'} \frac{(1 - (-1)^{j+j'})}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(2j+1)}{(2j'+1)}} \langle j1; 00 | j0 \rangle \int_0^\infty dr r^2 j_{j'}(kr) g(r) \times [\hat{r} Y_{j'm}(\Omega) \langle j1; m0 | j'm \rangle
\]

\[
- \frac{\hat{x} - i\hat{y}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{j'm+1}(\Omega)(j1; m1 | j'm + 1) + \frac{\hat{x} + i\hat{y}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{j'm-1}(\Omega)(j1; m - 1 | j'm - 1)].
\]

Next we examine

\[
\int d^3r \exp(-ik \cdot r) Y_{jm}(\Omega)
\]

\[
= \int d^3r \exp(-ik \cdot r)[a \hat{r} Y_{jm} + rb \hat{p} Y_{jm}].
\]

Use

\[
\int d^3r \exp(-ik \cdot r) f_{\pm}(r) p Y_{jm}(\Omega)
\]

\[
= k \int d^3r \exp(-ik \cdot r) f_{\pm}(r) f_Y Y_{jm}(\Omega) + i \int d^3r \exp(-ik \cdot r) f f_Y Y_{jm}(\Omega),
\]

and Eq. (E7)

\[
\int d^3r \exp(-ik \cdot r) f_{\pm}(r) Y_{jm}(\Omega)
\]

\[
= \int d^3r \exp(-ik \cdot r) f_{\pm}(r)[a \hat{r} Y_{jm} + rb \hat{p} Y_{jm}]
\]

\[
= 4\pi \hat{k} Y_{jm}(\Omega) (-i)^j \int_0^\infty dr r^2 j_{j'}(kr) k r \hat{r} f_{\pm}(r)
\]

\[
+ 4\pi \sum_{j'=|j-1|}^{j+1} (-i)^{j'} \frac{(1 - (-1)^{j+j'})}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(2j+1)}{(2j'+1)}} \langle j1; 00 | j0 \rangle
\]

\[
\int_0^\infty dr r^2 f_{\pm}(r)[a \hat{r} + 2ib \hat{p} j_{j'}(kr) - ib j_{j'}(kr) k r] [\hat{r} Y_{j'm}(\Omega) \langle j1; m0 | j'm \rangle
\]

\[
- \frac{\hat{x} - i\hat{y}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{j'm+1}(\Omega)(j1; m1 | j'm + 1) + \frac{\hat{x} + i\hat{y}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{j'm-1}(\Omega)(j1; m - 1 | j'm - 1)].
\]

Likewise

\[
\int d^3r \exp(+ik \cdot r) f_{\pm}(r) Y_{jm}(\Omega)
\]

\[
= -4\pi \hat{k} Y_{jm}(\Omega) (-i)^j (-i)^j \int_0^\infty dr r^2 j_{j'}(kr) k r \hat{r} f_{\pm}(r)
\]

\[
+ 4\pi \sum_{j'=|j-1|}^{j+1} (-i)^{j'} (-i)^j \frac{(1 - (-1)^{j+j'})}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(2j+1)}{(2j'+1)}} \langle j1; 00 | j0 \rangle
\]

\[
\int_0^\infty dr r^2 f_{\pm}(r)[a \hat{r} + 2ib \hat{p} j_{j'}(kr) - ib j_{j'}(kr) k r] [\hat{r} Y_{j'm}(\Omega) \langle j1; m0 | j'm \rangle
\]

\[
- \frac{\hat{x} - i\hat{y}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{j'm+1}(\Omega)(j1; m1 | j'm + 1) + \frac{\hat{x} + i\hat{y}}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{j'm-1}(\Omega)(j1; m - 1 | j'm - 1)].
\]
With this choice, the spherical harmonic reduces to just
\[ Y_{jm}(\Omega) = \delta_{m0} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{4\pi}}. \] (E13)

Then Eq. (E7) becomes
\[ \int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \hat{\mathbf{f}}(r) Y_{jm}(\Omega) \]
\[ = \sqrt{4\pi(2j+1)} \sum_{j' = |j-1|}^{j+1} (-1)^j' \left( \frac{1 - (-1)^{j+j'}}{2} \right) \langle j1; 00 | j0' \rangle \int_0^\infty dr r^2 j'_j(kr) g(r) \]
\[ \times \left[ \delta_{m0} - \epsilon(-) \langle j1; -11 | j0' \rangle \delta_{m-1} + \epsilon(+) \langle j1; 1 - 11 | j0' \rangle \delta_{m1} \right], \] (E14)

and Eq. (E10) becomes
\[ \int d^3r \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) f_\pm(r) Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \]
\[ = \sqrt{4\pi(2j+1)} \hat{k} \delta_{m0} (-i)^j \int_0^\infty dr r^2 j_j(kr) kr^2 f_\pm(r) \]
\[ + \sqrt{4\pi(2j+1)} \sum_{j' = |j-1|}^{j+1} (-1)^j' \left( \frac{1 - (-1)^{j+j'}}{2} \right) \langle j1; 00 | j0' \rangle \int_0^\infty dr r^2 f_\pm(r) \]
\[ \times \left[ \delta_{m0} - \epsilon(-) \langle j1; -11 | j0' \rangle \delta_{m-1} + \epsilon(+) \langle j1; 1 - 11 | j0' \rangle \delta_{m1} \right]. \] (E15)

In the expression Eq. (3.75) the prefactor \( \epsilon^{(a_1)} \times \epsilon^{(a_2)} \) is orthogonal to \( \epsilon^{(\pm)} \). Hence, only the \( \hat{k} \) terms in Eqs. (E14) and (E15) will contribute and including forms for \( g(r) \) and \( f_\pm(r) \)

\[ \sqrt{\pi \epsilon^2} \epsilon^{(a_1)} \times \epsilon^{(a_2)} \cdot \int d^3r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \exp(F) \hat{\mathbf{f}} \frac{u_{j_0j}(r)}{r} \exp(K) Y_{jm}(\Omega) \left( \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \right)^j \]
\[ - \exp(-K) \left( \frac{v^+(j-1)_{1j}(r)}{r} \mathbf{Y}_- + \frac{v^+(j)_{1j}(r)}{r} \mathbf{Y}_+ \right) m \exp(-mr) \]
\[ = 2\pi \epsilon^2 \epsilon^{(a_1)} \times \epsilon^{(a_2)} \cdot \hat{k} \sqrt{2j+1} \left( \sum_{j' = |j-1|}^{j+1} (-1)^j' \left( \frac{1 - (-1)^{j+j'}}{2} \right) \langle j1; 00 | j0' \rangle \langle j1; 00 | j0' \rangle \delta_{m0} \right) \]
\[ \times \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \exp(F + K) \left( \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \right)^j j_j(kr) \frac{u_{j0j}(r)}{r} \]
\[ - \delta_{m0} (-i)^j \int_0^\infty dr r^2 m \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} j_j(kr) kr \exp(F - K)(b_+ \frac{v_{j(j+1)1j}(r)}{r} + b_- \frac{v_{j(j-1)1j}(r)}{r}) \]
\[ - \sum_{j' = |j-1|}^{j+1} (-1)^j' \left( \frac{1 - (-1)^{j+j'}}{2} \right) \langle j1; 00 | j0' \rangle \langle j1; 00 | j0' \rangle \delta_{m0} \]
\[ \times \int_0^\infty dr r^2 m \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} [(a_+ - 2ib_+) j_j(kr) - ib_+ j'_j(kr) kr] \exp(F - K) \frac{v_{j(j+1)1j}(r)}{r} \]
\[ + [(a_- - 2ib_-) j_j(kr) - ib_- j'_j(kr) kr] \exp(F - K) \frac{v_{j(j-1)1j}(r)}{r} \right), \] (E16)
and with Eq. (3.52) this leads to

\[
\sqrt{\pi}e^{\mu_1} \times e^{\mu_2} \cdot \int d^3r [\exp(-i k \cdot r) - \exp(+i k \cdot r)]
\]

\[
\times \exp(F) [\bar{\hat{Y}}_{\mu_0} (r) \exp(K) Y_{j_0 m}(\Omega)] \left( \frac{\exp(-m r)}{r} \right)' - \exp(-K) \left( \frac{v_{(j+1)j}^+ (r)}{r} Y_+ + \frac{v_{(j-1)j}^+ (r)}{r} Y_- \right) \exp(-m r)
\]

\[
= 2\pi e^{\mu_1} \times e^{\mu_2} \cdot \hat{k} \sqrt{2j + 1}
\]

\[
\times \left( \sum_{j' = [j-1]}^{j+1} (-i)^j (1 - (-1)^j) \frac{1 - (-1)^{j+j'}}{2} (j1; 00) (j1; 00) \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty drr^2 \left( \frac{\exp(-m r)}{r} \right)' j' (kr) \exp(F + K) \frac{\bar{u}_{\mu j} (r)}{r}
\]

\[
- (1 + (-1)^j) \delta_{m0} (-i)^j \int_0^\infty drr^2 m \frac{\exp(-m r)}{r} j_j (kr) kr \exp(F - K) \left[ \frac{i}{2j + 1} \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{j + 1}} \frac{\bar{v}_{(j+1)j}^+ (r)}{r} + i \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} \frac{v_{(j-1)j}^+ (r)}{r} \right)
\]

\[
- \sum_{j' = [j-1]}^{j+1} (-i)^j (j1; 00) (1 - (-1)^j) \frac{1 - (-1)^{j+j'}}{2} (j1; 00) \delta_{m0}
\]

\[
\times \int_0^\infty drr^2 m \frac{\exp(-m r)}{r} \left[ -1 + \frac{2}{j + 1} j_j (kr) + \frac{1}{j + 1} j_j' (kr) kr \right] \exp(F - K) \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{j + 1}} \frac{v_{(j+1)j}^+ (r)}{r}
\]

\[
+ \left[ (1 + \frac{2}{j}) j_j (kr) + \frac{1}{j} j_j' (kr) kr \right] \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j + 1}} \exp(F - K) \frac{v_{(j-1)j}^+ (r)}{r}
\]

\[
= 0.
\]

\[
\text{(E17)}
\]

and to Eq. (3.80).

2. States with \( j = l \pm 1 \)

We seek from Eq. (3.84) the evaluation of the dyadic integral of the forms

\[
\int d^3r \exp(-i k \cdot r) G(r) \hat{F},
\]

\[
\int d^3r \exp(-i k \cdot r) F_\pm (r) \hat{Y}_{jm} (\Omega) \hat{F}
\]

\[
= \int d^3r \exp(-i k \cdot r) F_\pm (r) [(a_\pm \hat{F} + b_\pm r \hat{p}) Y_{jm} (\Omega) \hat{F}].
\]

\[
\text{(E18)}
\]

Consider the portion

\[
\int d^3r \exp(-i k \cdot r) F_\pm (r) \hat{p} Y_{jm} (\Omega) \hat{F}.
\]

\[
\text{(E19)}
\]

Integration by parts gives

\[
\int d^3r \exp(-i k \cdot r) r F_\pm (r) \hat{p} Y_{jm} (\Omega) \hat{F}
\]

\[
= \int d^3r Y_{jm} (\Omega) \exp(-i k \cdot r) [k \hat{r} F_\pm (r) + i F_\pm (r) 1 + i \hat{F} \hat{r} F_\pm (r)].
\]

\[
\text{(E20)}
\]

Its trace is

\[
\int d^3r Y_{jm} (\Omega) [k \cdot \hat{r} F_\pm (r) + 3i F_\pm (r) + ir F'_\pm (r)] \exp(-i k \cdot r)
\]

\[
= \int d^3r Y_{jm} (\Omega) [F_\pm (r) \frac{d}{dy} + 3i F_\pm (r) + ir F'_\pm (r)] \exp(-i g k \cdot r)|_{y=1}
\]

\[
= 4\pi (-i)^3 Y_{jm} (\Omega) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2 dr}{r^2} \left[ F_\pm (r) \frac{d}{dy} + 3i F_\pm (r) + ir F'_\pm (r) \right] |j_j (yk) kr)|_{y=1}
\]

\[
= 4\pi (-i)^3 Y_{jm} (\Omega) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2 dr}{r^2} \left[ F_\pm (r) j_j' (kr) kr + 3i F_\pm (r) j_j (kr) - 3i F_\pm (r) j_j (kr) - ir F_\pm (r) j_j' (kr) \right]
\]

\[
= 0.
\]

\[
\text{(E21)}
\]
Hence the trace
\[
\int d^3r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) F_\pm(r) \mathbf{Y}_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \mathbf{\hat{r}} = 4\pi (-i)^j Y_{jm}(\Omega_k) \int r^2 dr j_j(kr)a_\pm F_\pm(r),
\]
(E22)
and with Eq. (E13) leads to Eq. (3.89) upon using Eq. (E13). Continuing with the dyad, note that
\[
\int d^3r Y_{jm}(\Omega) \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{\hat{r}} F_\pm(r),
\]
(E23)
will give zero contribution to the amplitude Eq. (3.84) since it is sandwiched between transverse polarization vectors. The remaining part is
\[
4\pi (-i)^j Y_{jm}(\Omega_k) \int_0^\infty r^2 dr F_\pm(r) j_j(kr) + i \int_0^\infty \mathbf{\hat{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} d^3r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) r F'_\pm(r) Y_{jm},
\]
(E24)
which because of the integration by parts is symmetric. And so, effectively we have
\[
\int d^3r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) F_\pm(r) \mathbf{Y}_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \mathbf{\hat{r}} = \int d^3r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) [a_\pm F_\pm(r) + ib_\pm r F'_\pm(r)] \mathbf{\hat{r}} Y_{jm\mp} + b_\pm 4\pi (-i)^j Y_{jm}(\Omega_k) \int_0^\infty r^2 dr F_\pm(r) j_j(kr)].
\]
(E25)
Since this dyad is sandwiched between polarization vectors transverse to \( \mathbf{k} \) we need only consider the portions of \( \mathbf{\hat{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} \) and \( \mathbf{1} \) orthogonal to \( \mathbf{k} \). Hence we replace \( \mathbf{1} \) by \( \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k} \) and \( \mathbf{\hat{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} \) by \( (1 - \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{\hat{r}} \cdot (1 - \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}) \). As in the above section we choose the unit vectors in Eq. (E12). So, with
\[
(1 - \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{\hat{r}} \cdot (1 - \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}) = \sin \theta (\cos \phi \hat{x} + \sin \phi \hat{y}) \sin \theta (\cos \phi \hat{x} + \sin \phi \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{3} \left[ \sqrt{4\pi} Y_{00} - \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} Y_{20} \right] (\epsilon^{(+)\epsilon^{(-)} + \epsilon^{(-)}\epsilon^{(+)})
\]
\[+ \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{15}} Y_{22} \epsilon^{(+)\epsilon^{(+)}},
\]
(E26)
we find
\[
(1 - \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}) \cdot \int d^3r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) G(r) \mathbf{\hat{r}} Y_{jm\mp}(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}) = (\epsilon^{(+)\epsilon^{(-)} + \epsilon^{(-)}\epsilon^{(+)}) \int d^3r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) F_\pm(r) \frac{1}{3} \left[ \sqrt{4\pi} Y_{00} - \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} Y_{20} \right] Y_{jm}
\]
\[+ \epsilon^{(+)\epsilon^{(+)}} \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{15}} \int d^3r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) G(r) Y_{2-2} Y_{jm}
\]
\[+ \epsilon^{(-)\epsilon^{(-)}} \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{15}} \int d^3r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) G(r) Y_{22} Y_{jm}.
\]
(E27)
Using Eq. (E5), Eq. (E13) and
\[
\int d\Omega_{jj'm}, Y_{jm\mp} = \sqrt{\frac{(2j + 1)5}{4\pi(2j' + 1)}} (j2; 00)\langle j2; m'n'|j'm + m''\rangle; j + j' + 2 even
\]
\[= 0; j + j' + 2 odd,
\]
(E28)
we have

\[
(1 - \hat{k} \hat{k}) \cdot \int d^3 r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) G(r) \mathbf{\hat{f}} \mathbf{Y}_{jm} \cdot (1 - \hat{k} \hat{k})
\]

\[
= \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{4\pi}} (e^{(+)} e^{(-)} + e^{(-)} e^{(+)}) \left[ \frac{4\pi}{3} \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j(kr) G(r) \right]
\]

\[
- \frac{4\pi}{3} \sum_{j'=|j-2|}^{j+2} \frac{1+(1)^j+j'}{2} \langle j2;00|j'0 \rangle \langle j2;00|j'0 \rangle \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j(kr) G(r)
\]

\[
+ \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{4\pi}} (e^{(+)}) 4\pi \sum_{j'=|j-2|}^{j+2} \frac{1+(1)^j+j'}{2} \langle j2;00|j'0 \rangle \langle j2;00|j'0 \rangle \delta_{m-2} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j(kr) G(r)
\]

\[
+ \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{4\pi}} (e^{(-)}) 4\pi \sum_{j'=|j-2|}^{j+2} \frac{1+(1)^j+j'}{2} \langle j2;00|j'0 \rangle \langle j2;00|j'0 \rangle \delta_{m+2} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j(kr) G(r)
\]

and so

\[
(1 - \hat{k} \hat{k}) \cdot \int d^3 r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) F_\pm(r) \mathbf{Y}_{jm}(\Omega) \cdot (1 - \hat{k} \hat{k})
\]

\[
= (1 - \hat{k} \hat{k}) \cdot \int d^3 r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{\hat{f}} \mathbf{Y}_{jm}[a_\pm F_\pm(r) + ib_\pm r F'_\pm(r)] \cdot (1 - \hat{k} \hat{k})
\]

\[
+ 4\pi i b_\pm (-i)^j (e^{(+)} e^{(+)}) + (e^{(-)} e^{(+)}) \int_0^\infty r^2 dr F_\pm(r) j_j(kr)
\]

\[
= (e^{(+)}) 4\pi \sum_{j'=|j-2|}^{j+2} \frac{1+(1)^j+j'}{2} \langle j2;00|j'0 \rangle \langle j2;00|j'0 \rangle \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j(kr) \{[a_\pm + 3ib_\pm] F_\pm(r) + ib_\pm r F'_\pm(r)\}
\]

\[
- \frac{8\pi(2j+1)}{3} \sum_{j'=|j-2|}^{j+2} \frac{1+(1)^j+j'}{2} \langle j2;00|j'0 \rangle \langle j2;00|j'0 \rangle \delta_{m-2} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j(kr) \{[a_\pm + 3ib_\pm] F_\pm(r) + ib_\pm r F'_\pm(r)\}
\]

\[
+ (e^{(+)}) \sqrt{\frac{8\pi(2j+1)}{3}} \sum_{j'=|j-2|}^{j+2} \frac{1+(1)^j+j'}{2} \langle j2;00|j'0 \rangle \langle j2;00|j'0 \rangle \delta_{m-2} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j(kr) \{[a_\pm + 3ib_\pm] F_\pm(r) + ib_\pm r F'_\pm(r)\}
\]

\[
\times \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j(kr) \{[a_\pm + 3ib_\pm] F_\pm(r) + ib_\pm r F'_\pm(r)\}
\]

Since these expressions involve symmetric dyads we find together with the trace part

\[
-(e^{(+)}) e^{(+)}) \int d^3 r \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) F_\pm(r) \mathbf{Y}_{jm}(\Omega) \cdot \hat{f}
\]

\[
= -(e^{(+)}) e^{(+)}) 4\pi (-i)^j \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{4\pi}} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr j_j(kr) a_\pm F_\pm(r),
\]

(E30)
that

\[-i\sqrt{\pi\varepsilon^2} \int d^3r \exp(-ik \cdot r) F_\pm(r) | \mathbf{Y}_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)} + \mathbf{Y}_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \]

\[-\mathbf{Y}_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \mathbf{F} \mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)} \]

\[= -i \frac{2\pi\varepsilon^2}{3} \sqrt{(2j + 1)} \{2\delta_{00}(-i)^j \int_0^\infty r^2 dr j_j(kr)(a_{\pm} + 3ib_{\pm})F_\pm(r) + ib_{\pm}r F_\pm'(r)\}

-3\delta_{m0}(-i)^j \int_0^\infty r^2 dr j_j(kr)a_{\pm}F_\pm(r)

-2 \sum_{j' = |j - 2|}^{j+2} \frac{1 + (-1)^{j+j'}}{2} (j2; 00|j'0) \langle j2; 00|j'0\rangle \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j'(kr)(a_{\pm} \pm r F_\pm'(r)) (\mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)})

-4\pi\varepsilon^2 \sqrt{\frac{2(2j + 1)}{3}} \sum_{j' = |j - 2|}^{j+2} \frac{1 + (-1)^{j+j'}}{2} (j2; 00|j'0) \langle j2; 00|j'0\rangle \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j'(kr)(a_{\pm} \pm r F_\pm'(r)) (\mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)})

\times \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j'(kr)(a_{\pm} \pm r F_\pm'(r)) (\mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)}) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)}

\times \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j dr j_j'(kr)(a_{\pm} \pm r F_\pm'(r)) (\mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)}) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)}.

(E32)

Integration by parts gives

\[-i\sqrt{\pi\varepsilon^2} \int d^3r \exp(-ik \cdot r) F_\pm(r) | \mathbf{Y}_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)} + \mathbf{Y}_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \]

\[-\mathbf{Y}_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \mathbf{F} \mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)} \]

\[= -i \frac{2\pi\varepsilon^2}{3} \sqrt{(2j + 1)} \{(-i)^j \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr [-j_j(kr)a_{\pm}F_\pm(r) - 2ib_{\pm}j_j'(kr)krF_\pm(r)]\}

-2 \sum_{j' = |j - 2|}^{j+2} \frac{1 + (-1)^{j+j'}}{2} (j2; 00|j'0) \langle j2; 00|j'0\rangle \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j'(kr)(a_{\pm} \pm r F_\pm'(r)) (\mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)})

-4\pi\varepsilon^2 \sqrt{\frac{2(2j + 1)}{3}} \sum_{j' = |j - 2|}^{j+2} \frac{1 + (-1)^{j+j'}}{2} (j2; 00|j'0) \langle j2; 00|j'0\rangle \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j'(kr)(a_{\pm} \pm r F_\pm'(r)) (\mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)})

\times \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j'(kr)(a_{\pm} \pm r F_\pm'(r)) (\mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)}) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)}

-4\pi\varepsilon^2 \sqrt{\frac{2(2j + 1)}{3}} \sum_{j' = |j - 2|}^{j+2} \frac{1 + (-1)^{j+j'}}{2} (j2; 00|j'0) \langle j2; 00|j'0\rangle \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j'(kr)(a_{\pm} \pm r F_\pm'(r)) (\mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)})

\times \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j'(kr)(a_{\pm} \pm r F_\pm'(r)) (\mathbf{e}^{(a_1)} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)}) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{(a_2)},

(E33)
\[ -i\sqrt{\pi}\epsilon^2 \int d^3r \exp(-ik \cdot r) F_\pm(r) \left[ Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} \hat{F} \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} + Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} \hat{F} \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} \right] \\
= -i\frac{2\pi\epsilon^2}{3} \sqrt{2j+1} \left[ (\delta_m \omega) \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j (kr) G(r) \right] \\
- \sum_{j'=|j-2|}^{j+2} \frac{(1 + (-1)^{j+j'})}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j (kr) G(r) \left[ (\epsilon^{(\alpha_1)})(\epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}) \right] \\
+ \sqrt{6} \sum_{j'=|j-2|}^{j+2} \frac{(1 + (-1)^{j+j'})}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j (kr) G(r) \left[ (\epsilon^{(\alpha_1)})(\epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}) \right] \\
+ \sqrt{6} \sum_{j'=|j-2|}^{j+2} \frac{(1 + (-1)^{j+j'})}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j (kr) G(r) \left[ (\epsilon^{(\alpha_1)})(\epsilon^{(\alpha_2)}) \right] \\
\]

Combining and including for \( g(r), F_\pm(r) \) and \( G(r) \)

\[ M_{l,j=|j\pm 2|} \\
= -i\sqrt{\pi}e^2 \int d^3r \left[ \exp(ik \cdot r) + \exp(-ik \cdot r) \right] \exp(F) \left( \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \right) \\
\times \exp K \left\{ \frac{u_{(j+1)j}}{r} \left[ Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} \hat{F} \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} + Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} \hat{F} \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} \right] \\
+ \frac{u_{(j-1)j}}{r} \left[ Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} \hat{F} \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} + Y_{jm\pm}(\Omega) \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} \hat{F} \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} \right] \right\} \\
- 4\sinh K \left( \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \right) \left[ \frac{j + 1}{2j + 1} \frac{u_{(j+1)j}}{r} + \frac{u_{(j-1)j}}{r} \frac{j}{2j + 1} \right] Y_{jm} \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} \hat{F} \cdot \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} \\
+ |m| \exp(-K) \frac{u_{(j+1)j}}{r} - (m + 1/r) \sinh K \left( \frac{j + 1}{2j + 1} \frac{u_{(j+1)j}}{r} + \frac{u_{(j-1)j}}{r} \frac{j}{2j + 1} \right) Y_{jm} \epsilon^{(\alpha_1)} \epsilon^{(\alpha_2)} \exp(-mr) \right) \\
\]
\[ -\frac{2\pi e^2}{3} \sqrt{(2j + 1)} \left[ \left\{ 1 + \left( \frac{-1}{j} \right)^2 \right\} \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \left( \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \right) \right] \exp(F + K) \]

\[ \times \left\{ j_j(kr) + \frac{2}{j + 1} j'_j(kr) \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u_{(j+1)j}(r)}{r} + [-j_j(kr) + \frac{2}{j + 1} j'_j(kr) \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u_{(j-1)j}(r)}{r} \right\} \]

\[ -2 \sum_{j' = [j-2]}^{j+2} \frac{(1 + (-1)^j)(-i)^j}{2} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \left( \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \right) \exp(F + K) \left\{ [-1 + \frac{3}{j + 1}] j_j(kr) + \frac{1}{j + 1} j'_j(kr) \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u_{(j+1)j}(r)}{r} \right\} \]

\[ + \left\{ [(j2; 00|j'0)/j2; -22j'0)\delta_{m-2}] e_{(a1)} \cdot (e^+ e^{(+)} \cdot e_{(a2)}) \right\} \]

\[ + \left\{ [(j2; 00|j'0)/j2; 2-2j'0)\delta_{m2} e_{(a1)} \cdot (e^- e^{(-)} \cdot e_{(a2)}) \right\} \]

\[ + \left\{ \delta_{m0}(-i)^j(1 + (-1)^j) \int_0^\infty r^2 dr j_j(kr) \right\} \left\{ -4 \sinh K \left( \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \right) \right\} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u_{(j+1)j}(r)}{r} + \frac{u_{(j-1)j}(r)}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \right\} \]

\[ - \sum_{j' = [j-2]}^{j+2} \frac{(1 + (-1)^j)(-i)^j}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^\infty r^2 dr (-i)^j j_j'(kr) \left\{ -4 \sinh K \left( \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} \right) \right\} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \frac{u_{(j+1)j}(r)}{r} + \frac{u_{(j-1)j}(r)}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j + 1}} \right\} \right] (E37) \]
or

\[
\mathcal{M}_{L_{j_{\uparrow}}=\pm 1 \rightarrow 2\gamma} = \frac{2\pi e^2}{3}\sqrt{(2j+1)} \left[ 1 + (-1)^j (-i)^j \delta_{m0} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \exp(F) \left( -3j_j(k)r \exp(-K) \frac{v_+^{(j)}}{r} \right) \right. \\
-2(mr + 1) \sinh K(-\sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \frac{u_{(j+1)1j}}{r} + \frac{u_{(j-1)1j}'}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}}) \\
+ \left. (mr + 1) \exp(K) \{ (j_j(k)r) + \frac{2}{(j+1)} j'_j(k)r \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \frac{u_{(j+1)1j}}{r} \} \right)
\]
\[
+ j'_j(k)r \frac{2}{j+1} \frac{u_{(j-1)1j}'}{r} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} j_j(k)r \right) e^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot e^{(\alpha_2)} \\
+ \sum_{j' = |j-2|}^{j+2} \frac{1}{2} (-1)^j (-i)^j \langle j; 00 | j'0 \rangle (1 + (-1)^j \frac{1}{2} (-1)^j (-i)^j \langle j; 00 \rangle) \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \exp(F)(mr + 1) \\
- \exp(K) \{ (1 + \frac{3}{j+1}) j_j(k)r + \frac{1}{j+1} j'_j(k)r \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \frac{u_{(j+1)1j}}{r} \} + (1 + \frac{3}{j+1}) j_j(k)r + \frac{1}{j} j'_j(k)r \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \frac{u_{(j-1)1j}'}{r} \\
\times \left[ (e^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot e^{(\alpha_2)}) (j; 00 \rangle \delta_{m0} \\
- \sqrt{6} (e^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot e^{(\alpha_2)}) (j; 00 \rangle \delta_{m-2} \\
- \sqrt{6} (e^{(\alpha_1)} \cdot e^{(\alpha_2)}) (j; 00 \rangle \delta_{m2}) \right] \right)
\] (E38)

From this we obtain the result Eq. (3.91).

**APPENDIX F: POSITRONIUM DECAY**

In this appendix we focus on positronium decay amplitudes assuming weak potentials \( (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K} = 1) \). We wish to verify that our relativistic formalism gives the standard results for the \(^1S_0\), \(^3P_0\), and \(^3P_2\) decays.

1. \(^1L_1\) amplitudes

The \(^1L_1\) amplitude is

\[
\mathcal{M}_{L_1 \rightarrow 2\gamma} = -\sqrt{2j + 1} e^{(\alpha_1)} \times e^{(\alpha_2)} \cdot \hat{k} \{ F_{j \downarrow}(1 - (-)^j) \delta_{m0} + \sum_{j' = |j-1|}^{j+1} G_{j \downarrow}^{(j')} (1 - (-)^j) \langle j1; 00 \rangle \langle j0; 00 \rangle \delta_{m0} \} \) (F1)
in which

\[
F_{j=1} = -2i\pi e^2(-i)^3 \int_0^\infty dr r^2 m \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} j_j(kr) k r \left( \frac{1}{j+1} \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \frac{v_{j+1}^+(r)}{r} + \frac{1}{j} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \frac{v_{j-1}^-(r)}{r} \right),
\]

\[
G_{j'=l}^{(j')} = -2i\pi e^2(-i)^{j'} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \left( (mr+1)j_{j'}(kr) \frac{u_{j=0}^-(r)}{r} \right)
+mr\left( -1 + \frac{2}{j+1} j_{j'}(kr) + \frac{1}{j} j_{j'}(kr) kr \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} \frac{v_{j+1}^+(r)}{r} \right)
+\left( 1 + \frac{2}{j} j_{j'}(kr) \right) \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \frac{v_{j=(j-1)j}^+(r)}{r}. \tag{F2}
\]

We tabulate here the portions of the wave function that will contribute to the same order in \(\alpha\) to the decay amplitude. We consider the relation between the various contributing components of the wave function. The relation between \(u_{j=0}^-\) and \(u_{j=0}^+\) from Eq.(3.58) is

\[
M \cdot E = \frac{w}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2A}{w}} \tag{F3}
\]

and for positronium \(A = -\alpha/r\). For positronium, \(w = m + O(\alpha^2)\) and so

\[
M \cdot E = \sqrt{\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}} (1 + O(\alpha^2)) \tag{F4}
\]

Next consider the contribution of the small component wave functions \(v_{(j-1)j}^+\) and \(v_{(j+1)j}^+\). From Eq.(3.59) for positronium we have

\[
\frac{v_{(j-1)j}^+}{r} = \frac{1}{m} \left\{ \frac{d}{dr} \frac{(j+1)}{r} \right\} \psi_{j=0}^0 \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} + O(\alpha^2),
\]

\[
\frac{v_{(j+1)j}^+}{r} = \frac{1}{m} \left\{ \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{j}{r} \right\} \psi_{j=0}^0 \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} + O(\alpha^2). \tag{F5}
\]

We focus on the decay amplitude for \(^1S_0\) positronium \((j = 0, j' = 1)\). In that case, our amplitudes are

\[
F_0 = -2i\pi e^2 \int_0^\infty dr r^2 m \frac{\exp(-mr)}{r} j_1(kr) k r \left( \frac{1}{m} \frac{d}{dr} \psi_{000} \right),
\]

\[
G_0^{(0)} = -2i\pi e^2 \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr) \left( (mr+1)j_1(kr) \sqrt{\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}} \psi_{000} \right)
+mr[j_1(kr) + j_0'(kr) kr] \frac{1}{m} \frac{d}{dr} \psi_{000}, \tag{F6}
\]

with the nonrelativistic wave function given by

\[
\psi_{000} = \frac{(ma)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \exp(-amr) = \frac{R(r)}{\sqrt{4\pi}}. \tag{F7}
\]
Because of the short ranged $\exp(-mr)$ factor, the exponential wave function can be replaced with its value at the origin. Hence (with $k = m(1 + O(\alpha^2))$) we have

$$F_0 = -2i\pi e^{2(-\alpha)} \frac{(ma)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 m \exp(-mr) j_1(mr)mr$$

$$= -2i\pi (4\pi\alpha)(-\alpha) \frac{(ma)^{3/2}}{m\sqrt{8\pi}} \int_0^\infty dx x^2 \exp(-x) j_1(x) \sim m^{1/2}\alpha^{7/2},$$

$$G_0^{(1)} = -2i\pi e^{2\frac{(ma)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{8\pi}}} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)((mr + 1)[j_1(mr)\sqrt{mr}] = mr[j_1(mr) + j_1'(mr)m\alpha](\alpha))$$

$$= -2\pi (4\pi\alpha) \frac{(ma)^{3/2}}{m\sqrt{8\pi}} \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x)((x + 1)[j_1(x)\sqrt{x}] - \alpha \cos x[j_1(x) + j_1'(x)])$$

$$= -2\pi (4\pi\alpha) \frac{(ma)^{3/2}}{m\sqrt{8\pi}} \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x)(x + 1)j_1(x)\sqrt{x} x + \alpha + O(m^{1/2}\alpha^{7/2}).$$

(F8)

So, the small components do not contribute to the singlet decay rate at the order $m^{1/2}\alpha^{5/2}$. The term that does is

$$G_0^{(1)} = \frac{(ma)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{8\pi^2\alpha i}{m} g(\alpha),$$

(F9)

$$g(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty dx j_1(x) \exp(-x)(1 + x)\sqrt{x} x + \alpha$$

$$= g(0) + \alpha g'(0) + ...,$$

with

$$g(0) = \int_0^\infty dx j_1(x) \exp(-x)(1 + x) = \frac{1}{2},$$

(F10)

and

$$g'(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dx j_1(x) \exp(-x)(1 + x)\frac{1}{x},$$

(F11)

which is finite (the integrand behaves like a constant at the origin). Hence to lowest order we find

$$G_0^{(1)} = m^{1/2} \alpha^{5/2} 2\sqrt{8\pi^2} \times \frac{1}{2} = 2\sqrt{2} m^{1/2} \alpha^{5/2} \pi^2,$$

(F12)

which gives the correct form for the decay rate:

$$\Gamma = \frac{\left|G_0^{(1)}\right|^2}{(2\pi)^4} = |R(0)|^2 \frac{\alpha^2}{m^2} = \frac{ma^5}{2}.$$  

(F13)

For later use define

$$K_{j,k} = \int_0^\infty dx j_j(x) \exp(-x)x^{j+k}; \; 2j + k > -1,$$

(F14)

and

$$I_{j,j'} = \frac{1}{j!} K_{j,-j-j'}.$$  

(F15)

Now we have

$$j_n(x) = 2^n x^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^k(k+n)!}{k!(2k+2n+1)!} x^{2k},$$

(F16)
and so

\[ K_{j,k} = 2^j \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l(l+j)!}{l!(2l+2j+1)!} \int_0^{\infty} dx \exp(-x)x^{j+k+2l+j} \]

\[ = \lim_{z \to 1} 2^j \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l(l+j)!}{l!(2l+2j+1)!} z^l. \]  

We evaluate numerous related forms of these summations for several sets of needed indices \( j, k \) in the section following the one below.

\( ^3L_{j=\pm 1} \) amplitudes

The \( ^3L_{j=\pm 1} \) amplitudes are

\[ A_{j=\pm 1} = i \frac{2\pi e^2}{3} (-i)^j \int_0^{\infty} dr \exp(-mr) \left((mr + 1) \times \left\{ j_j(kr) + \frac{2}{j+1} j^j_j(kr) k r \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} u_{(j+1)1j}(r) \right\} + [j_j(kr) + \frac{2}{j+1} j^j_j(kr) k r \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} u_{(j-1)1j}(r) \right\} \right), \]

\[ B_{j=\pm 1} = i \frac{2\pi e^2}{3} (-i)^j \int_0^{\infty} dr \exp(-mr)(mr + 1) \times \left\{ (\pm 1 + \frac{3}{j+1}) j_j(kr) + \frac{1}{j+1} j^j_j(kr) k r \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} u_{(j+1)1j}(r) \right\} \]

\[ + [(\pm 1 + \frac{3}{j}) j_j(kr) + \frac{1}{j} j^j_j(kr) k r \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} u_{(j-1)1j}(r) \right\}. \]

The connection between the wave functions \( u_{(j \pm 1)1j} \) and \( u_{(j \pm 1)1j}^+ \) (see Eqs. (3.64) and (3.65) ) appears complicated, but specializing as in the singlet case, we find that the terms beyond the first include higher order \( \alpha \) terms from the various potential. Simplifying we find

\[ \frac{u_{(j-1)1j}}{r} = \frac{m}{E} \frac{u_{(j+1)1j}^+}{r} - \frac{\exp(3G)}{m^2 (2j+1)} \left\{ \frac{A_{mm}}{r^2} + \frac{F_{nn} d}{dr} \frac{u_{(j-1)1j}^+}{r} \right\}, \]

\[ \frac{u_{(j+1)1j}}{r} = \frac{m}{E} \frac{u_{(j+1)1j}^+}{r} - \frac{\exp(3G)}{m^2 (2j+1)} \left\{ \frac{A_{pp}}{r^2} + \frac{F_{pp} d}{dr} \frac{u_{(j+1)1j}^+}{r} \right\}, \]

in which

\[ A_{mm} = -2j(j+1)Q_m = -A_{pp}, \]

\[ F_{mm} = 2j(j+1)(Q_p - Q_m) = -F_{pp}, \]
where

\[ Q_p = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - 2A/w}} - 1 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}} - 1\right) + O(\alpha^2), \]

\[ Q_m = \sqrt{1 - 2A/w} - 1 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{mr + \alpha}{mr}} - 1\right) + O(\alpha^2). \]  

(F24)

Thus

\[ \frac{u_{(j-1)1j}}{r} = \sqrt{\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}} \frac{u_{(j-1)1j}}{r} - \frac{2j(j+1)}{m^2(2j+1)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}}\right)^3 \]

\[ \times \left\{ -\frac{1}{r^2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{mr + \alpha}{mr}} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{r} \left(\sqrt{\frac{mr + \alpha}{mr}} - \sqrt{\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{(j-1)1j}}{r}\right) \right\}, \]  

(F25)

and

\[ \frac{u_{(j+1)1j}}{r} = \sqrt{\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}} \frac{u_{(j+1)1j}}{r} + \frac{2j(j+1)}{m^2(2j+1)} \left(\sqrt{\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}}\right)^3 \]

\[ \times \left\{ -\frac{1}{r^2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{mr + \alpha}{mr}} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{r} \left(\sqrt{\frac{mr + \alpha}{mr}} - \sqrt{\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{(j+1)1j}}{r}\right) \right\}. \]  

(F26)

For the nonrelativistic wave functions we have

\[ \frac{u_{(j\pm1)1j}}{r} = R_{(j\pm1)1j}(r) = r^{j\pm1} \chi_{(j\pm1)1j}(r). \]

We also need the small component wave function

\[ \frac{v_{j\pm j}}{r} = \frac{\exp(3G)}{m} \left\{ \left(\frac{j - 1}{r} - 2Q_m - (Q_m + 1) \frac{d}{dr} \right) \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \frac{u_{(j-1)1j}}{r} \right\} \]

\[ + \left(\frac{j + 2}{r} + 2Q_m + (Q_m + 1) \frac{d}{dr} \right) \sqrt{\frac{j + 1}{2j+1}} \frac{u_{(j+1)1j}}{r}. \]

(F27)

For \( j = 0 = l - 1 \) we have

\[ A_0 = \frac{2\pi e^2}{3m} \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x) \left\{ (x + 1)(j_0(x) + 2j_0'(x)x) \sqrt{\frac{x}{x + \alpha}} \frac{d}{dx} \chi_{110}(r) \right\} \]

\[ \left\{ -3j_0(x) \sqrt{\left(\frac{mr}{mr + \alpha}\right)^3} (Q_m + 1)[2 + r \frac{d}{dr}] \chi_{110}(r) \right\} \]

\[ = \frac{2\pi e^2}{3m} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \chi_{110}(0) dx \exp(-x) \left\{ (x + 1)(j_0(x) + 2j_0'(x)x) \right\} \]

\[ \left\{ -3j_0(x) \frac{x}{x + \alpha} [2 + x \frac{d}{dx}] \right\} \chi_{110}(r), \]  

(F28)

where as before we let \( x = mr = kr \). As before to lowest order we can replace \( \frac{x}{x + \alpha} \to 1 \) giving us

\[ A_0 = \frac{8\pi^2 \alpha}{3m^2} \chi_{110}(0) \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x)(x + 1)(j_0(x) + 2j_0'(x)x - 9j_0(x)). \]  

(F29)

(The factor of \( 9j_0(x) \) in the integrand would be absent if we had ignored the small component \( v \) of the wave function of Eq. (F27).)

Similarly

\[ B_0^{(2)} = \frac{8\pi^2 \alpha}{3m^2} \chi_{110}(0) \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x)(x + 1)(j_2(x) + 2j_2'(x)x) \]  

(F30)
or since
\[ \frac{d}{dr} R_{110}(r)|_{r=0} = \frac{d}{dr} \chi_{110}(r)|_{r=0} = \chi_{110}(0) \]  
we have
\[ A_0 = i \frac{8\pi^2 \alpha}{3m^2} \frac{d}{dr} R_{110}(r)|_{r=0} I_1 \]
\[ B_0^{(2)} = i \frac{8\pi^2 \alpha}{3m^2} \frac{d}{dr} R_{110}(r)|_{r=0} J_1^2 \]  
where
\[ I_1 = \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x) x (x + 1) (j_0(x) + 2j_0'(x)x) - 9j_0(x) \]
\[ J_1^2 = \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x) (x + 1)x [2j_2(x) + j_2'(x)x] \]  
Integration by parts gives
\[ I_1 = 2K_{0,3} - 3K_{0,2} - 3K_{0,1} - 9K_{0,1}, \]  
where
\[ K_{j,k} = \int_0^\infty dx j_j(x) \exp(-x) x^{j+k}; \quad 2j + k > -1, \]  
and
\[ J_1^{(2)} = K_{2,1}. \]  
Since the decay rate is
\[ \Gamma(3P_0 \to 2\gamma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} |A_0 + 2B_0^{(2)}|^2 = \frac{4\alpha^2}{9m^4} R'_{110}(0)^2 |I_1 + 2J_1^{(2)}|^2, \]  
and
\[ K_{0,3} = K_{0,2} = K_{0,1} = \frac{1}{2}, \]
\[ K_{2,1} = 1 \]
and so
\[ I_1 = -\frac{13}{2} \]
\[ J_1^{(2)} = 1 \]
and we obtain
\[ \Gamma(3P_0 \to 2\gamma) = \frac{9\alpha^2}{m^4} |R'_{110}(0)|^2, \]  
Since
\[ \left( R'_{(n=2)110}(0) \right)^2 = \frac{(m\alpha)^5}{(24)(32)} \]
we obtain
\[ \Gamma(3P_0 \to 2\gamma) = \frac{3m\alpha^7}{256} \]  
(F39)
which agrees with the results of [39] and [40]. We point out that without the small component wave function (F27) we would have obtain zero for this rate.

Next we consider the case for \( j = 2, \ l = j - 1 = 1, \ j' = 0, 2, 4 \). The relevant amplitudes are (ignoring angular momentum coupling)

\[
A_2 = -i \frac{2 \pi e^2}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)((mr + 1)(-j_2(\alpha) + j'_2(\alpha)\alpha)^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r}} - 3j_2(\alpha)m^2 \frac{v_{202}^+(r)}{r}),
\]

and

\[
B_2^{(0)} = -i \frac{2 \pi e^2}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)(mr + 1) \\
\quad \times \{(\frac{5}{2} j_0(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} j'_0(\alpha)\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r}} \},
\]

\[
B_2^{(2)} = +i \frac{2 \pi e^2}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)(mr + 1) \\
\quad \times \{(\frac{5}{2} j_4(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} j'_4(\alpha)\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r}} \},
\]

\[
B_2^{(4)} = -i \frac{2 \pi e^2}{3} \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)(mr + 1) \\
\quad \times \{(\frac{5}{2} j_4(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} j'_4(\alpha)\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} \frac{u_{112}(r)}{r}} \},
\]

with the neglect of orbital mixing where

\[
\frac{u_{112}}{r} = \sqrt{\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} \frac{u_{112}}{r} \frac{12}{5m^2} \sqrt{(\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha})^3} \\
\quad \times \{-\frac{1}{r^2}(\sqrt{\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} - 1) + \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} - \sqrt{\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{112}}{r} \},
\]

\[
\frac{v_{202}}{r} = \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{(\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha})^3 \left[3 - 2 \sqrt{\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{112}}{r} \right] - \frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{112}}{r}}.
\]

Using the above expressions for \( v_{202}^+ \) and \( u_{112}(r) \) with

\[
\frac{u_{112}^+}{r} = R_{112}(r) = r \chi_{112}(r).
\]

and approximations used earlier we find

\[
A_2 = -i \frac{8 \pi^2 \alpha}{3} \chi_{112}(0) \int_0^\infty dr \exp(-mr)((mr + 1)(-j_2(\alpha) + j'_2(\alpha)\alpha)^2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} \frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} - 3j_2(\alpha)m \sqrt{\frac{2}{5} \frac{mv_{112}(r)}{r}}) \]

\[
\times \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} - \frac{12}{5m^2} \sqrt{(\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha})^3} \left[-\frac{1}{r^2}(\sqrt{\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} - 1) + \frac{1}{r} \sqrt{\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} - \sqrt{\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{112}}{r} \right] \right\} \\
+ \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} - \sqrt{\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} \right) \}
\]

\[-3j_2(\alpha)m \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{(\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha})^3 \left[3 - 2 \sqrt{\frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha}} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{112}}{r} \right] - \frac{mr}{m^2 + \alpha} \frac{d}{dr} \frac{u_{112}}{r}} \]
In terms of dimensionless integration variables

\[ A_2 = -\frac{8\pi^2\alpha \chi_{112}(0)}{3m^2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} A(\alpha) \]

\[ A(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x)(x+1)(-j_2(x) + j_2'(x)x) \]

\[ \times x\left\{ \frac{x}{x+\alpha} - \frac{12}{5}\sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{x+\alpha}\right)^3} \left[-\frac{1}{x^2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{x+\alpha}{x}} - 1\right) \right. \right. \right. \]

\[ + \frac{1}{x^2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{x}{x+\alpha}} - \sqrt{\frac{x+\alpha}{x}}\right)\left. \right\} \]

\[ - 9j_2(x)\sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{x+\alpha}\right)^3} \left[1 - \sqrt{\frac{x+\alpha}{x}}\right], \]

(F43)

Expanding we find that

\[ A(0) = \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x)(x+1)(-j_2(x) + j_2'(x)x) \]

\[ A'(0) = \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x)(x+1)(-j_2(x) + j_2'(x)x) \]

\[ \times x\left\{ -\frac{1}{2x} - \frac{12}{5}(1)\left[-\frac{1}{x^2}\left(\frac{1}{2x}\right) \right. \right. \right. \]

\[ + \frac{1}{x^2}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right\} - 9j_2(x)(1)\left[-\frac{1}{2x}\right] \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x)(x+1)(-j_2(x) + j_2'(x)x) \]

\[ \times \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{6}{5x^2} \right\} - 9j_2(x)\left(\frac{1}{2x}\right) \]

(F44)

Since \( A'(0) \) is finite we can neglect its contribution to the lowest order in \( \alpha \). For \( A(0) \) we use results below of

\[ \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} A(0) = I_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \]

and thus

\[ A_2 = -i\frac{8\pi^2\alpha}{3m^2} \left. dR_{112}(r) \right|_{r=0} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \right) \]

(F46)

Similarly,

\[ B_2^{(0)} = -\frac{8\pi\alpha}{3m^2} \left. dR_{112}(0) \right|_{r=0} J_1^{(0)} = -i\frac{8\pi\alpha}{3m^2} \left. dR_{112}(0) \right|_{r=0} \left( -\frac{7}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \right) \]

\[ B_2^{(2)} = \frac{8\pi\alpha}{3m^2} \left. dR_{112}(0) \right|_{r=0} J_1^{(2)} = \frac{8\pi\alpha}{3m^2} \left. dR_{112}(0) \right|_{r=0} \left( \frac{5}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \right) \]

\[ B_2^{(4)} = -\frac{8\pi\alpha}{3m^2} \left. dR_{112}(0) \right|_{r=0} J_1^{(4)} = -i\frac{8\pi\alpha}{3m^2} \left. dR_{112}(0) \right|_{r=0} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \right) \]

(F47)

where we have used

\[ I_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}(-3K_{2,-1} - 3K_{2,0} + K_{2,1}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \]

\[ J_1^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left( \frac{3}{2} K_{0,1} + \frac{3}{2} K_{0,2} + \frac{1}{2} K_{0,3} \right) = \frac{7}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \]

\[ J_1^{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left( \frac{3}{2} K_{2,-1} + \frac{3}{2} K_{2,0} + \frac{1}{2} K_{2,1} \right) = \frac{5}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \]

\[ J_1^{(4)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left( \frac{3}{2} K_{4,-3} + \frac{3}{2} K_{4,-2} + \frac{1}{2} K_{4,-1} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \]

(F48)
The rate given by Eq. (3.104) is
\[
\Gamma(3^P_0 \to 2\gamma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} |A_2 + \frac{2B_2^{(0)}}{5} + \frac{2B_2^{(2)}}{7} + \frac{36B_2^{(4)}}{35}|^2 + 24|B_2^{(0)} - \frac{2B_2^{(2)}}{7} + \frac{3B_2^{(4)}}{35}|^2
\]
\[
= \frac{4a^2}{9m^2} \left( \frac{d}{dr} R_{112}(0) \right)^2 \frac{2}{5} \left( |\frac{1}{2} - \frac{7}{10} + \frac{5}{7} - \frac{18}{35}|^2 + 24 |\frac{-7}{20} - \frac{5}{14} - \frac{3}{70}|^2 \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{12}{5} \frac{a^2}{m^4} \left( \frac{d}{dr} R_{112}(0) \right)^2
\]
\[
= \frac{m \alpha^7}{320} \quad (F49)
\]
in which we have assumed that
\[\frac{d}{dr} R_{112}(0) = \frac{d}{dr} R_{110}(0),\]
\[\left( R_{(n=2)110}(0) \right)^2 = \left( \frac{m \alpha^5}{24(32)} \right).\]  

We have therefore
\[
\frac{\Gamma(3^P_0 \to 2\gamma)}{\Gamma(3^P_2 \to 2\gamma)} = \frac{15}{4}. \quad (F51)
\]

Our amplitudes and rates for the $3^P_0$ and $3^P_2$ decays agree with those first computed in [39].

**a. Summation Evaluations**

Using Eq.(F17) and appropriate powers of the dummy variable $z$ we obtain
\[
K_{0,3} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^l l! (3 + 2l)!}{l!(2l + 1)!} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-)^l (3 + 2l)(2 + 2l)z^{2l+1}
\]
\[
= \frac{d^2}{dz^2} z^3 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-)^l z^{2l} = \frac{d^2}{dz^2} z^3 \left( \frac{1}{1 + z^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}
\]
\[
K_{0,2} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^l l! (2 + 2l)!}{l!(2l + 1)!} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-)^l (2 + 2l)
\]
\[
= 2 \frac{d}{dz} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-)^l z^l = 2 \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{z}{1 + z} \right) = \frac{1}{2}
\]
\[
K_{0,1} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^l l! (1 + 2l)!}{l!(2l + 1)!} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-)^l = \frac{1}{2} \quad (F52)
\]

and thus
\[
I_1 = 2K_{0,3} - 3K_{0,2} - 3K_{0,1}
\]
\[
= 1 - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{2} = -2. \quad (F53)
\]

Next is
\[
J_1^{(2)} = K_{2,1} \quad (F54)
\]
and
\[
K_{2,1} = \frac{4}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^l (l + 2)! (2l + 5)!}{l!(2l + 5)!} = \frac{4}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-)^l (l + 2)(l + 1)
\]
\[
= 4 \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-)^l z^{l+2} = 4 \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \left( \frac{z^2}{1 + z} \right) = 1. \quad (F55)
\]
For \( l = j - 1 \) we require the amplitudes
\[
A_{j=l+1} = i\frac{8\pi^2\alpha}{3m^j} (-i)^j \frac{d^{j-1}}{dx^{j-1}} R_{(j-1)_{j-1}}(0) I_{j-1}
\]
\[
B_{j=l+1}^{(j')} = i\frac{8\pi^2\alpha}{3m^j} (-i)^j \frac{d^{j-1}}{dx^{j-1}} R_{(j-1)_{j-1}}(0) J_{j-1}^{(j')}
\]
and the integrals
\[
I_{j-1} = \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x)(x+1)x^{j-1}(-j_j(x) + \frac{2}{j+1} J_{j+1}(x)) \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \left[ -3K_{j-1} - 3K_{j,0} + \frac{2}{j+1} K_{j,1} \right],
\]
and
\[
J_{j-1}^{(j')} = \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \int_0^\infty dx \exp(-x)(x+1)x^{j-1}(1 + \frac{3}{j} j_j(x) + \frac{1}{j} J_{j+1}(x)) \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(j-1)!} \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} \left( \frac{3}{j} K_{j,j-1,j'} + \frac{3}{j} K_{j,j-1,j'} + \frac{1}{j} K_{j,j+1,j'} \right).
\]

For \( j = 2 \) and \( j' = 0, 2, 4 \) we have
\[
I_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} (-3K_{2,-1} - 3K_{2,0} + K_{2,1}),
\]
\[
J_1^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left( \frac{3}{2} K_{0,1} + \frac{3}{2} K_{0,2} + \frac{1}{2} K_{0,3} \right),
\]
\[
J_1^{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left( \frac{3}{2} K_{2,-1} + \frac{3}{2} K_{2,0} + \frac{1}{2} K_{2,1} \right),
\]
\[
J_1^{(4)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left( \frac{3}{2} K_{4,-3} + \frac{3}{2} K_{4,-2} + \frac{1}{2} K_{4,-1} \right).
\]

Using once again Eq.(F17) and from above the result \( K_{2,1} = 1 \) as well as
\[
K_{2,0} = 4 \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{(-)^l(l^2)!}{l!(2l+5)!}
\]
\[
= 2 \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{(-)^lz^l}{l} + 3 \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{(-)^lz^{2l}}{2l+5} + 3 \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{(-)^lz^{2l+5}}{2l+5}
\]
\[
= (2z \frac{dz}{dz} + 1) \frac{1}{1 + z} + 3 \left[ \frac{1}{z} \sum_{l=0}^\infty (-)^lz^{2l} - z + \frac{z^2}{3} \right]
\]
\[
= (2z \frac{dz}{dz} + 1) \frac{1}{1 + z} + 3[\arctan z - z + \frac{z^2}{3}]
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \pi - 3 + 1 = -2 + \frac{3}{4} \pi.
\]
\[
K_{2,-1} = 4 \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{(-)^l(l^2)!}{l!(2l+5)!}
\]
\[
= 2 \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{(-)^l(3+2l)!}{(2l+5)!} = 3 \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{(-)^l}{2l+5} - 3 \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{(-)^l}{2l+5}
\]
\[
= \frac{5}{2} - \frac{3\pi}{4} + 2 = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{3\pi}{4}.
\]
and so

\[
I_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} (-3K_{2,-1} - 3K_{2,0} + \frac{2}{2} K_{2,1})
\]

\[=
\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left(\frac{9\pi}{4} - \frac{15}{2} + 6 - \frac{9\pi}{4} + 1\right)
\]

\[= -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}. \quad \text{(F62)}
\]

For the next sum we need

\[
K_{0,1} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l(1+2l)!}{(2l+1)!} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{(F63)}
\]

and

\[
K_{0,2} = 2 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l(l + 1) = 2z \frac{d}{dz} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^lz^l + 1
\]

\[= 2z \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{1 + z} + 1
\]

\[= -\frac{2z}{(1 + z)^2} + 1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{(F64)}
\]

\[
K_{0,3} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l(3 + 2l)2(l + 1)
\]

\[= 2 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l(2l^2 + 5l + 3)
\]

\[= 4z \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{dz} \frac{1}{1 + z} + 10z \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{dz} \frac{1}{1 + z} + 3
\]

\[= 3 - \frac{5}{2} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{(F65)}
\]

and so

\[
J_1^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left(\frac{3}{2} K_{0,1} + \frac{3}{2} K_{0,2} + \frac{1}{2} K_{0,3}\right)
\]

\[= \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{7}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}, \quad \text{(F66)}
\]

\[
J_1^{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left(\frac{3}{2} K_{2,-1} + \frac{3}{2} K_{2,0} + \frac{1}{2} K_{2,1}\right)
\]

\[= \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left(\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{3\pi}{4}\right) + \frac{3}{2} \left(-2 + \frac{3\pi}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\right)
\]

\[= \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left(\frac{5}{4}\right). \quad \text{(F67)}
\]
And finally we compute

\[
K_{4,-1} = 16 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l (l+4)! (7+2l)!}{l! (2l+9)!} \\
= 8 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{2l^2 + 3l + 17}{\frac{1}{8}} - \frac{105}{8 (2l+9)} \\
= 4z \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{dz} + 6z \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{dz} + 17 \frac{2}{2} - 105 \sum_{l=4}^{\infty} (-1)^l \frac{z^{2l+1}}{2l+1} \\
= 7 - 105 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l \frac{z^{2l+1}}{2l+1} - z + \frac{z^3}{3} - \frac{z^5}{5} + \frac{z^7}{7} \\
= 83 - \frac{105}{4} \pi
\]  
(F68)

and

\[
K_{4,-2} = 16 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l (l+4)! (7+2l)!}{l! (2l+9)!} \\
= 8 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l (l+3)(l+2)(l+1)}{(2l+7)} - 4 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l (l+3)(l+2)(l+1)}{(2l+9)} \\
= \frac{1}{2} K_{3,0} - \frac{1}{4} K_{4,-1}.
\]  
(F69)

Now, in addition to the result

\[
\frac{(l+3)(l+2)(l+1)}{(2l+9)} \\
= \frac{2l^2}{2} + \frac{3l}{4} + \frac{17}{8} - \frac{105}{8(2l+9)},
\]

we have

\[
\frac{(l+3)(l+2)(l+1)}{(2l+7)} \\
= \frac{2l^2}{2} + \frac{5l}{4} + \frac{9}{8} - \frac{15}{8(2l+7)},
\]

and so

\[
= 8 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l (l+3)(l+2)(l+1)}{(2l+7)} \\
= 4 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l z^l + 10 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l l^2 z^l + 9 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l z^l - 15(\sum_{l=3}^{\infty} (-1)^l \frac{z^{2l+1}}{2l+1}) \\
= 4z \frac{d}{dz} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{dz} + 10z \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{dz} + 9 \frac{2}{2} + 15(\frac{\pi}{4} - z + \frac{3}{3} - \frac{5}{5}) \\
= 2 + 15(\frac{\pi}{4} - 1 + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{5}) = \frac{15\pi}{4} - 11 = K_{3,0},
\]  
(F70)

and so

\[
K_{4,-2} = 8 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l (l+3)(l+2)(l+1)}{(2l+9)(2l+7)} \\
= \frac{15\pi}{8} - \frac{11}{2} - \frac{83}{2} + \frac{105}{8} \pi \\
= 15\pi - 47.
\]  
(F71)
The final sum to evaluate is

\[ K_{4, -3} = 16 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l(l+4)!(5+2l)!}{l!(2l+9)!} \]

\[ = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l + \frac{15}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{(2l+7)} - \frac{35}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{(2l+9)} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{15}{2} \left[ \frac{\pi}{4} - 1 + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{5} \pi \right] - \frac{35}{2} \left[ \frac{\pi}{4} - 1 + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7} \pi \right] \]

\[ = \frac{59}{3} - \frac{25}{4} \pi, \]  

(F72)

and so

\[ J_1^{(4)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left( \frac{3}{2} K_{4, -3} + \frac{3}{2} K_{4, -2} + \frac{K_{4, -1}}{2} \right) \]

\[ = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left( \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{59}{3} - \frac{25}{4} \pi \right) + \frac{3}{2} (15 \pi - 47) + \left( \frac{83}{2} - \frac{105}{8} \pi \right) \right) \]

\[ = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{21}{5} \]  

(F73)

Summarizing,

\[ J_1^{(2)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{5}{4} \]

\[ J_1^{(0)} = \frac{7}{4} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \]

\[ I_1 = - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \]

\[ J_1^{(4)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{21}{5} \]  

(F74)


[27] The invariant function $A(r)$ plays the same role for four vector interactions as does $S(r)$ for scalar.
[29] For the explicit forms of the various quasipotentials see [5], Eqs. (4.14a-b).
[38] Eq. (2.23) is for scalar and vector interactions acting separately. When the two interaction are combined, mass and energy potentials are $M^2_i = m^2 + \exp(2G)(2m_w S + S^2)$ and $E^2_i = \exp(2G)(\epsilon_i - A)$ with $\exp(2G) = 1/(1 - 2A/w)$. This leads to Eq.3.57.
[43] A recent result from J. P. Lansberg and T. N. Pham (from hep-ph/0603113) gives $\Gamma_{\eta c} = 7.46$ keV and $\Gamma_{\eta' c} = 4.10$ keV.